

C. Sean Burns
ALISE 2013, Doctoral Dissertation Poster
Bibliography

- Abbott, A. (2004). *Methods of Discovery: Heuristics for the Social Sciences*. Contemporary Societies. New York: W. W. Norton and Company.
- Adkins, D., & Bala, E. (2004). Public library outreach as a function of staffing and metropolitan location. *Library and Information Science Research*, 26, 338-350. doi:10.1016/j.lisr.2004.01.001
- Akeroyd, J. (2001). The future of academic libraries. *Aslib Proceedings*, 53(3), 79-84. doi:10.1108/EUM0000000007041
- Albert, K.M. (2006). Open access: Implications for scholarly publishing and medical libraries. *Journal of the Medical Library Association*, 94(3), 253-262. Retrieved from <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1525322/>
- Alder, H.L., & Roessler, E.B. (1968). *Introduction to probability and statistics*. (4th ed.) San Francisco: W.H. Freeman and Company.
- Alexa.com (2009). Top site: The top 500 sites on the web. Retrieved December 01, 2009, from <http://www.alexa.com/topsites>.
- ARL Statistics: 2004-05: A compilation of statistics from the one hundred and twenty-three members of the Association of Research Libraries. (2006). Compiled and Edited by Martha Kyrrillidou and Mark Young. Association of Research Libraries: Washington, DC.
- ARL Statistics: 2010-2011. (2012). Compiled and Edited by Martha Kyrrillidou, Shaneka Morris, and Gary Roebuck. Association of Research Libraries: Washington, DC.
- Arlitsch, K. and O'Brien, P. S. (2012). Invisible institutional repositories: Addressing the low indexing ratio of IRs in Google Scholar. *Library Hi Tech*, 30(1), 60-81. doi:10.1108/07378831211213210
- Bailey, C. W. (2007). Open access and libraries. *Collection Management*, 32 [http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/03615261003623187\(3-4\)](http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/03615261003623187(3-4)), 351-383. doi:10.1300/J105v32n03_07
- Baldwin, V. A. (2009). Using Google Scholar to search for online availability of a cited article in engineering disciplines. *Issues in Science and Technology Librarianship*, 56.
- Bergstrom, C. T. and Bergstrom, T. C. (2006). The economics of ecology journals. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, 4(9), 488-495. doi:10.1890/1540-9295(2006)4[488:TEOEJ]2.0.CO;2

Björneborn, L., & Ingwersen, P. (2004). Toward a basic framework for webometrics. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology*, 55, 1216-1227. doi:10.1002/asi.20077

Black, A. (2007). Mechanization in libraries and information retrieval: Punched cards and microfilm before the widespread adoption of computer technology in libraries. *Library History*, 23, 291-299. doi:10.1179/174581607x254785

Bogers, T. and van den Bosch, A. (2008). Recommending scientific articles using CiteULike. In *Proceedings of the 2008 ACM conference on Recommender systems*, 287–290, New York, NY. ACM.

Borgman, C.L., & Furner, J. (2002). Scholarly communication and bibliometrics. *Annual Review of Information Science and Technology*, 36(1), 2-72. doi:10.1002/aris.1440360102

Bornmann, L., & Hans-Dieter, D. (2008). What do citation counts measure? A review of studies on citing behavior. *Journal of Documentation*, 64(1), 45-80. doi:10.1108/00220410810844150

Bosch, S. and Henderson, K. (2012, April 30). Coping with the terrible twins: Periodicals price survey 2012. *Library Journal*. <http://lj.libraryjournal.com/2012/04/funding/coping-with-the-terrible-twins-periodicals-price-survey-2012/>

Bourne, P. E., Fink, J. L., & Gerstein, M. (2008). Open access: Taking full advantage of the content. *PLoS Computational Biology*, 4, e1000037. doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1000037.

Brandstätter, E., & Brandstätter, H. (1996). What's money worth? Determinants of the subjective value of money. *Journal of Economic Psychology*, 17(4), 443-464. doi:10.1016/0167-4870(96)00019-0

Bronstein, J. (2007). The role of the research phase in information seeking behaviour of Jewish studies scholars: A modification of Ellis's behavioural characteristics. *Information Research*, 12(3). Retrieved from <http://informationr.net/ir/12-3/paper318.html>

Broadus, R. N. (1987). Toward a definition of "bibliometrics". *Scientometrics*, 12(5-6), 373-379. doi:10.1007/BF02016680

Budd, J. (1986). Characteristics of written scholarship in American literature: A citation study. *Library and Information Science Research*, 8(2), 189-211.

Budd, J. M. (1992). Bibliometrics: A method for the study of the literature of higher education. In J. C. Smart (Ed.), *Higher education: Handbook of theory and research, volume VIII* (pp. 345-378). New York: Agathon Press.

- Budd, J. M. (2002). Serials prices and subscriptions in the social sciences. *Journal of Scholarly Publishing*, 33(2), 90-101. doi:10.3138/jsp.33.2.90
- Bush, V. (1945). As we may think. Atlantic Monthly.
- Carpenter, K. E. (1996). A library historian looks at librarianship. *Daedalus*, 125(4), 77-102.
- Carrigan, D. P. (1995). Toward a theory of collection development. *Library Acquisitions: Practice & Theory*, 19(1):97-106. doi:10.1016/0364-6408(94)00056-2
- Case, D.O., & Higgins, G.M. (2000). How can we investigate citation behavior? A study of reasons for citing literature in communication. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science*, 51(7), 635-645.
- Cave, E. M. (2005). A normative interpretation of expected utility theory. *The Journal of Value Inquiry*, 39(3), 431-441. doi:10.1007/s10790-006-7525-2
- Chan, L. (2004). Supportinig and enhancing scholarship in the digital age: The role of open-access institutional repositories. *Canadian Journal of Communication*, 29(3). Retrieved from <http://www.cjc-online.ca/index.php/journal/article/view/1455/1579>
- Chen, X. (2010). Google Scholar's dramatic coverage improvement five years after debut. *Serials Review*, 36(4), 221-226. doi:10.1016/j.serrev.2010.08.002
- CiteULike (2009). Frequently asked questions. Retrieved December 4, 2009, from <http://www.citeulike.org/faq/faq.adp>.
- CiteULike. (2010a). *Available datasets* [Accessing CiteULike datasets]. Retrieved from <http://www.citeulike.org/faq/data.adp>.
- CiteULike. (2010b). *Everyone's library* [Listing of recent entries]. Retrieved from <http://www.citeulike.org/all/page/1>.
- CiteULike. (2010c). How to post a paper to CiteULike [Instructions for browser bookmarklet]. Retrieved from <http://www.citeulike.org/post>.
- Collins, C. S. and Walters, W. H. (2010). Open access journals in college library collections. *The Serials Librarian*, 59(2), 194-214. doi:10.1080/03615261003623187
- Corrado, E.M. (2005). The importance of open access, open source, and open standards for libraries. *Issues in Science and Technology*, 42(Spring 2005). Retrieved from <http://www.istl.org/05-spring/article2.html>

- Cothran, T. (2011). Google scholar acceptance and use among graduate students: A quantitative study. *Library & Information Science Research*, 33(4), 293-301. doi:10.1016/j.lisr.2011.02.001
- Coxe, S., West, S.G., & Aiken, L.S. (2009). The analysis of count data: A gentle introduction to Poisson regression and its alternatives. *Journal of Personality Assessment*, 91(2), 121-136. doi:10.1080/00223890802634175
- Cronin, B. (1984). *The citation process: The role and significance of citations in scientific communication*. London: Taylor Graham.
- Cronin, B. (2001). Bibliometrics and beyond: Some thoughts on web-based citation analysis. *Journal of Information Science*, 27, 1-7. doi:10.1177/016555150102700101
- Cronin, B., Shaw, D., & La Barre, K.L. (2003). A cast of thousands: Coauthorship and subauthorship collaboration in the 20th century as manifested in the scholarly journal literature of psychology and philosophy. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology*, 54(9), 855-871. doi:10.1002/asi.10278
- Cronin, B., & Franks, S. (2006). Trading cultures: Resource mobilization and service rendering in the life sciences as revealed in the journal article's paratext. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology*, 57(14), 1909-1918. doi:10.1002/asi.20407.
- Cullen, R., & Chawner, B. (2011). Institutional repositories, open access, and scholarly communication: A study of conflicting paradigms. *The Journal of Academic Librarianship*, 37(6), 460-470. doi:10.1016/j.acalib.2011.07.002
- Davis, P.M., Lewenstein, B.V., Simon, D.H., Booth, J.G., & Connolly, M.J.L. (2008). Open access publishing, article downloads, and citations: Randomized controlled trial. *BMJ*, 337(a568). doi:10.1136/bmj.a568
- De Bellis, N. (2009). *Bibliometrics and citation analysis: From the Science Citation Index to Cybermetrics*. Lanham: Scarecrow Press.
- De Bruin, B. (2005). Game theory in philosophy. *Topoi*, 24(2), 197-208. doi:10.1007/s11245-005-5055-3
- Ding, Y., & Cronin, B. (2011). Popular and/or prestigious? Measures of scholarly esteem. *Information Processing & Management*, 47(1), 80-96. doi:10.1016/j.ipm.2010.01.002
- Dixit, A. K. and Skeath, S. (2004). *Games of Strategy*. New York: W. W. Norton & Company.

- Dourish, P. (2001). *Where the Action Is: The Foundations of Embodied Interaction*. Cambridge: MIT Press.
- Dowd, S. T. (1990). Library cooperation: Methods, models to aid information access. *Journal of Library Administration*, 12(3), 63-81.
- Drott, M. C. (2006). Open access. *Annual Review of Information Science and Technology*, 40.
- Eisenstein, E. (1983). *The printing revolution in early modern Europe*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Dufwenberg, M. (2010). Psychological games. In Stephen N. Durlauf and Lawrence E. Blume (Eds.) *Game Theory*. New York: Palgrave MacMillan.
- Ellis, D., Cox, D., & Hall, K. (1993). A comparison of the information seeking patterns of researchers in the physical and social sciences. *Journal of Documentation*, 49(4), 356-369.
- Enger, K.B. (2009). Using citation analysis to develop core book collections in academic libraries. *Library & Information Science Research*, 31(2), 107-112. doi:10.1016/j.lisr.2008.12.003
- Eysenbach, G. (2006). Citation advantage of open access articles. *PLoS Biology*, 4(5), e157. doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.0040157
- Eysenbach, G. (2006). The open access advantage. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 8, e8. doi: 10.2196/jmir.8.2.e8.
- Falagas, M.E., Pitsouni, E.I., Malietzis, G.A., & Pappas, G. (2008). Comparison of PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar: Strengths and weaknesses. *The FASEB Journal*, 22(2), 338-342. doi:10.1096/fj.07-9492LSF
- Farber, S. (1998). Undesirable facilities and property values: A summary of empirical studies. *Ecological Economics*, 24(1), 1-14. doi:10.1016/S0921-8009(97)00038-4
- Fidczuk, R., Beebe, L., and Wallas, P. (2006). Today's journal cost: Print vs. online. *Serials Librarian*, 52(3/4), 341-348. doi:10.1300/J123v52n03_15
- Gargouri, Y., Hajjem, C., Lariviere, V., Gingras, Y, Carr, Y, Carr, L., Brody, T., & Harnad, S. (2010). Self-selected or mandated, open access increases citation impact for higher quality research. *PLoS ONE*, 5(10), e13636. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0013636
- Google. (2012). *Company*. Retrieved February 14, 2012, from <http://www.google.com/about/company/>.

- Greco, A. N., Wharton, R. M., Estelami, H., & Jones, R. F. (2006). The state of scholarly publishing: 1981-2000. *Journal of Scholarly Publishing*, 37(3), 155-214.
- Grüne-Yanoff, T., & Schweinzer, P. (2008). The roles of stories in applying game theory. *Journal of Economic Methodology*, 15(2), 131-146. doi:10.1080/13501780802115075
- Harloe, B., & Budd, J. M. (1994). Collection development and scholarly communication in the era of electronic access. *The Journal of Academic Librarianship*, 20(2), 83-87. doi:10.1016/0099-1333(94)90043-4
- Harrell, Jr., F.E. (2001). *Regression modeling strategies: With applications to linear models, logistic regression, and survival analysis*. New York: Springer.
- Harzing, A.W.K., & van der Wall, R. (2008). Google Scholar as a new source for citation analysis. *Ethics in Science and Environmental Politics*, 8, 61-73. doi:10.3354/esep00076
- Hellqvist, B. (2010). Referencing in the humanities and its implications for citation analysis. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology*, 61(2), 310-318. doi:10.1002/asi.21256
- Herrera, G. (2011). Google scholar users and user behaviors: An exploratory study. *College & Research Libraries*, 72(4), 316-330.
- Hill, H. (2009). *Outsourcing the public library: A critical discourse analysis*. (Doctoral dissertation). Retrieved from <http://hdl.handle.net/10355/6126>.
- Howland, J. L., Wright, T. C., Boughan, R. A., and Roberts, B. C. (2009). How scholarly is Google Scholar? comparison to library databases. *College & Research Libraries*, 70(3), 227-234.
- Hull, D., Pettifer, S.R., & Kell, D.B. (2008). Defrosting the digital library: Bibliographic tools for the next generation web. *PLoS Computational Biology*, 4(10), e1000204. doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1000204.
- Ibrahim, M. (2009). Theory of bounded rationality. *Public Management*, 91(5), 3-5.
- Jacso, P. (2008). Google Scholar revisited [electronic version]. *Online Information Review*, 32(1), 102-114. doi:10.1108/14684520810866010
- Jones, B.D. (1999). Bounded rationality. *Annual Review of Political Science*, 2, 297-321.
- Kilgour, F. G. (1939). A new punched card for circulation records. *Library Journal*, 64(4), 131-133.

Kirkwood, H. P. and Kirkwood, M. C. (2011). Historical research: Historical abstracts with full text or Google Scholar. *Online: Exploring Technology & Resources for Informational Professionals*, 35(4), 28-32.

Kling, R., and Callahan, E. (2003). Electronic journals, the Internet, and scholarly communication. *Annual Review of Information Science and Technology*, 37.

Lancaster, F. W. (1978). *Toward Paperless Information Systems*. Academic Press: New York.

Lewis, D. W. (2012). The inevitability of open access. *College and Research Libraries*, 73(5), 493-506.

Licklider, J. C. R. *The Library of the Future*. MIT Press: Cambridge.

Lynch, C.A. (2003). Institutional repositories: Essential infrastructure for scholarship in the digital age. *portal: Libraries and the Academy*, 3(2), 327-336. doi:10.1353/pla.2003.0039

MacRoberts, M.H., & MacRoberts, B.R. (2010). Problems of citation analysis: A study of uncited and seldom-cited influences. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology*, 61(1), 1-13. doi:10.1002/asi.21228

McCain, K.W., & Bobick, J.E. (1981). Patterns of journal use in a departmental library: A citation analysis. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science*, 32(4), 257-267.

McClanahan, K., Wu, L., Tenopir, C., & King, D.W. (2010). Embracing change: Perceptions of e-journals by faculty members. *Learned Publishing*, 23(3), 209-223. doi:10.1087.20100304

McGuigan, G. S., and Russell, R. D. (2008). The business of academic publishing: A strategic analysis of the academic journal publishing industry and its impact on the future of scholarly publishing. *Electronic Journal of Academic and Special Librarianship*, 9(3).

Meho, L.I. (2007). The rise and rise of citation analysis. *Physics World*, 20, 32-36.

Meho, L. I. and Yang, K. (2007). Impact of data sources on citation counts and rankings of library faculty: Web of Science versus Scopus and Google Scholar. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology*, 58(13), 2105–2125. doi:10.1002/asi.20677

Moran, Barbara B. (2001). Restructuring the university library: A North American perspective. *Journal of Documentation*, 57(1), 100-114. doi:10.1108/EUM0000000007079

Michalak, S. C. (2012). This changes everything: Transforming the academic library. *Journal of Library Administration*, 52(5):411-423. doi:10.1080/01930826.2012.700801

Mitchell, Barbara A. (2007). Boston Library catalogues, 1850-1875. In T. Augst, & K. Carpenter (Eds.), *Institutions of Reading: The Social Life of Libraries in the United States* (p. 119-147). Amherst: University of Massachusetts Press.

Moulaison, H., & Burns, C. S. (2012). Organization or conversation in Twitter: A case study of chatterboxing. In A. Grove (Ed.), *Proceedings of the 75th American Society for Information Science & Technology Annual Meeting: Vol. 49*. Retrieved from <http://asis.org/assist2012/proceedings/Submissions/185.pdf>

Mullen, L. B., & Hartman, K. A. (2006). Google Scholar and the library web site: The early response by ARL libraries. *College and Research Libraries*, 67(2), 106-122.

Neuhaus, C., Neuhaus, E., and Asher, A. (2008). Google scholar goes to school: The presence of Google Scholar and university web sites. *The Journal of Academic Librarianship*, 34(1), 39-51. doi:10.1016/j.acalib.2007.11.009

Nickel, P. J. (2009). Trust, staking, and expectations. *Journal for the Theory of Social Behaviour*, 39(3), 345-362. doi:10.1111/j.1468-5914.2009.00407.x

Nicolaisen, J. (2002). The J-shaped distribution of citedness. *Journal of Documentation*, 58(4), 383-395. doi:10.1108/00220410210431118

Nicolaisen, J. (2003). The social act of citing: Towards new horizons in citation theory. *Proceedings of the American Society for Information Science and Technology*, 40(1), 12-20. doi:10.1002/meet.1450400102

Niu, X., Hemminger, B. M., Lown, C., Adams, S., Brown, C., Level, A., McLure, M., Powers, A., Tennant, M. R., & Cataldo, T. (2010). National study of information seeking behavior of academic research in the United States. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology*, 61(5), 869-890. doi:10.1002/asi.21307

Niu, X., & Hemminger, B. M. (2012). A study of factors that affect the information-seeking behavior of academic scientists. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology*, 63(2), 336-353. doi:10.1002/asi.21669

Noruzi, A. (2005). Google Scholar: The new generation of citation indexes. *Libri*, 55, 170-180. doi:10.1515/LIBR.2005.170

Nowak, M.A., Page, K.M., & Sigmund, K. (2000). Fairness versus reason in the Ultimatum Game. *Science*, 289, 1773-1775. doi:10.1126/science.289.5485.1773

Norris, M., Oppenheim, C., & Rowland, F. (2008). Finding open access articles using Google, Google Scholar, OAlster and OpenDOAR. *Online Information Review*, 32(6), 709-715. doi:10.1108/14684520810923881.

Nyquist, C. (2010). An academic librarian's response to the "ITHAKA faculty survey 2009: Key strategic insights for libraries, publishers, and societies". *Journal of Interlibrary Loan, Document Delivery & Electronic Reserve*, 20(4), 275-280. doi:10.1080/1072303X.2010.508419

Oberg, L. R., Mentges, M. E., McDermott, P. N., and Harusadangkul, V. (1992). The role, status, and working conditions of paraprofessionals: A national survey of academic libraries. *College and Research Libraries*, 53(3), 215-238.

O'Reilly, T. (2005). What is Web 2.0. Retrieved November 29, 2009, from <http://oreilly.com/pub/a/web2/archive/what-is-web-20.html>.

Parker, R. H. (1936). The punched card method in circulation work. *The Library Journal*, 61, 903-905.

Phillips, L. D. (1973). *Bayesian Statistics for Social Scientists*. London: Nelson.

Pinfield, S. (2005). A mandate to self archive? The role of open access institutional repositories. *Serials: The Journal for the Serials Community*, 18(1), 30-34. doi:10.1629/1830

Plutchak, T. S. (2012). Breaking the barriers of time and space: the dawning of the great age of librarians. *Journal of the Medical Library Association*, 100(1), 10-19. doi:10.3163/1536-5050.100.1.004

Prosser, D. (2003). Institutional repositories and open access: The future of scholarly communication. *Information Services & Use*, 23(2003), 167-170. Retrieved from <http://iospress.metapress.com/content/b0t9y69afjhylw9y/fulltext.pdf>

Rabin, M. (2000). Risk aversion and expected-utility theory: A calibration theorem. *Econometrica*, 68(5), 1281-1292. doi:10.1111/1468-0262.00158

Romero, L. (2008). Confirming suspicions: An analysis of original communication studies journal price data. *Collection Management*, 33(3), 189-218. doi:10.1080/01462670802045525

Ross, C. S. (2009). Reader on top: Public libraries, pleasure reading, and models of reading. *Library Trends*, 57(4), 632-656. doi:10.1353/lib.0.0059

Ritzberger, K. (2002). *Foundations of non-cooperative game theory*. Oxford University Press, USA.

- Sapp, G. and Gilmour, R. (2002). A brief history of the future of academic libraries: Predictions and speculations from the literature of the profession, 1975 to 2000-part one, 1975 to 1989. *portal: Libraries and the Academy*, 2(4):553-576. doi:10.1353/pla.2002.0086
- Sapp, G. and Gilmour, R. (2003). A brief history of the future of academic libraries: Predictions and speculations from the literature of the profession, 1975 to 2000-part two, 1990 to 2000. *portal: Libraries and the Academy*, 3(1):13-34. doi:10.1353/pla.2003.0008
- Schmeidler, D. (1989). Subjective probability and expected utility without additivity. *Econometrica*, 57(3), 571-587.
- Schonfeld, R.C., & Housewright, R. (2010). Faculty survey 2009: Key strategic insights for libraries, publishers, and societies. Retrieved from Ithaka website: [http://www.ithaka.org/ithaka-s-r/research/faculty-surveys-2000-2009/Faculty %20Study%202009.pdf](http://www.ithaka.org/ithaka-s-r/research/faculty-surveys-2000-2009/Faculty%20Study%202009.pdf)
- Sennyey, P., Ross, L., and Mills, C. (2009). Exploring the future of academic libraries. *The Journal of Academic Librarianship*, 35(3):252-259. doi:10.1016/j.acalib.2009.03.003
- Simon, H. (1990). Invariants of human behavior. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 41, 1-19.
- Sin, S-C.J. (2011). International coauthorship and citation impact: A bibliometric study of six LIS journal, 1980-2008. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology*, 62(9), 1770-1783. doi:10.1002/asi.21572
- Sin, S-C.J., & Kim, K-S. (2008). Use and non-use of public libraries in the information age: A logistic regression analysis of household characteristics and library services variables. *Library and Information Science Research*, 30, 207-215. doi:10.1016/j.lisr.2007.11.008
- Smith, L. C. (1981). Citation analysis. *Library Trends*, 30, 83-106.
- Suber, P. (2004, December 29). A very brief introduction to open access. Retrieved from <http://www.earlham.edu/~peters/fos/brief.htm>
- tbogers (2009). Science papers that interest you. Retrieved December 01, 2009, from <http://blog.citeulike.org/?p=11>.
- Tenopir, C. (2012). Beyond usage; Measuring library outcomes and value. *Library Management*, 33(1/2), 5-13. doi:10.1108/01435121211203275
- Thelwall, M., & Harries, G. (2004). Do the Web sites of higher rated scholars have significantly more online impact? *Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology*, 55(2), 149-159. doi:10.1002/asi.10362

- Tibbo, H.R., Clemens, R., & Hank, C. (2009). Introduction. *Bulletin of the American Society for Information Science & Technology*, 35(4), 11-31.
- Vaughan, L. (2001). *Statistical Methods for the Information Professional: A Practical, Painless Approach to Understanding, Using, and Interpreting Statistics*. Medford, NJ: Information Today.
- Vaughan, L., & Shaw, D. (2003). Bibliographic and web citations: What is the difference? *Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology*, 54(14), 1312-1322. doi:10.1002/asi.10338
- Vaughan, L., & Shaw, D. (2008). A new look at evidence of scholarly citation in citation indexes and from web sources. *Scientometrics*, 74(2), 317-330. doi:10.1007/s11192-008-0220-2
- Ver Hoef, J.M., & Boveng, P.L. (2007). Quasi-Poisson vs. negative binomial regression: How should we model overdispersed count data? *Ecology*, 88(11), 2766-2772. doi:10.1890/07-0043.1
- Vieira, E. S., & Gomes, J.A.N.F. (2010). Citations to scientific articles: It's distribution and dependence on the article features. *Journal of Informetrics*, 4, 1-13. doi:10.1016/j.joi.2009.06.002.
- Walters, W. H. (2009). Google Scholar search performance: Comparative recall and precision. *portal: Libraries and the Academy*, 9(1), 5-24. doi:10.1353/pla.0.0034
- Walters, W. H. and Linvill, A. C. (2011a). Bibliographic index coverage of open-access journals in six subject areas. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology*, 62(8), 1614-1628. doi:10.1002/asi.21569
- Walters, W. H., & Linvill, A. C. (2011b). Characteristics of open access journals in six subject areas. *College and Research Libraries*, 72(4), 372-392.
- White, H.D. (2007). Combining bibliometrics, information retrieval, and relevance theory, part 1: First examples of a synthesis. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology*, 58(4), 536-559. doi:10.1002/asi.20543
The Cult of Statistical Significance: How the Standard Error Costs Us Jobs, Justice, and Lives
- White, H.D., & McCain, K.W. (1989). Bibliometrics. *Annual Review of Information Science and Technology*, 24, 119-186.
- Wiegand, W.A. (1990). Research libraries, the ideology of reading, and scholarly communication, 1876-1900. In P. Dain, & J.Y. Cole (Eds.), *Libraries and scholarly communication in the United States: The historical dimension* (pp. 71-87). New York: Greenwood Press.

Wouters, P. (1998). The signs of science. *Scientometrics*, 41, 225-241. doi: 10.1007/BF02457980.

Yan, J. (2009). A multidisciplinary study on juvenile recidivism and multilevel impacts - risk factors, neighborhood features, and juvenile justice intervention (Doctoral dissertation). Available from <http://mospace.missouri.edu/>.

Yang, K., & Meho, L.I. (2006). Citation analysis: A comparison of Google Scholar, Scopus, and Web of Science. *Proceedings of the American Society for Information Science and Technology*, 43, 1-15. doi:10.1002/meet.14504301185

Ziliak, S. T., & McCloskey, D. N. (2008). *The Cult of Statistical Significance: How the Standard Error Costs Us Jobs, Justice, and Lives*. University of Michigan Press.

Zipf, G. K. (1949). *Human Behavior and the Principle of Least Effort: An Introduction to Human Ecology*. Cambridge: Addison-Wesley Press.