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ABSTRACT

A testbed for flow meters was built and validated. The testbed targeted intravascular pressure and flow monitoring applications. The testbed consists of a data acquisition system and two MEMS pressure sensors (SM5108). For simplicity the evaluation of the testbed was performed using air flow. Air was pumped across a simulated blockage and pressure was built up to intravascular pressure ranges (35 to 230 mmHg). The base fractional flow rate (FFR) equation was used to calculate flow, hinting that the SM5108 pressure sensors can become part of an implantable flow meter. In addition, small and flexible RFID coil antennas were designed, built and tested. Measurements show that enough power can be collected by the coil antennas to power a microchip.
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CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Cardiovascular Diseases

In 2007 heart disease was the leading cause of death in the United States of America [1]. Death rates reached an age adjusted average of 747 annual deaths per 100,000 as seen in Figure 1.1. These deaths are not just tragic in and of themselves but also create significant economic demand.

![Heart Disease Death Rates, 2000-2006](image)

**Figure 1.1:** Heart disease death rates, 2000-2006 [2]
The cost of heart disease to the nation’s economy, as adjusted by a review of the 1957-1958 data, totals $4.1 billion [3]. In 2010, the total costs of cardiovascular diseases in the United States were estimated to be $444 billion [4]. Take a moment and consider what it will be in 2060. The serious problem of heart disease has deservedly been given a tremendous amount of investigation. The investigation has lead to many preventative measures, diagnosis techniques and treatment options.

1.2 Typical Treatment Options

Treatment options fall into three categories: (1) non-invasive, (2) minimally-invasive, and (3) surgical procedures [5]. Non-invasive measures include medication, diet and exercise. Minimally-invasive includes interventional cardiology. Surgical procedures include coronary artery-bypass surgery. While none of these three provide a cure, each one does eliminate or alleviate the symptoms for varying amounts of time. In other words, the disease and its causes are still present after treatment and require the patient to modify his or her lifestyle to prevent the disease from progressing and the symptoms from recurring [6].

1.3 Problems Associated with Treatment Options

When considering interventional cardiology and the fact that lifestyle changes are not easy, the rates of restenosis are not staggering. Stenosis is defined as the abnormal narrowing of a passage in the body, and used as a medial term for a blockage in an artery. In layman’s terms, restenosis is when the artery becomes blocked again. Restenosis can be defined as a reduction in the circumference of the lumen of an artery or blood vessel of 50% or more. Restenosis has a high incidence rate (25-50%) in patients who had undergone balloon angioplasty, with the majority of patients needing further angioplasty within 6
months [7]. Prevention of restenosis can be done in two stages. The first stage is medication typically focused on anti-platelet drugs (lessens blood clotting). The second stage of prevention is to use a drug-eluting stent. There has been some success with these new stents in reducing the occurrence of restenosis, with clinical studies showing an incidence rate of 5% or lower [7].

Even with the hope that restenosis is a thing of the past the problem of measuring a blockage, the restricted flow, and the arterial pressures still involve a hospital stay.

1.4 Creative Proposal to Solve the Treatment Problems

One of the most creative solutions would be to implant a stent that has the ability to self-diagnose restenosis. In a survey of stent designs, the authors suggest Figure 1.2 to be the structure of classifications of stent design features [8]. The ability to self-diagnose restenosis should now be added to the ADDITIONS section of the pyramid.

![Stent design pyramid](image)

**Figure 1.2:** Stent design pyramid [8]
The wireless electronic self-diagnose chip would monitor for restenosis and communicate with the doctor at regular checkups. The monitoring could be done with MEMS pressure sensors. The wireless communication could leverage Radio Frequency Identification (RFID) technology. The self-diagnosing chip would allow the physician to only have to read on their computer the restenosis status rather than having to do the current complex and time consuming methods of restenosis diagnosis. The ability to do all this would easily prove useful.

1.5 Previous Work Supporting the Proposal

The most relevant paper to this thesis was written by several engineers at the University of Michigan, Ann Arbor titled “A Wireless Microsensor for Monitoring Flow and Pressure in a Blood Vessel Utilizing a Dual-Inductor Antenna Stent and Two Pressure Sensors.” That paper shows progress in the direction of a wireless implantable system for sensing flow and pressure inside a blood vessel [10]. The paper shows the use of the system but makes no reference to the uncertainties of their measurements and moreover does not set reasonable expectations for a system designer to meet. In that paper the pressure sensor that is used is capacitive which has noticeable differences from available resistive MEMS pressure sensors.

Another relevant paper to this field of study was written by several engineers at the University of California. A fully implantable wireless pressure sensor system was developed to monitor bladder pressures in vivo [11]. This paper uses the same pressure sensor in this thesis but in a different way (bladder not blood pressure). The authors claim resolution of .02 psi (about 1 mmHg), however the entire sensing system has a sizeable package (Figure 1.3).
This fully implantable package is obviously too large for intravascular purposes; inasmuch, a wireless RFID technology would be more reasonable to consider for diagnosing restenosis.

![Implantable device](image)

**Figure 1.3:** The implantable device after being fully packaged [10]

A more reasonable size of implantable device was proposed by Oklahoma State University. Though not published, the Oklahoma State VLSI department is working on a prototype neural RFID IC. The overall dimensions of this IC are 5mm x 14mm x 1mm. Though this is more reasonable, a final size of less than this, say 1mm x 4mm x .5 mm is necessary to not be a blockage itself.

### 1.6 Plan of Development

There is one very clear problem that comes after considering the proposed wireless microelectronic chip and sensor and previous work. Will this device have the accuracies suitable for a physician’s diagnosis? This is the primary focus of this thesis; a question that needs to be answered before building a stent that has the ability to self diagnose restenosis. The process of building a stent with said ability is vast and varied. A secondary question is can a miniature RFID collect enough power for a microchip. By leveraging current capabilities one could divide the process of building a wireless electrical self diagnosing chip into three phases. (1) Build a test bed and measuring device, of reasonable size, that has the
capability to produce and measure intravascular pressures with the desired accuracies. (2) Consolidate and miniaturize the test bed and device produced in phase one. (3) Make the phase two device biocompatible and begin the long road to human implementation.

1.7 Contributions

Phase one in the plan of development has begun. A brief description can be found in an IEEE Sensors conference paper [11]. This thesis is meant to be a more thorough and complete documentation of the effort. The contributions can be segmented into 5 parts: (1) research MEMS sensors; (2) research flow measuring techniques; (3) development a data acquisition board (4) calibration and experimentation; and (5) uncertainty analysis.

Many types of pressure sensors exist. Navigating them for a particular application proves challenging. The decision to utilize available MEMS pressure sensors is logical based on their small size and time savings in comparison to an exhaustive search of all available pressure sensors. That said some searching shows that the sensors investigated are very competitive to others in a comparable size.

Flow measuring techniques were researched which lead to the decision to use Fractional Flow Rate (FFR). Dr. Steve Marso, a practicing physician at St. Luke’s hospital clearly outlined many options for measuring flow through arteries. Dr. Marso suggested FFR due to it being widely used and documented. Fortunately pressure readings directly relate to FFR lending FFR useful in terms of the sensors chosen.

The output of the sensors needs to be amplified, converted to digital format, stored and displayed. Figure 1.4 shows the sensor front-end and a microcontroller to acquire pressure readings from two MEMS pressure sensors.
The microcontroller sends the pressure data through its UART to a PC. At the PC a Matlab Graphical User Interface (GUI) program receives, filters and displays the pressure data.

Developing the testing environment was a challenge in and of its self. To begin with, the wire-bonded MEMS pressure sensors were fragile. Being fragile meant that great caution is necessary to insure no damage was done. A repeatable and measurable intravascular pressure and flow environment was created and tested. The calibration of this environment was also performed each time before taking measurement.

Last, a complete uncertainty analysis was performed to insure the measurement were accurate. The Guide to expressing Uncertainty in Measurement (GUM) method was used. The final uncertainty obtained was compared to the physician’s expectation.
CHAPTER 2
BACKGROUND

2.1 Introduction

This chapter focuses on introducing the reader to pressure sensors, flow measurements, medical instrumentation, Fractional Flow Rate (FFR), physicians expectations, and the uncertainty target of a FFR measuring device. Introduction to all of these areas is critical in understanding the logic behind a FFR measuring device. Each topic serves a different purpose that will be identified below.

2.2 Pressure Sensors

There are many types of pressure sensors. Pressure sensors come in all shapes sizes and use various methods for measuring pressure. There are three ways to refer to pressure measurements: gage, absolute and differential. Gage examples include tire pressure, blood pressure, and pressure of air tanks. Absolute examples are vacuum pressures, atmospheric pressures, and altimeter pressures. Differential pressure measurements are typically for flow or level in pressurized vessels.

The difference between Gage and Absolute is simple. Gage is the pressure relative to the current atmospheric pressure (no matter what atmospheric pressure is). Absolute is what the pressure is from a true vacuum. To illustrate, blood pressure is typically 120 mmHg over 80 mmHg (gage) or 196 mmHg over 156 mmHg (absolute). The conversion is to add atmospheric pressure (typically 76 mmHg).
Differential pressure measurements need not consider atmospheric pressure since an offset to both values would be subtracted out. Here one must consider why there is a difference. Tube architecture could cause a difference as in a differential pressure flow meter. A restriction could cause a difference as in Bernoulli’s principle. Once one knows why there is a difference one can determine what the magnitude of that difference means (whether it be flow or level of a pressurized vessel).

MEMS pressure sensors currently dominate the market for greater-than-atmospheric-pressure sensors [12]. A MEMS pressure transfers a pressure change into mechanical motion. This motion can then convert into a resistance or capacitance change or even through a piezoelectric and directly into an electrical signal. Figure 2.1 shows the applied pressure resulting in a diaphragm strain. This strain is then converted into a resistance difference. The SM5108 has a linear relationship between pressure and resistance.

![Diagram of a MEMS pressure working.](image)

**Figure 2.1:** Diagram of a MEMS pressure working.

When the diaphragm is round with a clamped circular plate with small deflections the equation to model the deflection \(w\), radial distance from the center of the diaphragm \(r\), diaphragm area \(a\), applied pressure \(P\) and flexible rigidity \(D\) is given in 2.0.
Interfacing with a MEMS device is a challenging endeavor especially since the sensors used are not packaged to keep their low profile. The pressure sensors have five aluminum pads that must be wire bonded. There are two materials for wire bonding, gold and aluminum. There are two main types of wire bonding, ball and wedge. As it turned out the pressure sensors would only allow a gold or aluminum ball bond when mounted with lock tight to a stiff ceramic (Figure 2.2).

Figure 2.2: Wire bonded pressure sensor next to U.S. dime.

2.3 Flow Measurement and Medical Instrumentation

One of the primary measurements the physician would like to acquire from a patient is that of the concentration of O₂ and other nutrients [13]. Because this is difficult to measure, doctors may choose the second class measurement of blood flow [13]. Measuring blood flow is not easy due to its invasive nature. There are many ways to measure flow. The two most common ways are coronary angiography and catheter FFR.
Figure 2.3: Example of coronary angiography [14]

Coronary Angiography is a test that uses dye and special X-rays to show the inside of your coronary arteries [15]. Coronary Angiography is the gold standard for diagnosing blockages. Figure 2.3 shows a clear picture of a blockage in 56-year old man with chest pain [14]. In order to get the dye in the blood a procedure called cardiac catheterization is used. A long, thin, flexible tube called a catheter is put into a blood vessel. The tube is then threaded into your coronary arteries and the dye is injected [15].

A second way of determining flow is also using cardiac catheterization but this time instead of just injecting dye from afar, that catheter goes directly into the blockage and pressure is measured proximally and distally. When both these pressures are known one can calculate Fractional Flow Rate (FFR) with equation 2.1.

\[
FFR = \frac{P_2}{P_1}
\]  

(2.1)
P2 is the distal pressure and P1 is the proximal pressure. When there is no blockage the pressures are equal and FFR = 1. When a blockage begins, FFR moves down to 0.9 and then at 0.8 some doctors will choose some sort of intervention. When the blockage is below .8 most doctors will act to increase flow.

2.4 Current FFR Measurement Apparatuses

There are two primary manufactures of FFR measuring equipment: St Jude Medical and Volcano [16]. Both use sensors at the end of a long catheter (Figure 2.4 and 2.5). Both have a computer interface that a doctor would use to determine the severity of a blockage. Most importantly, as previously mentioned, both require invasive surgery whenever a doctor would like to take an FFR measurement.

![Figure 2.4: Example of Volcano sensor tip [17]](image)

![Figure 2.5: Example of St Jude Medical sensor tip [18]](image)
The process of using said devices is simple in nature but requires great skill. First, a catheter is inserted into the femoral or radial arteries using a guide wire. Second, the catheter is guided to the blockage (Figure 2.6). Third, the pressure is measured both proximally and distally. Last FFR is calculated per equation 2.1. This calculation is usually done by the computer and displayed for a doctor to see (Figure 2.7).

Figure 2.6: Catheter in place measuring FFR [16]
Figure 2.7: Software screen of Volcano’s FFR Device [17]

2.5 Physician’s Expectations - The Uncertainty Target of FFR

Medical Instrumentation has a very wide verity of measurement uncertainties. Physicians obviously want the best measurement possible when life is on the line. Physicians also want to be as least invasive as possible; however, the less invasive the less accurate. Here in lies the notorious engineering balance. An interview with a leading cardiovascular specialist, Dr Steve Marso, hinted that an acceptable uncertainty target for FFR is 10 percent.
CHAPTER 3
THE DESIGNED AND IDENTIFIED SOLUTION

3.1 Introduction

To restate the primary focus of this thesis from Chapter 1, we must build a device and testbed and then investigate if the device has the accuracies suitable for a physician’s diagnosis. In the process of designing anything one must find the engineering balance. The engineering balance is found when there is a balance between the boundary conditions. For this example there are 3 boundaries: power, size and accuracy. The design must be low power it cannot be powered on batteries and must be powered inductively. The design must be small so that it is able to fit in a stent without being a blockage itself. The design must meet or exceeded the accuracies that a physician needs.

The primary focus of the research is to find a system that would meet the required accuracy. This system needs a data acquisition interface, and it needs to be calibrated. The experimental setup around the solution needs to simulate a blockage, and the heart. The secondary focus of this research is to design, build and test a miniature RFID coil antenna that is capable of collecting enough power for a microchip. In the upcoming sections a more detailed view of the antenna and applicable results will be discussed.

3.2 Data Acquisition

Many types of data acquisition exist. They range in price and accuracy. When considering the balance of price and accuracy, if one happens to be skilled in microcontroller programming, a microcontroller is an obvious choice. The final system evaluated is based on
a microcontroller and a Matlab Graphical User Interface. The microcontroller added a significant power savings over the high accuracy bench top data acquisition system used initially, i.e. Agilent 3458 DMM (Figure 3.1)

![Image of pressure sensor chamber and Agilent 3458 DMM]

**Figure 3.1:** (Top) The MEMS sensor in a closed pressure chamber being read by an Agilent 3458 DMM. (bottom) Pressure chamber opened to expose the MEMS sensor

Initially high accuracy bench top equipment was used to evaluate the MEMs pressure sensors. Pressure was accurate to .04% and resistance was accurate to .05%. This system showed the linearity of the pressure sensor. The $R^2$ value was one. The MEMs sensor also showed no Hysteresis. Figure 3.2 has the data taken from this system. As mentioned earlier, the first system was too power hungry and needless to say huge.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Increasing</th>
<th>Decreasing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PSIA</td>
<td>Resistance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.572</td>
<td>94.731</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.176</td>
<td>98.861</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.885</td>
<td>103.622</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.02</td>
<td>111.367</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.012</td>
<td>118.067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.065</td>
<td>125.201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.122</td>
<td>132.348</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 3.2:** A table of measured values of the system in Figure 3.1

In the end it is obvious the system will need to be on a single chip. The midpoint between the bench top described above and a single chip is shown in Figure 3.4. This circuit board was made to show that commercially available microelectronics can be used to make accurate measurements with the MEMS sensors used above, as shown on Figure 1.4.
Figure 3.4: The final printed circuit board based off figure 1.4 system block diagram.

The pressure sensor contains four piezo resistors connected in a bridge configuration. Each bridge has four wires that need to be connected i.e. Vdd, Gnd, \( V_{\text{diff}^+} \) and \( V_{\text{diff}^-} \). Thus with two sensors, eight wires leave the board where it is labeled “ports of sensor connection.” The differential voltage from the sensors is feed into the INA 2331 instrumentation amplifier. The model of the amplifier is shown in Figure 3.5. The gain of said amplifier is given by equation 3.1.

Figure 3.5: Schematic of INA2331 Instrumentation Amplifier.
After amplification the signal is converted from analog to digital by the microcontroller. After conversion the digital value is sent through the UART to a computer that has a Matlab GUI program running. The GUI is shown in Figure 3.6. One can clearly see the communication control box that connects to the appropriate communications port. The Data control box contains the buttons to begin capturing the data (Start), stop capturing the data (Stop), save the data to an excel file (Save), and clear the data (Clear). With that functionality the data acquisition system is considered complete.

\[ \text{Gain} = 5 + 5 \times \left( \frac{R2}{R1} \right) \]  

(3.1)

**Figure 3.6:** Screen shot of the Matlab Pressure Capture System GUI
3.3 Calibration

Calibration is defined as the transformation of the 12-bit integer value sent by the microcontroller into a pressure value. The calibration process requires only two steps. Step one, the two pressure sensors are left at atmospheric pressure and the integers from Channel 1 and Channel 2 are read and recorded (from the GUI). These values will be Zero Integer one and two (ZI1 and ZI2). The second step is to bring both pressure sensors to the same known maximum pressure as seen in Figure 3.7. These values will be the Maximum Integer one and two (MI1 and MI2). The maximum pressure value is recorded as the last of the five calibration coefficients (MP).

![Diagram showing P2 = P1 with a pump and known gage.]

Figure 3.7: Showing the two pressure sensors at the same pressure.
With all five calibration coefficients and assuming a linear pressure to integer relationship, one can convert from an integer representation to pressure using equation 3.2. This conversion could be done in the microcontroller but it is done just as easy in Matlab.

\[
P = (I - ZI) \times \left(\frac{MP}{(MI - ZI)}\right)
\]  

(3.2)

The process of calibration is displayed on the right side of the Matlab GUI. The integer values are displayed at the top right hand side. After one knows the values one can place them in the correct boxes top to bottom (ZI1 – MP2). Then when the Update calibration constants (Update Cal Const) button is pressed, the GUI will start to display to correct pressures for channel one and channel two and their difference (above the Update Cal Const button).

3.4 Simulating Blockages

Now that we have two working MEMS pressure gages we can insert them into quarter inch tubing and place a valve between them to simulate a blockage. This can be visualized with Figure 3.8

There must be a back pressure for the experiment to work. Experimentally the back pressure was achieved with a latex tube and clamp. If one refers back to equation (1.1), FFR can now be easily calculated as the blockage increases over time.
Figure 3.8: Showing the experiment, Valve 1 simulated blockage and Valve 2 creates the back pressure.
3.5 Simulating the Heart

Just before Valve 2, one can also insert an artificial heart. An artificial heart varies pressure approximately 60 beats per minute. Blood pressure is usually given in two numbers systolic and diastolic. These values can vary wildly in people. Figure 3.9 has some good approximations of maximum and minimum. By changing the pressure from maximum to minimum in at comparable time as the heart, would show an even closer example of intravascular pressures.

![Blood Pressure Chart](image)

**Figure 3.9:** Blood pressure ranges [20]
Figure 3.10 shows ECG, arterial pressure (Pa), right arterial pressure (Pra), pulmonary artery pressure (Ppa), LV area (LVA), and airway pressure (Paw) recorded over time following apnea in a patient after cardiac bypass surgery during the closed chest condition [21]. If one could mimic this (Pa) curve that would be the closest to an intravascular environment. Figure 3.10 graphically represents pressure changes as compared to the heart beat.

![Figure 3.10: Blood pressure vs time and compared to other parameters [20]](image)
3.6 Antenna Design and Manufacture

In order to power the final chip, a coil antenna will be used. A coil antenna tuned to 13.56 MHz (ISO15693 RFID standard) collects electromagnetic energy in the near field of a transmitting antenna. Initial variations of these miniature RFID antennas were designed and fabricated. Figure 3.11 shows what the coils look like before being cut out with a laser. Figure 3.12 shows the reverse side where the chip and cap and sensors will be soldered or bonded. Two types of coils were manufactured – square and rectangle. Square is a good choice as it maximizes the loop area. A rectangle would fit better inside a stent. Flexible PCB materials enabled the coil to bend around the stent to minimize impact of flow. For development purposes some of the coils have 10 contact flexible cables stemming off to interface with the board in Figure 3.4.
In order for the coil antenna to work it must be tuned. The antennas were tuned using three different techniques: Impedance Analyzer, Network Analyzer, and brute force. All three gave similar capacitance values to counteract the reactance of the coil antenna.

3.7 Results and Discussion

The first experiment was using an air pump to provide continual flow and turning the valve twice (moderate blockage and severe blockage). A severe blockage is one that results in an FFR of below 0.8. In Figure 3.13 one can see the blockage becoming worse in two steps. This blockage ends at a point where intervention would be necessary.
Figure 3.13: (Top) Pressure as blockage increases (Bottom) FFR as blockage increases with continual flow. Red line denotes medical intervention is necessary.

The second experiment was using a pump and a heart simulator to provide variable flow by turning the valve twice (moderate blockage and severe blockage). A severe blockage is one that results in an FFR of below 0.8. In Figure 3.13 and 3.14 one can see the blockage becoming worse in two steps. The last third of the graph show the point where intervention would be necessary.
The third experiment was using a commercial RIFD reader antenna, a signal generator and power meter to determine how much power one can collated with the new miniature antenna. The first step in this process is to build connectorized versions of the antenna. To insure the antenna remained toned 4 different 0402 capacitance values were used for the same coil.

**Figure 3.14:** (Top) Pressure with increasing blockage and Heart Simulator (Bottom) FFR with Heart simulator
The second step in this experiment is to broadcast 13.56 MHz with an Agilent E8257C. The power was maximized at 25 dBm into a commercial RFID antenna. The last step in the experiment is to measure the power received at different distances from the reader. The power was measured on a Agilent E4419B Power meter and a E4412A sensor.

After determining what the collected power was through air a beaker of water was placed between the transmitting antenna and the test antenna. In Figure 3.16 one can see the entire measurement setup including the beaker of water. The results were tabulated in Figure 3.17.
Figure 3.16: Antenna coupling tests (picture of source and power meter)
Based in the data collected above, a microchip could be powered 4 inches into the body (assuming the 20% of the body that is not water does not have a tremendous effect). The link loss is 52.1 dBm and so if one is broadcasting at 36 dBm than a microchip would receive 24 microwatts of power. Assuming it is a low power chip with a resistance of 10kOhm than it would have a Vdd of about half a volt.

The last evaluation is the power spectrational density of the MEMS Pressure sensors. This is seen in three stages. The first stage is when there is not stimuli.
stages is with the pump as stimuli. The last stage is seen with the pump and heart simulator as stimuli.

The measurement system sampling rate was 300 Hz. The nyquest cutoff is then 150 Hz. That said, there is sufficient bandwidth for the application selected. This can be seen in last picture of Figure 3.18. In this picture one can see the heart simulator frequency of about 3 Hz and other high-frequency components that are the product of mechanical vibrations in the pump.

**Figure 3.18:** The three stages of power spectral density
4.1 Introduction

In chapter 3 results were presented for pressure and FFR but uncertainties were not discussed. This Chapter will utilize the Guide to the Expression of Uncertainty in Measurement (GUM method) [22] to calculate the total uncertainty of the FFR measurement. Though this is obviously not a critical measurement at the time, having good traceability is a best practice. At the risk of over simplification, the guide recommends classifying the uncertainties, combining and then expanding the total uncertainty.

Uncertainties can be classified into two categories Type A and Type B. Type A uncertainties are those which are evaluated by statistical methods. Type B uncertainties are those which are evaluated by other means (e.g. manufacture specifications, data sheets, judgment, etc.). The process of combining and expanding uncertainties will be covered in Section 4.4. Last the uncertainty of the FFR measurements acquired in chapter 3 will be shown in tabular form.

4.1 Type A Uncertainties

When considering the pressure measurements one must consider the system in which they came. The system has sensor resolutions and sensitivity in and of itself. Next there is analog signal noise. There is the quantization errors associated with the analog to digital conversion. Last there is the error of the standard used to calibrate the system.

For simplification purposes we can start by lumping the first three together and then only if we want to find dominant source can we delineate further. To determine the said
lump of the first three sources a simple experiment is conducted. The sensor is left measuring pressure at an unchanging pressure for a long amount of time. This experiment resulted Figures 4.1 through 4.4 (*Please Note: C1 is P1 and C2 is P2*).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>StDev</th>
<th>Median</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C1</td>
<td>4999</td>
<td>526.95</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>527</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2</td>
<td>4999</td>
<td>588.04</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>588</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 4.1:** Descriptive statistics

**Figure 4.2** Normality tests
The thorough statistical analysis above includes general descriptive statistics, a normality test, dot plots and histograms with a normal distribution fit on top. The general descriptive statistics show the standard deviation that will be used in the next section. Before one can blindly use a standard deviation as the uncertainty a normality test should be done. This mathematical test would show the distribution is normal if the p value is greater than 0.05.

Figure 4.3: Dot Plots

Figure 4.4: Histograms with Distribution Fit
Our P-values for both pressures are less than 0.005 meaning the distribution is not normal. Because of this, one should look at a dot plot or histogram to see if the distribution even looks normal. After investigation of both, one can see our data is dominated by quantization noise and so is too sharp to be normal. Two mathematical inequalities can still work in our favor as well; Chebyshev’s and Vysochanskii-Petunin. Both say that even through the distribution is not normal, if the distribution is continuous and in the case of Vysochanskii-Petunin, unimodal, then two standard deviations is sufficient in capturing the error.

Once the error in the integer is known one can translate said error into pressure error by using the calibration coefficients and the Pressure Equation 3.2. Here the same process of understanding error propagation can be done as it was in equations 4.2 – 4.4 but now with a system equation of 3.2. Using the statistical analysis for the basis of the zero integers works well. However, one cannot use this for the maximum pressure integers as there is more error associated with determining theses quantities. To determine the error of these terms one can look at historical data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>mpi1</th>
<th>mpi2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7/18/2011</td>
<td>652</td>
<td>710</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/14/2011</td>
<td>649</td>
<td>707</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/13/2011</td>
<td>646</td>
<td>707</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/5/2011</td>
<td>647</td>
<td>705</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/30/2011</td>
<td>623</td>
<td>685</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEAN</td>
<td>643.4</td>
<td>702.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STDEV</td>
<td>10.4038</td>
<td>9.04212364</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>1.62%</td>
<td>1.29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% (K=2)</td>
<td>3.23%</td>
<td>2.57%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 4.5:** Errors associated with maximum pressure calibration factors
4.3 Type B Uncertainties

The first Type B uncertainty used in the calibration procedure outlined in section 3.3 is the uncertainty of the standard pressure gage used to calibrate the MEMs pressure sensors. This uncertainty is assumed to be 2 % based on the following calibration results documentation.

The standard pressure gage used in section 3.3 will be called the Test Instrument (TI). The TI was compared to a NIST traceable standard pressure gage with an uncertainty of .04% (better than 4 times that of which it is calibrating). When comparing the two gages the following results were obtained (Figure 4.1). In short, the known gage used in section 3.3 is 2 % accurate – our first Type B accuracy.

![Graph](image.png)

**Figure 4.6:** Comparison of the TI (our standard) with another Absolute pressure standard

\[ y = 0.9939x - 735.36 \\
R^2 = 0.9999 \]
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard mmHg</th>
<th>TI_mmHg</th>
<th>Calk_mmHg</th>
<th>Error (abs)</th>
<th>Error (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>738.170</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>735</td>
<td>-2.810</td>
<td>-0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>760.750</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>755</td>
<td>-5.512</td>
<td>-0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>780.249</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>775</td>
<td>-5.133</td>
<td>-0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>800.834</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>795</td>
<td>-5.84</td>
<td>-0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>821.315</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>815</td>
<td>-6.443</td>
<td>-0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>840.710</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>835</td>
<td>-5.96</td>
<td>-0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>861.089</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>855</td>
<td>-6.461</td>
<td>-0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>881.001</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>875</td>
<td>-6.495</td>
<td>-0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>900.448</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>894</td>
<td>-6.064</td>
<td>-0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>920.671</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>914</td>
<td>-6.409</td>
<td>-0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1041.176</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>1034</td>
<td>-7.646</td>
<td>-0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>739.182</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>735</td>
<td>-3.822</td>
<td>-0.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Resolution is 2 mmHg

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Average Error</th>
<th>Average (K=1)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>In percentage = 1.25%</td>
<td>-5.72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average (K=2) -1.36%

**RSS of Resolution Error and Abs Error (K=2) = 2.0%**

---

**Figure 4.7:** Tabular form showing the combining of uncertainties.

Our second Type B uncertainty comes from the mathematical evaluation of the system equation expressing FFR. Equation 2.1 is the system equation. The GUM method mathematical equation for evaluating the propagation of error of equation 2.1 is given in (4.1).

\[
dFFR = \sqrt{\left(\frac{\partial FFR}{\partial P1} \times dP1\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial FFR}{\partial P2} \times dP2\right)^2}
\]

(4.1)

Simple steps (equations 4.2 and 4.3) show the transformation into equation 4.4. The steps can be described as evaluating the change in FFR due to a change in P1 (4.2) and evaluating the change in FFR due to a change in P2 (4.3). Equation 4.4 expresses the
uncertainty of FFR in terms of quantities that we either know or can find out through statistical evaluation.

\[
\frac{\partial FFR}{\partial P1} = -\frac{P2}{P1^2} \quad (4.2)
\]

\[
\frac{\partial FFR}{\partial P2} = \frac{1}{P1} \quad (4.3)
\]

\[
d_{FFR} = \sqrt{\left(-\frac{P2}{P1^2} \times dP1\right)^2 + \left(\frac{1}{P1} \times dP2\right)^2} \quad (4.4)
\]

4.4 Combining and Expanding Uncertainties

Once the errors are classified we can begin to combine them into one expression of uncertainty for FFR. First all errors will be tabulated and classified. Second, the errors will be Root Sum Squared (RSS) for the two Pressure Errors in the system equation 4.4. Third, a sample calculation using example pressures and equation 4.4 will be used to determine a system error. Fourth, the system error will be expanded to a coverage factor of 2 sigma.
### Figure 4.7: Errors tabulated

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Error Source</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pressure standard</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZI1</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>0.62%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZI2</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>0.73%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPI1</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>3.23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPI2</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>2.57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>3.85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>3.34%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Figure 4.8: Example calculation using equation 4.3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>P1</th>
<th>P2</th>
<th>dP1</th>
<th>dP2</th>
<th>dFFR (K=1)</th>
<th>FFR</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>4.23</td>
<td>4.01</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>0.917</td>
<td>5.10%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Figure 4.9: Error expanded

| FFR with a coverage factor of 2 sigma = 10.2% |

To conclude, the expanded system error with a coverage factor of 2 sigma is 10.2% present. This means that we know the FFR value to ±10.2%. Even though the dot plots are dominated by quantization uncertainty making them non normal moving to a 16 bit ADC would only make the cure pass a normality test and not improve the sigma. Thus, the 12 bit ADC is sufficient and to improve the uncertainties one would be better off reducing the sources of noise in the circuit.
5.1 Introduction

It will not be long before implantable sensors are strewn about human bodies. In fact according to e-Science news as of January 21, 2009 Fraunhofer researchers are currently conducting clinical trials of an implantable pressure sensor [22]. As it was shown in this thesis, the accuracies necessary can be obtained for a flow meter. What remains to be found are other applications and the necessary accuracies for those applications. Specifically with regard to this thesis the next steps toward miniaturization can be discussed. By far the largest obstacle that must be obtained is biocompatibility.

5.2 Other Applications

Other applications for this work start with the same parameter, pressure, and with only a few minor changes can provide other uses. Imagine the ability to measure eye pressure in glaucoma patients and based off the pressure reading have a small implantable pump regulate eye pressure. Imagine being able to measure cranial blood pressure trends in people who suffer from migraines and be able to warn them to take medicine before the migraine even starts.

Applications of this work will ultimately end with many more parameters than pressure. Implanted sensors of all types could interface with the outside world like cell phones and thermostats. The sensors could call for help or regulate the room temperature. ECG, EKG, core temperature of people working in extreme climates, inertial sensors (accelerometers, magnetometers, gyros) in athletes providing real time feedback on
performance. An artificial pancreas will not only give connivance to the diabetic but save lives. All of these just name a few of the limitless application on the horizon.

5.3 Next Steps for Miniaturization

The next steps of this work in the way to miniaturization can be defined well in terms of VLSI. A system designer could start at the top and work down until the entire circuit board, wireless communication circuit, and sensor could be on one silicon chip about 1 mm by 2 mm. A good first step is to pick a technology that would lend to the low power, high accuracy, and MEMS sensor compatibility.

Another step that could be useful is standardizing an implantable wireless power source (RFID antenna with a Zener diode and an LDO) capable of powering a low current 3 volt chip. This standard platform could be used by the hundreds of electrical engineers that need to enter this new field.

5.4 Considering Biocompatibility

The human body is a great place to find spectacular electrochemistry. After all, any exposed electrode over one volt in water is characterized by electrolysis. This biocompatibility problem has been addressed through magnificent packaging and creative design techniques. The pace maker is the best example of this. The electrodes in a pace maker are 2mm square gold and they allow cell growth on them. The pacemaker measure the impedance change caused by these cells and changes the voltage to get the desired waveform. But when dealing with sensors, one must be more sensitive to the interface between the sensor and the body. Specifically with regard to the sensor used in this thesis, if cells build up on the diaphragm, the system would have to be recalibrated because of the errors
resulting from the buildup. This can be done but while in the design stages why not propose material research.

When considering the cutting edge of most engineering disciples it is often up to the material scientist to drive the march forward. Think about Leonardo da Vinci planning to build a helicopter. He had the plans right but did not have the materials to succeed. Today materials are being researched at an alarming rate. Still we need more biocompatible materials.

The material research that would be ideal could be in two forms: (1) Better packaging and (2) a biocompatible fabrication process. The latter is much more ideal due the sheer size of fabrication knowledge that could be levered.
CHAPTER 6
SUMMARY AND CONCLUDING REMARKS

The first chapter pointed at cardiovascular disease as the primary driver for the research. The chapter proposed that a sensor with the ability of self diagnosis is a creative solution to the diagnosis of restenosis. The main question this thesis answers is - Will this device have the accuracies suitable for a physician’s diagnosis? First a proof of concept device must be built. Second, an experimental test bed must be built. Last a system uncertainty was calculated.

The background necessary to make sense of this work falls into three large categories. (1) Pressure sensors and flow meters. (2) Current medical equipment and its measurement. (3) Physician’s expectations. The pressure sensor used in this thesis is a resistive bridge that changes linearly in resistance with a linear pressure change. Current medical equipment is made by two vendors that utilize a wired catheter. The measurement they make is functional flow rate (FFR) and it is defined as the distal pressure divided by the proximal pressure. Physicians expect accuracy of 10%.

The MEMS sensors were wire bonded. A differential signal amplification and data acquisition circuit board was made to connect to the sensors and talk to a computer. A Matlab GUI was made to interface with the data acquisition board and update calibration coefficients. A test bed was made that simulated different blockages and the heart. A calibration procedure was created. All of this work resulted in the ability to measure FFR. Our experiments indicate that the pressure sensors employed at a sampling frequency of 300 Hz have a bandwidth of 150 Hz suggesting that MEMS sensors could provide high-frequency information that can be exploited for improved diagnosis.
After taking the measurements one is left with the fundamental question of how good are they. The Guide to the expression of Uncertainty Measurements was used to analyze, document and arrive at the conclusion of uncertainty for this system. The uncertainty of this system is 10.2%.

The 12 bit accuracy of the ADC used was sufficient. Noise could be reduced further to improve the FFR measurement accuracy. This reduction could be done by strictly insuring the geometric centroid of the out current is that of the in current. The miniature RFID coil antennas, with the right broadcasting antenna, are capable of collecting 24 microwatts of power through 4 inches of water.

Overall, this thesis (1) researched MEMS sensors (2) researched flow measuring techniques (3) development an amplifying data acquisition board (4) built and designed calibration and experimentation (5) characterized the uncertainty of the proof of concept that was built. The characteristic uncertainty of the proof of concept system matched with the physician’s expectations.
function varargout = telemetry_gui(varargin)
% TELEMETRY_GUI M-file for telemetry_gui.fig
% TELEMETRY_GUI, by itself, creates a new TELEMETRY_GUI or raises the
% existing
% singleton*.
% H = TELEMETRY_GUI returns the handle to a new TELEMETRY_GUI or the
% handle to
% the existing singleton*.
% TELEMETRY_GUI('CALLBACK',hObject,eventData,handles,...) calls the
% local
% function named CALLBACK in TELEMETRY_GUI.M with the given input
% arguments.
% TELEMETRY_GUI('Property','Value',...) creates a new TELEMETRY_GUI
% or raises the
% existing singleton*. Starting from the left, property value pairs
% are
% applied to the GUI before telemetry_gui_OpeningFcn gets called. An
% unrecognized property name or invalid value makes property
% application
stop. All inputs are passed to telemetry_gui_OpeningFcn via varargin.

*See GUI Options on GUIDE's Tools menu. Choose "GUI allows only one instance to run (singleton)".

See also: GUIDE, GUIDATA, GUIHANDELS

Edit the above text to modify the response to help telemetry_gui

Last Modified by GUIDE v2.5 29-Jun-2011 20:03:39

Begin initialization code - DO NOT EDIT

gui_Singleton = 1;

gui_State = struct('gui_Name', mfilename, ...
    'gui_Singleton', gui_Singleton, ...
    'gui_OpeningFcn', @telemetry_gui_OpeningFcn, ...
    'gui_OutputFcn', @telemetry_gui_OutputFcn, ...
    'gui_LayoutFcn', [], ...
    'gui_Callback', []);

if nargin && ischar(varargin{1})
    gui_State.gui_Callback = str2func(varargin{1});
end

if nargout
    [varargout{1:nargout}] = gui_mainfcn(gui_State, varargin{:});
else
    gui_mainfcn(gui_State, varargin{:});
end

End initialization code - DO NOT EDIT

========================================================================

Global variables

%global bytes_available;
%global buffer;
%global sample_ptr;
%global Vref;
%global max_samples;
%global serial_obj;
%global t;

%bytes available = 0;
%sample_ptr = 1;
%buffer = zeros(max_samples, 1);
%Vref = 3.3;
%max_samples = 2000;
%serial_obj = serial('COM13', 'BaudRate', 115200, 'InputBufferSize', 500);
%t = timer('StartDelay', 1, 'Period', 1, 'ExecutionMode', 'fixedRate');
%set(t, 'TimerFcn', {'timer_callback_read_serial', serial_obj, Vref});
%set(t, 'TimerFcn', {'timer_callback'});
% --- Executes just before telemetry_gui is made visible.
function telemetry_gui_OpeningFcn(hObject, eventdata, handles, varargin)
% This function has no output args, see OutputFcn.
% hObject    handle to figure
% eventdata  reserved - to be defined in a future version of MATLAB
% handles    structure with handles and user data (see GUIDATA)
% varargin   command line arguments to telemetry_gui (see VARARGIN)

global sample_ptr;
global buffer;
global data_ptr;
global buffer_size;
global data_buffer_size;
global CH1;
global CH2;
global delta;
global time_index;
global pressure_difference;
global filtered_diff;
global filt1;
global A1;
global B1;
global z1;
global z2;
global mp;
global mpi1;
global mpi2;
global FFR;

load 'C:\Users\public\Documents\Masters Project\Matlab\filter1' filt1;
%load 'C:\Users\kh9cb\Desktop\Pressure_capture_system\Matlab\filter2' filt2;
A1 = filt1.tf.den;
B1 = filt1.tf.num;

buffer_size = 2000;       %make this 1.5x the length
between SYNC sequences data_buffer_size = 5000;
handles.bytes_available = 0;
sample_ptr = 1;
data_ptr = 1;
buffer = zeros(1, buffer_size);
CH1 = zeros(1, data_buffer_size);
CH2 = zeros(1, data_buffer_size);
pressure_difference = zeros(1, data_buffer_size);
FFR = zeros(1, data_buffer_size);
delta = 74;
time_index = linspace(0, data_buffer_size-1, data_buffer_size);
handles.Max = 1024;
COMPort = get(handles.edit_COM_port, 'String');
handles.serial_obj = serial(COMPort, 'BaudRate', 9600, 'InputBufferSize', 2000);
handles.t = timer('StartDelay',1, 'Period', 0.5, 'ExecutionMode','fixedRate');
set(handles.t, 'TimerFcn', {'timer_callback', hObject, handles});

set(handles.button_start, 'Enable', 'off');
set(handles.button_stop, 'Enable', 'off');

plot(handles.axes_pressure, time_index, CH1, 'blue', time_index, CH2, 'red');
axis(handles.axes_pressure, [0 data_buffer_size 0 handles.Max]);
grid(handles.axes_pressure);

%pressure_difference = ((CH2-CH1)-delta);

plot(handles.offset_plot,pressure_difference, 'green');
axis(handles.offset_plot, [0 data_buffer_size -0.1 0.3]);
grid(handles.offset_plot);

zi1 = str2num(get(handles.edit_zi1, 'String'));
zi2 = str2num(get(handles.edit_zi2, 'String'));
mp = str2num(get(handles.edit_mp, 'String'));
mpi1 = str2num(get(handles.edit_mpi1, 'String'));
mpi2 = str2num(get(handles.edit_mpi2, 'String'));

%plot(handles.axes_pressure, CH2, 'red');

% Choose default command line output for telemetry_gui
handles.output = hObject;

% Update handles structure
guidata(hObject, handles);

% This sets up the initial plot - only do when we are invisible
% so window can get raised using telemetry_gui.

% UIWAIT makes telemetry_gui wait for user response (see UIRESUME)
% uiswait(handles.figure1);

% --- Outputs from this function are returned to the command line.
function varargout = telemetry_gui_OutputFcn(hObject, eventdata, handles)
% varargout cell array for returning output args (see VARARGOUT);
% hObject handle to figure
% eventdata reserved - to be defined in a future version of MATLAB
% handles structure with handles and user data (see GUIDATA)

% Get default command line output from handles structure
varargout{1} = handles.output;
% --- Executes on button press in pushbutton1.
function pushbutton1_Callback(hObject, eventdata, handles)
% hObject    handle to pushbutton1 (see GCBO)
% eventdata  reserved - to be defined in a future version of MATLAB
% handles    structure with handles and user data (see GUIDATA)
cla;

popup_sel_index = get(handles.popupmenu1, 'Value');
switch popup_sel_index
    case 1
        plot(rand(5));
    case 2
        plot(sin(1:0.01:25.99));
    case 3
        bar(1:.5:10);
    case 4
        plot(membrane);
    case 5
        surf(peaks);
end

% --------------------------------------------------------------------
function FileMenu_Callback(hObject, eventdata, handles)
% hObject    handle to FileMenu (see GCBO)
% eventdata  reserved - to be defined in a future version of MATLAB
% handles    structure with handles and user data (see GUIDATA)

% --------------------------------------------------------------------
function OpenMenuItem_Callback(hObject, eventdata, handles)
% hObject    handle to OpenMenuItem (see GCBO)
% eventdata  reserved - to be defined in a future version of MATLAB
% handles    structure with handles and user data (see GUIDATA)

file = uigetfile('*.fig');
if ~isequal(file, 0)
    open(file);
end

% --------------------------------------------------------------------
function PrintMenuItem_Callback(hObject, eventdata, handles)
% hObject    handle to PrintMenuItem (see GCBO)
% eventdata  reserved - to be defined in a future version of MATLAB
% handles    structure with handles and user data (see GUIDATA)
printdlg(handles.figure1)

% --------------------------------------------------------------------
function CloseMenuItem_Callback(hObject, eventdata, handles)
% hObject    handle to CloseMenuItem (see GCBO)
% eventdata  reserved - to be defined in a future version of MATLAB
% handles    structure with handles and user data (see GUIDATA)
selection = questdlg(['Close ' get(handles.figure1,'Name') '?'],...
if strcmp(selection, 'No')
    return;
end

delete(handles.figure1)

% --- Executes on selection change in popupmenu1.
function popupmenu1_Callback(hObject, eventdata, handles)
    % hObject    handle to popupmenu1 (see GCBO)
    % eventdata  reserved - to be defined in a future version of MATLAB
    % handles    structure with handles and user data (see GUIDATA)

    % Hints: contents = get(hObject,'String') returns popupmenu1 contents as
    %        cell array
    %        contents{get(hObject,'Value')} returns selected item from
    %        popupmenu

% --- Executes during object creation, after setting all properties.
function popupmenu1_CreateFcn(hObject, eventdata, handles)
    % hObject    handle to popupmenu1 (see GCBO)
    % eventdata  reserved - to be defined in a future version of MATLAB
    % handles    empty - handles not created until after all CreateFcns called

    % Hint: popupmenu controls usually have a white background on Windows.
    %       See ISPC and COMPUTER.
    if ispc && isequal(get(hObject,'BackgroundColor'), get(0,'defaultUicontrolBackgroundColor'))
        set(hObject,'BackgroundColor','white');
    end

    set(hObject,'String', {'plot(rand(5))', 'plot(sin(1:0.01:25))', 'bar(1:.5:10)', 'plot(membrane)', 'surf(peaks)'});

% --- Executes on button press in button_start.
function button_start_Callback(hObject, eventdata, handles)
    % hObject    handle to button_start (see GCBO)
    % eventdata  reserved - to be defined in a future version of MATLAB
    % handles    structure with handles and user data (see GUIDATA)
    %global serial_obj;

    if handles.serial_obj.Status == 'open'
        start(handles.t);
        set(handles.button_stop, 'Enable', 'on');
        set(handles.button_start, 'Enable', 'off');
        guidata(hObject, handles);
    end
% --- Executes on button press in button_stop.
function button_stop_Callback(hObject, eventdata, handles)
% hObject    handle to button_stop (see GCBO)
% eventdata  reserved - to be defined in a future version of MATLAB
% handles    structure with handles and user data (see GUIDATA)
stop(handles.t);
set(handles.button_stop, 'Enable', 'off');
set(handles.button_start, 'Enable', 'on');
guidata(hObject, handles);

% --- Executes on button press in button_save.
function button_save_Callback(hObject, eventdata, handles)
% hObject    handle to button_save (see GCBO)
% eventdata  reserved - to be defined in a future version of MATLAB
% handles    structure with handles and user data (see GUIDATA)
global CH1;
global CH2;
global data_buffer_size;
global pressure_difference;
global filtered_diff;
global delta;
global zi1;
global zi2;
global mp;
global mpi1;
global mpi2;
global P1;
global P2;
delta  = 74;
%pressure_difference = 3.3*((CH2-CH1)-delta)/1024;
file = uiputfile('*.xls');
if ~isequal(file, 0)
    fid = fopen(file, 'wt');

    fprintf(fid, 'zi1	 zi2	 mp	 mpi1	 mpi2
');
    fprintf(fid, '%d	 %d	 %d	 %d	 %d
', zi1, zi2, mp, mpi1, mpi2);

    fprintf(fid, 'CH1	 CH2	 pressure_difference	 filtered_diff	 P1	 P2
');
    for ii=1:data_buffer_size
        fprintf(fid, '%d	 %d	 %2.3f	 %2.3f	 %2.3f	 %2.3f
', CH1(ii),
                CH2(ii), P1(ii), P2(ii),pressure_difference(ii), filtered_diff(ii));
    end
    fclose(fid);
    save 'C:\Users\Public\Documents\Masters Project\Matlab\pressure_data'
    CH1 CH2 pressure_difference filtered_diff;
end

% --- Executes on button press in button_connect.
function button_connect_Callback(hObject, eventdata, handles)
% hObject    handle to button_connect (see GCBO)
global t;
com_str = get(handles.edit_COM_port, 'String');
handles.serial_obj.Port = com_str;
fopen(handles.serial_obj);
if handles.serial_obj.Status ~= 'open'
    set(handles.text_status, 'String', 'Failed to open serial port');
    set(handles.button_disconnect, 'Enable', 'off');
    set(handles.button_connect, 'Enable', 'off');
end
if handles.serial_obj.Status ~= 'open'
    set(handles.text_status, 'String', 'COM port opened successfully');
    set(handles.button_disconnect, 'Enable', 'on');
    set(handles.button_connect, 'Enable', 'off');
else
    set(handles.text_status, 'String', 'COM port opened successfully');
    set(handles.button_disconnect, 'Enable', 'on');
    set(handles.button_connect, 'Enable', 'off');
end

function edit_COM_port_Callback(hObject, eventdata, handles)
    % hObject    handle to edit_COM_port (see GCBO)
    % eventdata  reserved - to be defined in a future version of MATLAB
    % handles    structure with handles and user data (see GUIDATA)

    % Hints: get(hObject,'String') returns contents of edit_COM_port as text
    % str2double(get(hObject,'String')) returns contents of edit_COM_port as a double

% --- Executes during object creation, after setting all properties.
function edit_COM_port_CreateFcn(hObject, eventdata, handles)
    % hObject    handle to edit_COM_port (see GCBO)
    % eventdata  reserved - to be defined in a future version of MATLAB
    % handles    empty - handles not created until after all CreateFcns called

    % Hint: edit controls usually have a white background on Windows.
    % See ISPC and COMPUTER.
    if ispc && isequal(get(hObject,'BackgroundColor'), get(0,'defaultUicontrolBackgroundColor'))
        set(hObject,'BackgroundColor','white');
    end

% --- Executes on button press in button_disconnect.
function button_disconnect_Callback(hObject, eventdata, handles)
    % hObject    handle to button_disconnect (see GCBO)
    % eventdata  reserved - to be defined in a future version of MATLAB
% handles   structure with handles and user data (see GUIDATA)
stop(handles.t);
fclose(handles.serial_obj);
set(handles.text_status, 'String', 'COM port closed');
set(handles.button_disconnect, 'Enable', 'off');
set(handles.button_connect, 'Enable', 'on');
set(handles.button_start, 'Enable', 'off');
set(handles.button_stop, 'Enable', 'off');
guidata(hObject, handles);

% --- Executes on button press in buttonClear.
function buttonClear_Callback(hObject, eventdata, handles)
% hObject    handle to buttonClear (see GCBO)
% eventdata  reserved - to be defined in a future version of MATLAB
% handles    structure with handles and user data (see GUIDATA)
global CH1;
global CH2;
global delta;
global data_buffer_size;
global sample_ptr;
global data_ptr;
global buffer_size
global buffer
global pressure_difference;
global FFR;

sample_ptr = 1;
data_ptr = 1;
CH1 = zeros(1, data_buffer_size);
CH2 = zeros(1, data_buffer_size);
pressure_difference = zeros(1, data_buffer_size);
FFR = zeros(1, data_buffer_size);
delta = 74;
buffer = zeros(1, buffer_size);

plot(handles.axes_pressure, CH1, 'blue');
axis(handles.axes_pressure, [0 data_buffer_size 0 handles.Max]);
plot(handles.axes_pressure, CH2, 'red');
axis(handles.axes_pressure, [0 data_buffer_size 0 handles.Max]);

%pressure_difference = ((CH2-CH1)-delta);
plot(handles.offset_plot, pressure_difference, 'green');
axis(handles.offset_plot, [0 data_buffer_size -0.1 0.3]);
fread(handles.serial_obj, handles.serial_obj.BytesAvailable, 'uint8');

% --- Executes on button press in pushbutton_update.
function pushbutton_update_Callback(hObject, eventdata, handles)
% hObject    handle to pushbutton_update (see GCBO)
% eventdata  reserved - to be defined in a future version of MATLAB
% handles    structure with handles and user data (see GUIDATA)
global zi1;
global zi2;
global mp;
global mpi1;
global mpi2;

zi1 = str2num(get(handles.edit_zi1, 'String'));
zi2 = str2num(get(handles.edit_zi2, 'String'));
mp = str2num(get(handles.edit_mp, 'String'));
mpi1 = str2num(get(handles.edit_mpi1, 'String'));
mpi2 = str2num(get(handles.edit_mpi2, 'String'));

function edit_zi1_Callback(hObject, eventdata, handles)
    % hObject    handle to edit_zi1 (see GCBO)
    % eventdata  reserved - to be defined in a future version of MATLAB
    % handles    structure with handles and user data (see GUIDATA)

    % Hints: get(hObject,'String') returns contents of edit_zi1 as text
    %        str2double(get(hObject,'String')) returns contents of edit_zi1 as a double

    % --- Executes during object creation, after setting all properties.
    function edit_zi1_CreateFcn(hObject, eventdata, handles)
    % hObject    handle to edit_zi1 (see GCBO)
    % eventdata  reserved - to be defined in a future version of MATLAB
    % handles    empty - handles not created until after all CreateFcns called

    % Hint: edit controls usually have a white background on Windows.
    %       See ISPC and COMPUTER.
    if ispc && isequal(get(hObject,'BackgroundColor'),
        get(0,'defaultUicontrolBackgroundColor'))
        set(hObject,'BackgroundColor','white');
    end

function edit_zi2_Callback(hObject, eventdata, handles)
    % hObject    handle to edit_zi2 (see GCBO)
    % eventdata  reserved - to be defined in a future version of MATLAB
    % handles    structure with handles and user data (see GUIDATA)

    % Hints: get(hObject,'String') returns contents of edit_zi2 as text
    %        str2double(get(hObject,'String')) returns contents of edit_zi2 as a double

    % --- Executes during object creation, after setting all properties.
    function edit_zi2_CreateFcn(hObject, eventdata, handles)
    % hObject    handle to edit_zi2 (see GCBO)
    % eventdata  reserved - to be defined in a future version of MATLAB

% handles    empty - handles not created until after all CreateFcns called

% Hint: edit controls usually have a white background on Windows.
% See ISPC and COMPUTER.
if ispc && isequal(get(hObject,'BackgroundColor'),
    get(0,'defaultUicontrolBackgroundColor'))
    set(hObject,'BackgroundColor','white');
end

function edit_mp_Callback(hObject, eventdata, handles)
  % hObject    handle to edit_mp (see GCBO)
  % eventdata  reserved - to be defined in a future version of MATLAB
  % handles    structure with handles and user data (see GUIDATA)

  % Hints: get(hObject,'String') returns contents of edit_mp as text
  % str2double(get(hObject,'String')) returns contents of edit_mp as a double

  % --- Executes during object creation, after setting all properties.
  function edit_mp_CreateFcn(hObject, eventdata, handles)
    % hObject    handle to edit_mp (see GCBO)
    % eventdata  reserved - to be defined in a future version of MATLAB
    % handles    empty - handles not created until after all CreateFcns called

    % Hint: edit controls usually have a white background on Windows.
    % See ISPC and COMPUTER.
    if ispc && isequal(get(hObject,'BackgroundColor'),
        get(0,'defaultUicontrolBackgroundColor'))
        set(hObject,'BackgroundColor','white');
    end

function edit_mpi1_Callback(hObject, eventdata, handles)
  % hObject    handle to edit_mpi1 (see GCBO)
  % eventdata  reserved - to be defined in a future version of MATLAB
  % handles    structure with handles and user data (see GUIDATA)

  % Hints: get(hObject,'String') returns contents of edit_mpi1 as text
  % str2double(get(hObject,'String')) returns contents of edit_mpi1 as a double

  % --- Executes during object creation, after setting all properties.
  function edit_mpi1_CreateFcn(hObject, eventdata, handles)
    % hObject    handle to edit_mpi1 (see GCBO)
    % eventdata  reserved - to be defined in a future version of MATLAB
    % handles    empty - handles not created until after all CreateFcns called
% Hint: edit controls usually have a white background on Windows.
%       See ISPC and COMPUTER.
if ispc && isequal(get(hObject,'BackgroundColor'),
    get(0,'defaultUicontrolBackgroundColor'))
    set(hObject,'BackgroundColor','white');
end

function edit_mpi2_Callback(hObject, eventdata, handles)
% hObject    handle to edit_mpi2 (see GCBO)
% eventdata  reserved - to be defined in a future version of MATLAB
% handles    structure with handles and user data (see GUIDATA)
% Hints: get(hObject,'String') returns contents of edit_mpi2 as text
%        str2double(get(hObject,'String')) returns contents of edit_mpi2
%        as a double

% --- Executes during object creation, after setting all properties.
function edit_mpi2_CreateFcn(hObject, eventdata, handles)
% hObject    handle to edit_mpi2 (see GCBO)
% eventdata  reserved - to be defined in a future version of MATLAB
% handles    empty - handles not created until after all CreateFcns called
% Hint: edit controls usually have a white background on Windows.
%       See ISPC and COMPUTER.
if ispc && isequal(get(hObject,'BackgroundColor'),
    get(0,'defaultUicontrolBackgroundColor'))
    set(hObject,'BackgroundColor','white');
end

MATLAB TIMER CALL BACK CODE

function timer_callback(obj, event, hObject, handles)

    global sample_ptr;
    global buffer;
    global data_ptr;
    global CH1;
    global CH2;
    global delta;
    global buffer_size;
    global data_buffer_size;
    global time_index;
    global pressure_difference;
    global filtered_diff;
    global A1;
    global B1;
    global time_index;
    global z1;
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global zi2;
global mp;
global mpi1;
global mpi2;
global P1;
global P2;
global FPR;

bytes_available = handles.serial_obj.BytesAvailable;
len = buffer_size;

% if bytes_available > 0
%   if sample_ptr + bytes_available >= len               %takes care of
%     buffer(sample_ptr:len) = fread(handles.serial_obj, len-
%         sample_ptr+1, 'uint8');
%   if bytes_available - (len-sample_ptr+1) > 0            %number of bytes
%     buffer(1: bytes_available - (len-sample_ptr+1) ) =
%         fread(handles.serial_obj, bytes_available - (len-sample_ptr+1), 'uint8');
%   else
%     sample_ptr = 1;
%   end
% else
%   buffer(sample_ptr:sample_ptr + bytes_available-1) =
%         fread(handles.serial_obj, bytes_available, 'uint8');
%   sample_ptr = sample_ptr + bytes_available;
% end

if bytes_available > 0

% ========= RX buffer management =========
x = bytes_available - (len - sample_ptr);

if x <= 0
    buffer(sample_ptr:sample_ptr + bytes_available-1) =
        fread(handles.serial_obj, bytes_available, 'uint8');
    sample_ptr = sample_ptr + bytes_available;
else
    buffer(1:sample_ptr-x) = buffer(x+1:sample_ptr);
    buffer(sample_ptr+1-x:len) = fread(handles.serial_obj,
        bytes_available, 'uint8');
    sample_ptr = sample_ptr - x + bytes_available;
end

%======== Finds and processes a packet ========
sync_pos = strfind(buffer, 'PAK');

for nn=1:length(sync_pos)-1

%   for sample_index=1:4
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data_ptr = data_ptr + 1;
if data_ptr >= data_buffer_size
    data_ptr = 1;
end

buffer(sync_pos(nn): sync_pos(nn) + 2) = 0;
end

plot(handles.axes_pressure, time_index, CH1, 'blue', time_index, CH2, 'red');
%plot(handles.axes_pressure, CH2, 'red');
axis(handles.axes_pressure, [0 data_buffer_size 0 handles.Max]);
grid(handles.axes_pressure);

delta = 74;
%pressure_difference = 3.3*((CH2-CH1)-delta)/1024; <-old old
%pressure_difference = ((CH2-CH1)-delta); <-old

P1 = (CH1-zi1)*(mp/(mpi1-zi1));
P2 = (CH2-zi2)*(mp/(mpi2-zi2));

pressure_difference = P2-P1;
FFR = P1./P2;

filtered_diff = filter(B1, A1, pressure_difference);
plot(handles.offset_plot, time_index, pressure_difference, 'green', time_index, filtered_diff, 'magenta');
axis(handles.offset_plot, [0 data_buffer_size -50 50]);
grid(handles.offset_plot);

if data_ptr>5
    set(handles.text_ch1, 'String', strcat('CH1=', num2str( mean(CH1(data_ptr-6:data_ptr-1)), 3 )));
    set(handles.text_ch2, 'String', strcat('CH2=', num2str( mean(CH2(data_ptr-6:data_ptr-1)), 3 )));

    set(handles.text_p1, 'String', strcat('P1=', num2str( mean(P1(data_ptr-6:data_ptr-1)), 3 )));
    set(handles.text_p2, 'String', strcat('P2=', num2str( mean(P2(data_ptr-6:data_ptr-1)), 3 )));
end
MATLAB Filter Code

```matlab
function Hd = efilt
%EFILT Returns a discrete-time filter object.

% M-File generated by MATLAB(R) 7.9 and the Signal Processing Toolbox 6.12.
% Generated on: 21-Jun-2011 18:46:40

% Equiripple Lowpass filter designed using the FIRPM function.
% All frequency values are in Hz.
Fs = 100; % Sampling Frequency
Fpass = 5; % Passband Frequency
Fstop = 7; % Stopband Frequency
Dpass = 0.057501127785; % Passband Ripple
Dstop = 0.0001; % Stopband Attenuation
dens = 20; % Density Factor

% Calculate the order from the parameters using FIRPMORD.
[N, Fo, Ao, W] = firpmord([Fpass, Fstop]/(Fs/2), [1 0], [Dpass, Dstop]);

% Calculate the coefficients using the FIRPM function.
b = firpm(N, Fo, Ao, W, {dens});
Hd = dfilt.dffir(b);

% [EOF]

Microcontroller Code

// This code can be to be used with matlab interface or with Hyper Terminal with minor changes
#include "msp430x22x4.h"
#include "Receiver_Serial.h"

void MCU_Init(void); // Microcontroller Initialization
void ATD(void);
void ATD_ascii(void);

const char splash[] = {"\n**ICAS LAB, ADC & UART Unit**\n"};
const char errors[] = {"\nPlease press 's' to start A2D conv and display data\n"};
const char error[] = {"\nPlease press 'i' or 'a' to start A2D conv and display data\n"};
const char integer[] = {"\nData in Integer format\n"};
const char ascii[] = {"\nData in ASCII format\n"};

enum TState {Idle, Tgo} State;

unsigned int digital_data[7];

int main( void )
{
    WDTCTL = WDTPW + WDTHOLD; // Stop watchdog timer to prevent time out reset
    MCU_Init();

    TXString((char*)splash, sizeof splash);
P2OUT = 0x01; // Makes P2.0 pin high
P3OUT = 0x01; // Makes P3.0 pin high
P1OUT = 0x04; // Makes P1.2 pin high
State = Idle;
    // while(1);

    for(;;){
        ATD_ascii(); //Start A2D module
    }
}

// ADC10 interrupt service routine
#pragma vector=ADC10_VECTOR
__interrupt void ADC10_ISR(void)
{
    __bic_SR_register_on_exit(LPM3_bits); // Exit LPM3
}

// Initializes MCU peripherals...
void MCU_Init(void)
{
    BCSCTL1 = CALBC1_1MHZ; // Set DCO
DCOCTL = CALDCO_1MHZ; // 16Mhz at a max Vcc of 3v

    // USCI_A0 is programmed to work in UART mode for serial comm. with PC
    // Initialize all the registers before you reset the UCSWRST
P3SEL |= 0x30;  // P3.4,5 = USCI_A0 TXD/RXD
UCA0CTL0 = 0;   // no parity, LSB first, 8 bits, 1 stop, async.
UCA0CTL1 |= UCSSEL_2;  // SMCLK
UCA0BR0 = 104;  // 9600 => BR0= 65, BR1= 3 from 8 Mhz
UCA0BR1 = 0;   // 3;  // 9600 => BR0= 131, BR1= 6 from 16 Mhz
UCA0MCTL = UCBRS2 + UCBRS0; // Modulation UCBRSx = 5
UCA0CTL1 &= ~UCSWRST; // **Initialize USCI state machine**
IE2 |= UCA0RXIE; // Enable USCI_A0 RX interrupt

// Configure ADC

ADC10AE0 |= 0x06; // P2.1 (A1), P2.2 (A2) ADC option select
ADC10AE1 |= 0xF0; // p4.3,4,5,6 (A12 - A15) ADC option select

// Configure I/O pins

P1DIR = 0xFF; // all P1 pins as output pins
P2DIR = 0x01; // P2.0 as output
P3DIR = 0x01; // P3.0 as output

__enable_interrupt();

// Analog to Digital converter settings inside the microcontroller
void ATD (void)
{
  ADC10CTL1 = INCH_1 + ADC10DIV_3 + ADC10SSEL_3; // A1, P2.1, DC10 Clock Divider Select 4, SMCLK
  ADC10CTL0 = ADC10SHT_3 + ADC10ON + ADC10IE; // 16xADC10CLKs, ADC10 On/Enable, ADC10 Interrupt Enable
  ADC10CTL0 |= ENC + ADC10SC; // Sampling and conversion start
  while(ADC10CTL1 & ADC10BUSY);
  // digital_data[0]=ADC10MEM;
  TXIntValue(ADC10MEM);
  ADC10CTL0 &= ~ENC;

  ADC10CTL1 = INCH_2 + ADC10DIV_3 + ADC10SSEL_3; // A2, P2.2, DC10 Clock Divider Select 4, SMCLK
  ADC10CTL0 = ADC10SHT_3 + ADC10ON + ADC10IE; // 16xADC10CLKs, ADC10 On/Enable, ADC10 Interrupt Enable
  ADC10CTL0 |= ENC + ADC10SC; // Sampling and conversion start
  while(ADC10CTL1 & ADC10BUSY);
  // digital_data[1]=ADC10MEM;
  TXIntValue(ADC10MEM);
  ADC10CTL0 &= ~ENC;
  ADC10CTL0 &= ~ADC10ON;
}
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void ATD_ascii (void)

ADC10CTL1 = INCH_1 + ADC10DIV_3 + ADC10SSEL_3; // A1, P2.1, DC10 Clock Divider Select 4, SMCLK
ADC10CTL0 = ADC10SHT_3 + ADC10ON + ADC10IE;  // 16xADC10CLKs, ADC10 On/Enable, ADC10 Interrupt Enable
ADC10CTL0 |= ENC + ADC10SC;                    // Sampling and conversion start
while(ADC10CTL1 & ADC10BUSY);
digital_data[3] = (ADC10MEM>>8)%256;           //MSB
digital_data[4] = (ADC10MEM%256);              //LSB
P1OUT = P1OUT ^ 0x04;

ADC10CTL0 &= ~ENC;

ADC10CTL1 = INCH_2 + ADC10DIV_3 + ADC10SSEL_3; // A2, P2.2, DC10 Clock Divider Select 4, SMCLK
ADC10CTL0 = ADC10SHT_3 + ADC10ON + ADC10IE;  // 16xADC10CLKs, ADC10 On/Enable, ADC10 Interrupt Enable
ADC10CTL0 |= ENC + ADC10SC;                    // Sampling and conversion start
while(ADC10CTL1 & ADC10BUSY);
digital_data[5] = (ADC10MEM>>8)%256;           //MSB
digital_data[6] = (ADC10MEM%256);              //LSB
P1OUT = P1OUT ^ 0x04;

ADC10CTL0 &= ~ENC;
ADC10CTL0 &= ~ADC10ON;

int i;
for(=0;i<7;i++){
    UCA0TXBUF = digital_data[i];
    while (!IFG2&UCA0TXIFG));
}

int i;
for(=0;i<7;i++){
    // Echo back RXed character, confirm TX buffer is ready first
    if (UCA0RXBUF == 'i'){
        TXString ((char*)integer, sizeof integer);
        ATD();
    }else
        if (UCA0RXBUF == 'a'){

    */
TXString ((char*)ascii, sizeof ascii);
    ATD_ascii();
} else
    TXString ((char*)error, sizeof error);
}
*/

#pragma vector=USCIAB0RX_VECTOR
__interrupt void USCI0RX_ISR(void)
{
    if(IFG2&UCA0RXIFG){
        if(UCA0RXBUF == 'a'){
            if (State == Tgo)
                State = Idle;
            else
                State = Tgo;
        }
    }
}
}
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