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## CHAPTER 1: Introduction

### 1.1 Purpose of the Study

Mississippi Valley-type (MVT) ore deposits represent some of the greatest enrichments of $\mathrm{Pb}, \mathrm{Zn}$, and Ba in the Earth's crust. Determining the factors responsible for creating such large base metal enrichments has been an ongoing scientific endeavor spanning over 100 years, resulting in numerous theories as to their formation. Over this time, three ore precipitation models remain credible, each of which requires a number of assumptions regarding the mineralizing fluids' chemistry. Advances in laser ablationinductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry (LA-ICP-MS; Longerich et al., 1996; Heinrich et al., 2003; Allan et al., 2005) and Raman microprobe analysis of individual fluid inclusions (Rosasco et al., 1975; Dubessy et al., 1989) has made possible the determination of absolute concentrations of many elements including ore metals, sulfur content, and redox state of the mineralizing fluids responsible for precipitating MVT deposits. Identifying these fluid properties can provide constraints from which the plausibility of the proposed precipitation mechanisms can be evaluated. In addition, these fluid properties may provide explanations for why MVT deposits exhibit extreme variability with respect to deposit size, stratigraphic location and ore $\mathrm{Pb} / \mathrm{Zn}$ ratio.

Ozark MVT ore fluids are commonly regarded as some type of sedimentary brine (Hall and Friedmann, 1964; White, 1968; Anderson and Macqueen, 1982). However, the geochemical processes that lead to their composition are largely unknown. The salinity origin for Ozark MVT mineralizing fluids has commonly been determined based on Cl and Br systematics. Numerous studies have proposed the mineralizing fluids have $\mathrm{Cl} / \mathrm{Br}$
ratios indicative of both seawater evaporation and halite dissolution (Crocetti and Holland, 1989; Viets and Leach, 1990; Viets et al., 1996; Kendrick et al., 2002; Shelton et al., 2009). However, Ozark MVT mineralizing fluids with $\mathrm{Cl} / \mathrm{Br}$ ratios indicative of highly evaporatively concentrated seawater (past the point of halite precipitation) have ionic strengths and cation concentrations inconsistent with the composition of highly evaporated seawater. These differences suggest Ozark MVT ore fluids are not as highly evaporated as suggested by their $\mathrm{Cl} / \mathrm{Br}$ ratios or the seawater has been diluted significantly and/or reacted with aquifer rocks.

This dissertation focuses on using Ozark MVT mineralizing fluid compositions determined from LA-ICP-MS and Raman analysis to develop a hydrogeochemical conceptual model and reaction path and mixing models in two chapters (Chapters 2 and 3). In Chapter 2 the composition of Ozark MVT mineralizing fluids from four Ozark MVT districts are evaluated to determine a dominant ore precipitation mechanism, possible fluid migration pathways, temporal changes in fluid compositions and infer why Ozark MVT deposits are variable with respect to deposit size, stratigraphic location and ore $\mathrm{Zn} / \mathrm{Pb}$ ratio. In Chapter 3 reaction path and mixing models are developed which may explain how the Ozark MVT fluids acquired their unique composition. The reaction path models consider a variety of natural evaporated seawater compositions reacting with granitic rocks. In addition, an idealized evaporated seawater was designed to allow the model to converge on the average Ozark MVT ore fluid composition. The mixing model considers a fluid composition that, upon mixing with a Cambrian highly evaporatively concentrated seawater, results in the average composition of the Ozark MVT sphaleritehosted fluid.
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# CHAPTER 2: Geochemistry of Mississippi Valley-type Mineralizing Fluids of the Ozark Plateau: A Regional Synthesis 

### 2.1 Abstract

The compositions of fluid inclusions hosted in ore and gangue minerals from Mississippi Valley-type (MVT) $\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{Zn}-\mathrm{Ba}$ deposits of the Ozark Plateau region were measured to develop a regional hydro-geochemical conceptual model for ore emplacement. This model may explain the diverse compositions of fluids involved in mineral precipitation, the ore precipitation mechanism, and the temporal change in composition of fluids invading the ore districts. The conceptual model additionally provides evidence for what factors may have controlled deposit size, stratigraphic location, and $\mathrm{Zn} / \mathrm{Pb}$ ratio.

High Pb concentrations up to 1,000 's of ppm were identified in sphalerite-hosted fluid inclusions from all of the region's districts. If these high Pb concentrations were transported in the same fluid with sulfide, then total sulfur concentration in the fluid must have been low. Mass balance calculations demonstrate that the Arkoma Basin, a presumed source basin for the mineralizing fluids, is too small to have contained enough fluid to precipitate the observed masses of sulfur in the larger MVT districts, given the low sulfide concentrations that could coexist in the fluid with such high concentrations of Pb . High methane concentrations in sphalerite-hosted fluid inclusions from all of the region's districts, in dolomite-hosted fluid inclusions from the Tri-State and Northern Arkansas districts, and quartz-hosted fluid inclusions from the Northern Arkansas district suggest that the prevailing redox conditions during MVT mineralization were reducing,
making it unlikely that sulfate was transported in large concentrations in the fluids. During sphalerite precipitation, assuming saturation with respect to carbon dioxide, measured methane concentrations in sphalerite-hosted fluid inclusions would have required oxygen fugacity to have been at least two $\log$ units below the sulfate predominance field boundary. Fluid inclusion methane concentrations could also be used to estimate burial depths of mineralization of about 0.08 to 1.3 km .

Available evidence indicates that sulfide mineral precipitation in the Ozark Plateau MVT districts most likely occurred primarily as a result of the introduction of sulfide into a Pb - and Zn -rich ore fluid. In the two larger MVT districts, sulfide may have been supplied by local organic- and sulfur-rich carbonate facies. An apparent mixing line between high $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Na}$ ratio and low $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Na}$ ratio fluids hosted predominantly in main stage sulfide minerals and paragenetically late minerals, respectively, indicates the ore fluid was relatively Ca enriched. The lack of continuity in high Pb concentrations in fluid inclusions in sulfide and nonsulfide minerals from across the mineral parageneses suggests that the ore fluids either entered the districts intermittently or had variable metal contents over time.

### 2.2 Introduction

The Ozark Plateau of the central United States is one of the world's most important provinces of Mississippi Valley-type (MVT) mineralization (fig. 2.1). The Southeast Missouri and Tri-State districts represent two of the largest known Pb and Zn enrichments in the Earth's crust, originally containing more than 800 and 450 million tonnes of sulfide ore, respectively (Brockie and others, 1968; Ohle and Gerdemann,

1989; Hagni, 1995). Smaller accumulations of MVT sulfide mineralization occur in the Northern Arkansas and Central Missouri districts. These districts each produced several ten thousand tonnes of sulfide ore with an additional 320,000 tonnes of barite from the Central Missouri district (Leach, 1994). The Washington County barite district, frequently considered a subdistrict of the Southeast Missouri district but not included in the present study, is intermediate in size and produced at least 12 million tonnes of barite (Kaiser and others, 1987).

The Ozark MVT districts are all believed to be products of the same Pennsylvanian-Permian regional hydrothermal system (Leach and Rowan, 1986; Bethke and Marshak, 1990; Leach, 1994), yet differ significantly not only with respect to size but also stratigraphic position and mineralogy. Different MVT districts may also have formed by different precipitation mechanisms and from fluids with different chemical compositions (Plumlee and others, 1994). All of the districts are hosted predominantly by platform carbonate rocks, but the stratigraphic ages are Cambrian for Southeast Missouri, Mississippian for Tri-State, Ordovician and Mississippian for Northern Arkansas, and Ordovician for Central Missouri. All four districts contain sphalerite, galena, pyrite, marcasite, and chalcopyrite, but in highly variable proportions and amounts. In the Southeast Missouri, Tri-State, and Northern Arkansas districts, zinc and lead are the principal ore metals and have respective atomic $\mathrm{Zn} / \mathrm{Pb}$ ratios of about $0.13,16$, and 50 (McKnight, 1935; Viets and Leach, 1990). Although the Central Missouri district consists predominantly of barite, its sulfide mineralogy has a $\mathrm{Zn} / \mathrm{Pb}$ ratio of 0.87 (Viets and Leach, 1990).

Having likely formed from the same physical hydrothermal system, the Ozark Plateau MVT deposits mineralizing fluids share some broad similarities. For fluid inclusions hosted by sulfide minerals and dolomite, these similarities include homogenization temperatures $\left(\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{h}}\right)$ predominantly in the range of about 80 to $140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and salinities predominantly in the range of 22 to 26 equivalent weight percent NaCl (eq. wt\% NaCl ), with $\mathrm{Na}, \mathrm{Ca}, \mathrm{K}$, and Mg as the most abundant cations in solution and Cl as the most abundant anion in solution (Newhouse, 1933; Schmidt, 1962; Roedder, 1963, 1967, 1977; Leach and others, 1975; Leach, 1979; Hagni, 1983; Long and others, 1986; Rowan and Leach, 1989; Viets and Leach, 1990; Shelton and others, 1992; Ragan, 1994; Ragan and others, 1996; Viets and others, 1996; Coveney and others, 2000; Appold and others, 2004; Stoffell and others, 2008; Appold and Wenz, 2011). These fluid inclusion characteristics are typical of sedimentary brines, with the exception of $K$, which is enriched significantly in MVT fluid inclusions. Halogen compositions of the fluid inclusions are generally consistent with those of brines that formed through evaporation of seawater (Viets and others, 1996; Kendrick and others, 2002; Stoffell and others, 2008; Shelton and others, 2009). The Southeast Missouri, Tri-State, and Northern Arkansas districts contain a population of Pb -rich sphalerite-hosted fluid inclusions, which may indicate mixing between a metal-rich and a metal-poor fluid (Stoffell and others, 2008; Appold and Wenz, 2011).

Despite broadly similar fluid properties, significant heterogeneities in the Ozark MVT fluids have also been reported. Fluid inclusions from Central Missouri barite have lower $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{h}}$ values and salinities than fluid inclusions hosted by sphalerite or dolomite from any of the districts (Leach, 1980). Viets and Leach (1990) reported distinctively high K
concentrations and low $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Mg}$ ratios for fluid inclusions hosted by main-stage cuboctahedral galena from Southeast Missouri compared to fluid inclusions from other Ozark MVT districts. In the Southeast Missouri district, Viets and others (1992) found sphalerite coinciding paragenetically with cuboctahedral galena to be enriched in $\mathrm{Fe}, \mathrm{Cu}$, $\mathrm{Cd}, \mathrm{Mn}, \mathrm{Co}$, and Ag relative to sphalerite from other Ozark MVT districts.

Heterogeneities such as these may provide clues as to whether or not different precipitation mechanisms operated in the different Ozark MVT districts, and why the districts have different sizes, stratigraphic locations and mineralogies.

Like previous studies (Appold and others, 2004; Stoffell and others, 2008; Appold and Wenz, 2011), the present study used laser ablation-inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry (LA-ICP-MS) in conjunction with microthermometry to characterize the composition of fluids involved in forming MVT deposits in the Ozark Plateau. This study also presents Raman microprobe analyses of fluid inclusions from Ozark MVT districts, from which methane concentrations were determined and which constrain the redox potential and pressure of mineral deposit formation. The robust and comprehensive fluid inclusion composition dataset generated by the present study makes it possible to develop a regional hydro-geochemical conceptual model for MVT mineral deposit formation in the Ozark Plateau. This model is used to explain the variability of the fluids responsible for mineralization, the ore precipitation mechanism, temporal change in fluid composition invading the districts, and what factors may have controlled the deposits' size, stratigraphic location and $\mathrm{Zn} / \mathrm{Pb}$ ratio.

### 2.3 Geologic Background

The Ozark Plateau of the central United States consists of a thick sedimentary succession composed predominantly of carbonate rocks (fig. 2.2). The Ozark MVT deposits, which include the Southeast Missouri, Tri-State, Northern Arkansas and Central Missouri districts, occur at different stratigraphic horizons and geographic locations within the Ozark Plateau (figs. 2.1 and 2. 2). The deposits are hypothesized to have formed from a regional hydrothermal flow system consisting of sedimentary brines discharged from the Arkoma basin and adjacent platform during the Late Pennsylvanian to Early Permian Ouachita orogeny (Leach, 1973; Leach, 1979; Leach and Rowan 1986). This orogeny would have created a strong south-north topographic gradient, which several hydrologic modeling studies have shown could have produced sufficient fluid flow, heat transport, and solute transport to account for large-scale MVT mineralization in the Ozark Plateau (Garven and others, 1993; Appold and Garven, 1999; Appold and Garven, 2000; and Appold and Nunn, 2005). According to this mechanism, long-distance fluid flow would have occurred primarily during the latter stages of the orogeny when erosion of the Ouachita fold and thrust belt would have caused isostatic rebound and uplift of the foreland.

What caused this regional hydrothermal system to form MVT deposits in each of the four districts remains uncertain. Historically, three models have been proposed for precipitating MVT deposits: a reduced sulfur model, a sulfate reduction model, and a mixing model (Jackson and Beales, 1967; Anderson, 1975, 1991, 2008; Sverjensky, 1981, 1986). In the reduced sulfur model, ore metals and reduced sulfur are transported together in solution in low concentrations, and sulfide minerals are precipitated due to
cooling, dilution, or a decrease in acidity. In the sulfate reduction model, ore metals are transported with sulfate, allowing higher concentrations of both metals and sulfur in solution. Sulfide mineral precipitation is caused when the fluid enters a sufficiently reducing environment to cause sulfate to be converted to sulfide, which dramatically lowers the solubility of the metals. In the mixing model, sulfide mineral precipitation is caused by mixing of a metal-rich, sulfide-poor fluid with a metal-poor, sulfide-rich fluid at the site of ore deposition.

Sverjensky (1981) argued for a reduced sulfur model in the Southeast Missouri district based on textural and paragenetic relationships, isotopic data and reasonable solubility constraints for simultaneous transport of low concentrations of lead and zinc with reduced sulfur. Anderson (1991) proposed thermochemical sulfate reduction of a metal- and sulfate-bearing fluid as the mechanism responsible for precipitating sulfides in the Southeast Missouri district. Anderson and Thom (2008) used two dimensional reaction path modeling to demonstrate that thermochemical sulfate reduction can provide enough sulfur to form an MVT orebody in a geologically reasonable period of time. Plumlee and others (1994) used reaction path modeling to evaluate possible ore precipitation mechanisms for each of the Ozark MVT deposits using geochemical fluid data collected from fluid inclusions. Based on modeling they concluded the Southeast Missouri and Central Missouri districts formed via mixing of a metal-rich sulfur-poor fluid with a metal-poor sulfide-rich fluid and the Tri-State and Northern Arkansas districts formed by reaction of a dolomite-saturated brine with cool limestone.

The viability of a reduced sulfur model has been questioned recently due to determinations of high Pb contents in some of the Ozark MVT ore fluids (Stoffell and
others, 2008; Appold and Wenz, 2011). The sulfate reduction model has been criticized for not explaining sufficiently the absence of low $\delta^{13} \mathrm{C}$ values in ore-associated carbonates if organic carbon compounds were the reductant, though Anderson (1991) argues that ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$-enriched carbon did not necessarily have to be incorporated into the rocks. The mixing model is supported by numerous investigations documenting the involvement of multiple fluids in mineralization (Viets and Leach 1990; Shelton and others, 1992, 2009; Goldhaber and others, 1995; Stoffell and others 2008; Appold and Wenz, 2011), though some workers have questioned its consistency with observed isotopic compositions and ore mineral assemblages (Sverjensky, 1981; Plumlee and others, 1994).

### 2.3.1 Southeast Missouri district

The Southeast Missouri district is composed of two principal subdistricts of sulfide mineralization, the Old Lead Belt and the Viburnum Trend. The present study considered only deposits in the Viburnum Trend, which contains the majority of the ore and where all current mining is taking place. The Viburnum Trend stretches north to south for approximately 80 km along the western flank of the St. Francois Mountains and is hosted by the Upper Cambrian Bonneterre Dolomite (fig. 2.2). The following district description of the Southeast Missouri district comes from the works of Snyder and Gerdemann (1968), Gerdemann and Myers (1972), and the review of Hagni (1995), except where otherwise referenced. Mineralization is associated closely with a dolomitized reef facies that encircles much of the St. Francois Mountains. The rocks of the St. Francois Mountains are composed predominantly of granites, rhyolites, and tuffs,
and form the basement to the Cambrian sedimentary succession in southeast Missouri (Bickford and Mose, 1975), and probably most of the Ozark Plateau. Unconformably overlying the felsic is the Lamotte Sandstone, which grades from an arkose near its contact with the basement, to a quartz arenite in its middle, to a sandy carbonate near its upper contact with the overlying Bonneterre. The Bonneterre is overlain by the Davis Formation, which consists of interbedded shales and fine-grained carbonates that act as a regional capping aquitard.

Galena is the dominant sulfide mineral in southeast Missouri, but other abundant sulfide minerals include sphalerite, chalcopyrite, marcasite, and pyrite. Mineralization occurs most commonly as porosity fillings in breccias, vugs, fractures, or bedding planes, and as replacement of the host rock (Gregg and Gerdemann, 1989). Most of the galena ( $\sim 90 \%$ ) was precipitated in a cuboctahedral form, with lesser amounts of cubic galena precipitated late in the paragenesis. The principal gangue mineral is dolomite, which occurs as a replacement or as four distinct cement stages that are identifiable by cathodoluminescence microscopy and that span much of the paragenesis (fig. 2.3).

### 2.3.2 Tri-State district

The Tri-State district consists of six major ore bodies oriented in a roughly southwest-northeast trending, 35 km long array in northeast Oklahoma, southwest Missouri, and southeast Kansas. The following represents a brief review of the geology of the Tri-State District from the works of Brockie and others (1968), McKnight and Fischer (1970), and Hagni (1976) except where otherwise referenced. Ore is hosted predominantly in cherty limestones of the Mississippian Warsaw and Keokuk

Formations, which are part of a larger hydrostratigraphic package called the Springfield Plateau Aquifer (fig. 2.2). Regionally, the Springfield Plateau Aquifer is underlain by the low-permeability Ozark Confining Unit, but in the Tri-State district the Ozark Confining Unit is absent (Imes, 1990), which may have allowed rising hydrothermal fluids to enter the Springfield Plateau Aquifer in the Tri-State district. Hydrothermal fluids may have been channeled along faults that can extend from the Precambrian basement to as high as the ground surface. Displacement along these faults has produced folds, with which most of the economic mineralization is associated.

The dominant sulfide ore mineral in the Tri-State district is sphalerite with subordinate galena and minor chalcopyrite. The paragenesis can be summarized as consisting of early gray dolomite and jasperoid alteration, overprinted successively by pink dolomite, sulfide, and calcite mineralization, with significant overlap and intermittencies (fig. 2.3). This spatial and temporal mineral zonation likely attests to the changing composition of the ore fluids over time. Mineralization in the district tends to be zoned spatially and temporally. Gray dolomite is early paragenetically, possibly predating MVT mineralization, and occurs in the center of mineralized zones, commonly as ovoid or elongate masses. These gray dolomite masses may have originated as limestone bioherms (Hagni, 1982) and served as sources of sulfur and/or organic reductant. Gray dolomite may grade into pink dolomite locally, although pink dolomite predominantly occurs as cement and more commonly occurs separate from gray dolomite. The zone of pink dolomite and sulfide mineralization grades into a zone of jasperoid alteration that can also be strongly mineralized with sulfides and calcite. Beyond the jasperoid zone is unaltered and unmineralized limestone.

### 2.3.3 Northern Arkansas district

The Northern Arkansas district is comprised of approximately 250 small deposits in two geographically separated clusters (McKnight, 1935; fig. 2.1). About $90 \%$ of the mineralization occurs in the western part of the district (fig. 2.1), which was the focus of the present study. Mineralization is hosted predominantly in the Ordovician Everton Formation, which consists of limestone and dolomite interbedded with sandstone and rare shale. A smaller amount of mineralization occurs in Mississippian limestones of the St. Joe and Boone Formations in the southwestern part of the district (fig. 2.2). Throughout the Northern Arkansas district, mineralization tends to occur as replacement and cavityfill deposits along bedding planes in dolomite and silicified limestone in close proximity to faults, which can also be mineralized (McKnight, 1935). Thus, mineralizing fluids are likely to have risen through faults until they encountered high porosity and permeability horizons, into which the fluids would then have flowed laterally.

The sulfide mineralogy of the Northern Arkansas district consists predominantly of sphalerite with lesser amounts of galena, chalcopyrite, pyrite, and marcasite. Mineralization began with the precipitation of a gray dolomite phase and jasperoid, followed by sulfides, pink dolomite, coarse quartz, and calcite (McKnight, 1935).

### 2.3.4 Central Missouri district

The Central Missouri district consists of more than 250 small barite deposits with highly variable amounts of sulfides. Mineralization occurs in cherty granular dolomites of the Ordovician Jefferson City and Gasconade Dolomites. It began with the precipitation
of sulfide minerals, predominantly sphalerite and galena with minor marcasite and pyrite, followed by barite and calcite, with chalcopyrite precipitated throughout the paragenesis (Leach, 1980). The majority of ore is contained within circular solution collapse structures located on the crests of northwest trending folds and flexures related to the Ozark uplift (Leach, 1980).

### 2.4 Methodology

### 2.4.1 Fluid Inclusion Petrography and Microthermometry

Fluid inclusions for compositional analysis were identified in doubly polished thin sections using transmitted light microscopy. Primary and secondary fluid inclusions were distinguished following the criteria of Goldstein and Reynolds (1994). Secondary fluid inclusions were also of interest in this study because of the information that they provide about post-ore-stage fluid compositions. When possible, multiple fluid inclusions from a single fluid inclusion assemblage (FIA) were selected to ensure good data quality. However, some isolated, negative crystal-shaped, large fluid inclusions were measured as well. All inclusions observed were two-phase aqueous inclusions with the exception of a single one-phase liquid fluid inclusion hosted in barite. Fluid inclusion microthermometry was performed using a Linkam THGMS 600 heating-cooling stage at the University of Missouri. Measurement precision and accuracy for $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{h}}$ measurements were $\pm 1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $\pm 0.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for last ice melting $\left(\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{m}}\right) . \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{h}}$ data were collected in sample chips systematically from low to high temperature to avoid stretching of the fluid inclusions. Despite this precaution, numerous inclusions were observed to have decreased liquid to vapor (L:V) ratios after heating, indicating that stretching had occurred and that the $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{h}}$
value recorded may be elevated artificially due to stretching. $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{m}}$ values were measured after $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{h}}$ values had been determined. Although hydrohalite was observed, ice was the last phase to melt for all of the inclusions.

### 2.4.2 LA-ICP-MS

After the completion of microthermometry, elemental concentrations of inclusion fluids were measured using an Agilent 7500ce quadrupole ICP-MS coupled to a GeolasPro Excimer 193 nm ArF laser ablation system housed in the Department of Geosciences Fluids Research Laboratory at Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University. The instrument was calibrated to the NIST 610 glass standard. Samples were ablated in a $1.5 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ablation cell using a laser output energy of 150 mJ , and adjusting beam diameter to ensure ablation of the entire fluid inclusion. The ablated material was transported from the ablation cell by He gas flowing at a rate of $0.7 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$. The analyte was then mixed with $1.03 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{min} \mathrm{Ar}$ gas before introduction into the plasma. The ICP-MS was operated at a RF power value of 1500 W with a dwell time per isotope of 10 ms .

Because LA-ICP-MS analysis is destructive, data quality cannot be assessed by reproducibility tests where the same fluid inclusions are analyzed repeatedly. Instead, the relative standard deviation (RSD) is determined for fluid inclusions within a FIA, where the fluid inclusions should all represent samples of the same fluid composition. For sphalerite-hosted FIA's the average RSD values for $\mathrm{Na}, \mathrm{Mg}, \mathrm{K}, \mathrm{Ca}, \mathrm{Sr}$ and Ba are 4, 18, $15,11,12$, and 24 percent, respectively. These values are less than or equal to those reported in a validation study of the LA-ICP-MS fluid inclusion analysis technique by Allan and others (2005). Despite the need to analyze multiple inclusions from a single

FIA to assess data quality, a few isolated large inclusions were measured as well. The advantages of measuring large fluid inclusions are increased signal intensity and lower limits of detection. In addition, larger inclusions on average had detectable Pb concentrations, which is likely a direct result of the better counting statistics afforded by a larger analytical volume. Reduction of LA-ICP-MS fluid and mineral matrix data was carried out using the AMS 6.1.1 software (Mutchler and others, 2008). This software calculates the absolute elemental concentrations in fluid inclusions using Na as an internal standard. An independent determination of Na concentration in the fluid inclusions was obtained from the $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{m}}$ values, which was converted to eq. $\mathrm{wt} \% \mathrm{NaCl}$ using the equation of state of Bodnar (1993). Eq. wt \% NaCl was then converted to actual weight percent NaCl using the algorithm of Heinrich and others (2003).

LA-ICP-MS elemental analysis of fluid inclusions cannot be used to determine fluid constituents that occur in comparatively high concentrations in the mineral matrix. For example the elemental concentration of Ca and Mg in dolomite-hosted fluid inclusions cannot be determined due to substantial interference of the fluid signal from the host matrix signal. As discussed by Appold and Wenz (2011), for fluid inclusions whose major elemental solute signals (e.g. $\mathrm{Na}, \mathrm{Ca}, \mathrm{Mg}$, or K ) cannot be resolved because of interferences from the host mineral matrix, then the mass balance equation for computing absolute elemental concentrations (e.g. ppm) cannot be solved, and only atomic ratios can be quantified.

For sphalerites that have detectable concentrations of Pb , the aqueous Pb component was determined by selecting the sphalerite matrix as the background during AMS data reduction. The accuracy of this approach was checked by recalculating several
fluid inclusion analyses using the Exlam software (Zacharias and Wilkinson, 2007), which utilizes an algorithm for determining the aqueous concentrations of elements that are present at low concentrations in the host mineral matrix (Wilkinson and others, 2009). These comparisons showed the AMS software to calculate similar, but slightly lower, Pb concentrations than calculated by the Exlam software.

### 2.4.3 Raman Spectroscopy

Raman analyses were conducted in the Vibrational Spectroscopy Laboratory in the Department of Geosciences at Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University using a JY Horiba LabRam HR ( 800 mm ) spectrometer with excitation provided by a 514.53 nm Laser Physics 100S-514 $\mathrm{Ar}^{+}$laser. Raman spectroscopy was used to look for $\mathrm{SO}_{4}^{2-}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}, \mathrm{CO}_{2}$, and $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ in fluid inclusions. Many fluid inclusions were either too deep or too small for the targeted species to be detected. Methane partial pressures were determined following the method of Lin and others (2007), which relies on accurate determination of the pressure dependent $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ wave number peak location relative to neon reference lines. Methane partial pressure was then converted to density by applying the $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ equation of state of Duan and others (1992). Next, the total aqueous concentration and minimum trapping pressure of $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ in the fluid inclusions was determined using the method of Becker and others (2010) and the $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{NaCl}-\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ equation of state of Duan and Mao (2006). Burial depth at the time of mineralization was computed assuming that the $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ trapping pressure is equivalent to hydrostatic pressure with a water density of $1000 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$.

### 2.4.4 Cathodoluminescence Petrography

After completion of LA-ICP-MS and Raman analysis, dolomite samples were observed by cathodoluminescence (CL) microscopy using a Nuclide Corporation cathode luminoscope in the Department of Geology and Geophysics at the Missouri University of Science and Technology. CL microscopy was used to determine the paragentic stage of dolomite cements in the Viburnum Trend (Rickman, 1981; Voss and Hagni, 1985; Farr, 1989; Voss and others, 1989) and to determine if similar zoning patterns exist for dolomites from the other districts.

### 2.5 Results

A total of 528 sphalerite-hosted, 71 dolomite-hosted, 30 quartz-hosted, 12 baritehosted, and three calcite-hosted fluid inclusions were analyzed by both microthermometry and LA-ICP-MS. Petrographic, microthermometry, and LA-ICP-MS data for sphalerite- and quartz-hosted fluid inclusions are presented in Appendix 1. Petrographic, microthermometry, and LA-ICP-MS data for barite-, calcite- and dolomitehosted fluid inclusions are presented in Appendix 2. Fluid inclusion LA-ICP-MS analyses that included at least several seconds of mineral matrix ablation also allowed the mineral matrix composition to be calculated. Mineral matrix analyses are presented in table 2.1.

### 2.5.1 Fluid Inclusion Microthermometry and Petrography

$\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{h}}$ values for dolomite-, quartz-, and sphalerite-hosted fluid inclusions show considerable variation within each of the four Ozark MVT districts, but collectively fall mostly within a range of 85 to $140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (fig. 2.4A). As discussed in more detail below,
fluid inclusions hosted by quartz tend to have the lowest $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{h}}$ values, whereas sphalerite and dolomite have increasingly greater $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{h}}$ values. Mineral parageneses for each district indicate mineral precipitation was largely sequential. Therefore, the variation in $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{h}}$ among minerals may reflect temporal variation of the fluid temperature. The Ozark Plateau is not known to have been subjected to any heating events subsequent to MVT ore formation (Wisniowiecki and others, 1983; Pan and others, 1990; Symons and Sangster, 1991; Symons and others, 2005) that could have partially reset $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{h}}$ values and caused their observed variability. However, stretching or leakage of some fluid inclusions could have occurred during microthermometric heating, artificially raising their $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{h}}$ values. Thus, the actual mean and range of $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{h}}$ values is probably lower than indicated by the measured data. A further important observation is that all fluid inclusions homogenized to a single aqueous phase, which together with the absence of any vapordominated fluid inclusions argues against boiling as an explanation for the observed variability in $T_{h}$ values. First melting for all dolomite-, sphalerite- and quartz-hosted fluid inclusions measured range from -35 to $-68^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, indicating that the inclusions are complex brines with significant concentrations of cations other than Na. Further details about the microthermometry and petrography of fluid inclusions in each of the mineral hosts are reported below.

Sphalerite.- Microthermometry was performed on primary and secondary fluid inclusions hosted by sphalerite from all four Ozark MVT districts. $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{h}}$ values for both fluid inclusion types range from 54 to $145{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{m}}$ values from -2.5 to $-23.4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, corresponding to salinities of 4.0 to 24.6 eq. wt $\% \mathrm{NaCl}$. These values lie within the
ranges determined from previous studies (Newhouse, 1933; Schmidt, 1962; Leach and others, 1975; Leach, 1979; Roedder, 1977, Hagni, 1983; Long and others 1986; Ragan, 1994; Ragan and others, 1996; Coveney and others, 2000; Stoffell and others, 2008). Pseudosecondary origin for fluid inclusions could not be determined with confidence, due to the absence of suitable relationships to growth bands. It is possible, therefore, that some of the inclusions classified as secondary may actually be pseudosecondary. $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{h}}$ values and salinity do not vary systematically as a function of fluid inclusion origin in any of the four districts. Instead, primary and secondary fluid inclusions have largely coincident ranges for $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{h}}$ values from 54 to $145^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 67 to $140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and for salinity from 18.8 to 24.6 NaCl eq. $\mathrm{wt} \%$, and 16.3 to $24.5 \mathrm{eq} . \mathrm{wt} \% \mathrm{NaCl}$ (excluding two anomalous values of 4.0 eq. $\mathrm{wt} \% \mathrm{NaCl}$ ), respectively. This suggests that fluids with similar temperature and salinity to the fluids responsible for sphalerite precipitation continued to circulate through each of the districts after sphalerite precipitation had ceased.

District mean $T_{h}$ values for sphalerite-hosted fluid inclusions show a weak positive correlation with district size (fig. 2.4A). The district mean $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{h}}$ value is lowest for Central Missouri and increases progressively for Northern Arkansas, Tri-State, and the Viburnum Trend. An exception to this trend is a small population of fluid inclusions from the Northern Arkansas district that has elevated $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{h}}$ values, also identified by Leach (1975) and Long and others (1986). District standard deviation of salinity for sphaleritehosted fluid inclusions shows an overall positive correlation to district size. The standard deviations of salinity are $3.8,1.9,0.9$, and 0.8 for the Viburnum Trend, Tri-State, Northern Arkansas, and Central Missouri districts, respectively.

Calcite and Dolomite.- Microthermometric measurements were determined for fluid inclusions hosted by gangue calcite from the Granby mine in the Tri-State district. Microthermometric measurements of fluid inclusions hosted by gangue dolomite were conducted on samples from various localities in the Viburnum Trend, Tri-State, and Northern Arkansas districts. No dolomite gangue was found in the Central Missouri district. All of the dolomite- and calcite-hosted fluid inclusions measured were two-phase aqueous inclusions interpreted to be primary in origin. $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{h}}$ values determined for calciteand dolomite-hosted fluid inclusions range from 68 to $78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and from 77 to $193{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, respectively, consistent with previous studies (Rowan and Leach, 1989; Shelton and others, 1992; Appold and others, 2004). As noted above, for all districts, dolomite-hosted fluid inclusions record $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{h}}$ values that are greater than those of fluid inclusions hosted by any other mineral (fig. 2.4A). Some of this difference may be attributable to stretching of the fluid inclusion during heating. Stretching was observed in the majority of dolomitehosted fluid inclusions through decreased $\mathrm{L}: \mathrm{V}$ ratios upon cooling to room temperature after homogenization. $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{h}}$ value ranges for fluid inclusions hosted by dolomite are similar for the Tri-State district and Viburnum Trend, whereas for Northern Arkansas district fluid inclusions, $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{h}}$ values are on average about $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ higher (fig. 2.4A).

All calcite-hosted fluid inclusions measured in this study come from a single FIA and have a salinity of $6.7 \mathrm{eq} . \mathrm{wt} \% \mathrm{NaCl}$. This is consistent with previous findings for the Tri-State district (Schmidt, 1962) as well as for the Viburnum Trend (Rowan and Leach, 1989; Appold and others 2004) indicating the presence of a low salinity fluid late in the district parageneses. Gangue dolomite-hosted fluid inclusions from all of the Ozark MVT
districts have salinities ranging from 8.5 to 24.6 eq. $\mathrm{wt} \% \mathrm{NaCl}$, with over $90 \%$ of the inclusions exceeding 22 eq. $\mathrm{wt} \% \mathrm{NaCl}$. Two low salinity ( 8.5 and 10.1 eq. $\mathrm{wt} \% \mathrm{NaCl}$, fig. 2.1B) dolomite-hosted fluid inclusions from the Viburnum Trend occur in the same dolomite cement zone 1 as high salinity fluid inclusions. Previous studies (Rowan and Leach, 1989; Shelton and others, 1992; Appold and others, 2004) have found a similar low salinity fluid in the Viburnum Trend gangue dolomite.

Quartz.- Microthermometric measurements were made for primary and secondary fluid inclusions hosted by quartz from the Tri-State and Northern Arkansas districts. Quartz was not found in any of the Central Missouri district mines, and is rare in the Southeast Missouri district. $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{h}}$ values for quartz-hosted fluid inclusions are highly variable among and within FIA's in both the Tri-State and Northern Arkansas districts, ranging overall from 63 to $140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and from 87 to $125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, respectively. Secondary fluid inclusions have some of the higher $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{h}}$ values, which may have been caused by leaking or necking down during annealing of a fracture. Despite the large variation in $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{h}}$ values, quartz-hosted fluid inclusions exhibit the narrowest salinity range of any mineral (from 23.4 to 24.1 eq. wt $\% \mathrm{NaCl}$ ), for both primary and secondary fluid inclusions. These results are similar to those of previous studies (Long and others, 1986; Stoffell and others, 2008), though Stoffell and others (2008) reported a population of lower salinity ( $\sim 16$ eq. $\mathrm{wt} \% \mathrm{NaCl}$ ) fluid inclusions from the Picher field of the Tri-State district that was not identified in this study. Although no Viburnum Trend quartz-hosted fluid inclusions were analyzed in this study, Appold and others (2004) measured four quartz-
hosted fluid inclusions from the Viburnum Trend's Casteel mine and found $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{h}}$ values to range from 90 to $108{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with salinities of approximately $19 \mathrm{eq} . \mathrm{wt} \% \mathrm{NaCl}$.

Barite.- Fluid inclusions hosted by barite were analyzed from the Ford, Goller, and Tiff mines of the Central Missouri district. All barite-hosted fluid inclusions analyzed were interpreted to be primary in origin. Many secondary fluid inclusions and interconnected cavities were also identified but were not measured. The L:V ratios of barite-hosted fluid inclusions are highly variable and range from pure liquid to gasdominated, likely indicating fluid entrapment in the vadose zone (Goldstein and Reynolds, 1994). Thus, $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{h}}$ values of barite-hosted fluid inclusions are unlikely to provide accurate constraints on trapping temperatures. This conclusion is supported by the observation that, with one exception, none of the barite-hosted fluid inclusions homogenized below a temperature of $140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, which is near the maximum observed for Ozark MVT deposits in fluid inclusions hosted by other minerals. Thus, $140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was the maximum temperature to which barite samples were heated in the present study to avoid decrepitating fluid inclusions. $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{m}}$ values of barite-hosted fluid inclusions range from -0.9 to $-8.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, corresponding to salinities from 1.6 to 11.8 eq. $\mathrm{wt} \% \mathrm{NaCl}$. This range of salinities is similar to that found by Leach (1980) and demonstrates the occurrence of large fluid compositional changes during barite precipitation. Moreover, the salinities of barite-hosted fluid inclusions are overall much lower than those of sphalerite-hosted fluid inclusions from the Central Missouri district or from sphalerite-, dolomite-, or quartzhosted fluid inclusions from the other three Ozark MVT districts. Thus, barite precipitation in the Central Missouri district appears to have occurred from an
anomalously dilute fluid, possibly reflecting the influence of meteoric recharge in the vadose zone.

### 2.5.2 LA-ICP-MS Analysis of Fluid Inclusions

A major goal of this study was to determine the elemental composition of the mineral precipitating fluids in order to look for contrasts that might distinguish the districts from one another and to provide insights into how the deposits formed. This was attempted by analyzing fluid inclusions with LA-ICP-MS. The results of these analyses are shown in Appendices 1 and 2 and summarized below.

Sphalerite.- Sphalerite is common to all four Ozark MVT districts, and therefore, sphalerite-hosted fluid inclusions offer the best basis for comparing and contrasting ore fluids among the districts. Of the 528 sphalerite-hosted fluid inclusions analyzed, 75 are from the Viburnum Trend, 166 are from the Tri-State district, 180 are from the Northern Arkansas district, and 107 are from the Central Missouri district. Overall the sphalerite-hosted fluid inclusions' elemental composition was dominated by Na with lower concentrations of $\mathrm{Ca}, \mathrm{K}, \mathrm{Mg}, \mathrm{Sr}$, and Ba . Average concentrations for Na , $\mathrm{Ca}, \mathrm{K}, \mathrm{Mg}, \mathrm{Sr}$ and Ba are $66,000,18,000,2800,2000,680$, and 23 ppm , respectively. Three detectable Li concentrations ranged from 14 to 18 ppm , and twelve detectable Mn concentrations ranged from 7 to 46 ppm . These analyses were from some of the larger inclusions, suggesting that other fluid inclusions likely had similar low Li and Mn concentrations, but their fluid volume was too small to allow quantification.

In each of the four districts, sphalerite-hosted fluid inclusions can be subdivided into two groups based on their salinity-a "high salinity" group with eq. wt $\% \mathrm{NaCl}$ greater than 22 that shows an inverse correlation between Na and Ca , and a low salinity group with eq. $\mathrm{wt} \% \mathrm{NaCl}$ less than 22 that shows little correlation between Na and Ca (fig. 2.5). In addition, the low salinity group has significantly elevated $\mathrm{Sr} / \mathrm{Na}$ ratios and contains a higher proportion of secondary fluid inclusions.

In each district, only sphalerite-hosted fluid inclusions contained detectable concentrations of Pb and Cu . A total of 147 fluid inclusions from across all four districts contained detectable concentrations of Pb , ranging from 2 to 3200 ppm . These Pb concentrations were found in both primary and secondary fluid inclusions, and in both the high and low salinity groups (fig. 2.6). A total of 32 fluid inclusions contained detectable concentrations of Cu , ranging from 70 to 3900 ppm . The high Cu concentrations come from a few mines in the Tri-State and Northern Arkansas districts, which have anomalously high amounts of chalcopyrite indicating elevated aqueous Cu concentrations are a local phenomenon.

Major element concentrations for sphalerite-hosted fluid inclusions are variable among the four districts. Atomic $\mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{Na}$ ratios average between 0.026 and 0.033 and $\mathrm{K} / \mathrm{Na}$ ratios between 0.023 and 0.034 , though the Viburnum Trend population has a larger number of Mg - and K -rich outliers than the other districts (fig. 2.7 A and B). Atomic $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Na}$ ratios average between 0.15 and 0.17 and $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Mg}$ ratios between 5.6 and 6.1, within which the Viburnum Trend populations have a larger number of low value $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Mg}$ ratio outliers compared to the other districts (fig. 2.8B). The $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Mg}$ ratios of all of the sphalerite-hosted fluid inclusions are below the threshold value of 34 for equilibrium
replacement of calcite by dolomite (Appold and Wenz, 2011). That is, the fluids responsible for sphalerite precipitation in the Ozark MVT districts were all dolomitizing. Average atomic $\mathrm{Sr} / \mathrm{Na}$ ratios vary between about 0.0023 and 0.0033 and $\mathrm{Ba} / \mathrm{Na}$ ratios vary between about $4.4 \times 10^{-5}$ and $5.9 \times 10^{-5}$ (fig. 2.9 A and B). Fluid inclusions from the Northern Arkansas district have slightly lower $\mathrm{Sr} / \mathrm{Na}$ and $\mathrm{Ba} / \mathrm{Na}$ mean values than fluid inclusions from the other districts (fig. 2.9A and B).

The possible existence of geochemical similarities among the four Ozark MVT districts was investigated by carrying out Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) and Fisher Least Significant Difference (LSD) post-hoc statistical tests using the SPSS software. The ANOVA test was calculated with an $\alpha$-value of 0.05 (corresponding to a confidence of $95 \%$ ) such that a significance value less than 0.05 indicates that the district populations are different. The ANOVA test results (table 2.1) show the mean $\mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{Na}, \mathrm{K} / \mathrm{Na}, \mathrm{Sr} / \mathrm{Na}$, and $\mathrm{Ba} / \mathrm{Na}$ ratios from each district to be statistically distinguishable from one another, whereas the mean $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Na}$ and $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Mg}$ ratios are not distinguishable from one another at the $95 \%$ confidence interval. Thus, although sphalerite-precipitating fluids across the Ozark Plateau are remarkably similar with respect to their bulk salinity, $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{h}}$ values and dolomitizing character given the disparate geographic and stratigraphic locations of the ore districts, the districts' fluids are chemically distinguishable from one another, reflecting original differences in the fluid composition and differences in the composition of host rocks or pore fluids with which they reacted or mixed along their flow paths.

Fisher LSD post-hoc calculations were carried out as a further test of the variability among the districts' mean atomic ratios. The results are plotted in figure 2.10 as simple binary indicators of similarity: Boxes located at the intersections of rows and
columns for different districts are shaded if the mean atomic ratios for the two compared districts are similar at a $95 \%$ confidence level and are white if they are different. Like the ANOVA tests, the Fisher LSD post-hoc tests show significant variability in the major element composition among the fluids that precipitated sphalerite in each of the four districts. The Viburnum Trend has a unique (high) K/Na ratio whereas the remaining three districts have similar (low) $\mathrm{K} / \mathrm{Na}$ ratios. The Viburnum Trend is also unique with respect to its $\mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{Na}$ and $\mathrm{Ba} / \mathrm{Na}$ ratio. The Tri-State district is distinguishable from the Northern Arkansas district based on its $\mathrm{Sr} / \mathrm{Na}$ and $\mathrm{Ba} / \mathrm{Na}$ ratios and from the Central Missouri district based on its $\mathrm{Sr} / \mathrm{Na}$ ratio. The Central Missouri and Northern Arkansas districts are distinguishable from one another with respect to $\mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{Na}, \mathrm{Sr} / \mathrm{Na}$ and $\mathrm{Ba} / \mathrm{Na}$ ratios.

As noted earlier, the Viburnum Trend has a larger population of high K/Na, $\mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{Na}$ and low $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Mg}$ sphalerite-hosted fluid inclusions than any other MVT district in the Ozark Plateau (fig. 2.7 A and B; fig. 2.8B). Viets and Leach (1990) identified a population of fluid inclusions with similarly high $\mathrm{K} / \mathrm{Na}$ and $\mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{Na}$ but low $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Mg}$ ratios hosted by main-stage cuboctahedral galena from the Viburnum Trend, which they interpreted to represent a local ore fluid end member that they called the "Viburnum Trend brine." Viets and Leach (1990) found fluid inclusions hosted by late-stage cubic galena from the Viburnum Trend to have lower $\mathrm{K} / \mathrm{Na}, \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{Na}$, and higher $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Mg}$ ratios that resemble values from fluid inclusions they analyzed from the other three Ozark MVT districts. They interpreted these fluid inclusions to represent a second ore fluid end member that was involved in MVT mineralization throughout the Ozark Plateau that they called the "regional brine."

New data presented in this study show considerably more overlap in K/Na, $\mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{Na}$, and $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Mg}$ ratios between the "Viburnum Trend brine" and the "regional brine" than is evident from the relatively small data set in the Viets and Leach (1990) study (fig. 2.11 A and B). This data overlap, coupled with the ANOVA and Fisher LSD statistical tests described above, paint a more complex picture of MVT fluid distribution in the Ozark Plateau. Namely, the Tri-State, Northern Arkansas, and Central Missouri districts are much more similar to the Viburnum Trend than the Viets and Leach (1990) data set showed, in that all four districts contain both high $\mathrm{K} / \mathrm{Na}, \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{Na}$ and low $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Mg}$ fluids and fluids with the converse properties. A "Viburnum Trend-type" brine could have evolved to a "regional-type" brine during ore deposition. Evidence for brine evolution can be seen in the Viburnum Trend, where sphalerite precipitated at the time of mainstage cuboctahedral galena and characterized by its high Ag content (Viets and others, 1992) contains fluid inclusions that encompass the entire range of $\mathrm{K} / \mathrm{Na}$ and $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Mg}$ ratios seen in cuboctahedral and cubic galena-hosted fluid inclusions (fig. 2.11 A and B).

Dolomite.- Of the 71 dolomite-hosted fluid inclusions analyzed in the present study, 32 were from the Viburnum Trend, 17 were from the Tri-State district, and 22 were from the Northern Arkansas district. All dolomite-hosted fluid inclusions contained detectable concentrations, in decreasing abundance, of $\mathrm{Na}, \mathrm{K}$ and $\mathrm{Ba} . \mathrm{Ca}, \mathrm{Mg}$, and Sr were not quantifiable in the fluid inclusions because of interferences from the host mineral matrix that overwhelmed the aqueous signal. None of the ore metals, $\mathrm{Pb}, \mathrm{Zn}$, or Cu , was detected in any of the dolomite-hosted fluid inclusions. The detection limit for Pb and Cu ranged from 1's to 10 's and for Zn from 10's to 100 's of ppm .

For the Viburnum Trend, Tri-State, and Northern Arkansas districts, mean atomic K/Na ratios of dolomite-hosted fluid inclusions differ slightly from sphalerite-hosted fluid inclusions, though the overall ranges of dolomite-hosted fluid inclusion $\mathrm{K} / \mathrm{Na}$ values lie within the ranges of the sphalerite-hosted fluid inclusion values (fig. 2.7B). In addition, the mean $\mathrm{K} / \mathrm{Na}$ ratio of the dolomite-hosted fluid for the Tri-State and Northern Arkansas districts is significantly greater than that of the Viburnum Trend dolomite cements. Because much of the dolomite was precipitated at different paragenetic stages than sphalerite, these $\mathrm{K} / \mathrm{Na}$ ratio differences may reflect slight temporal variations in the compositions of the mineralizing fluids entering each district.

The most striking feature of the dolomite-hosted fluid inclusion K/Na data set is the high values of the Viburnum Trend replacement dolomites, which were collected from the basal portion of the Bonnterre Dolomite within a few centimeters of the contact with the Lamotte Sandstone, and are believed to represent a pre-ore recrystallization event (Shelton and others, 2009). These replacement dolomites exhibit elevated $\mathrm{K} / \mathrm{Na}$ and $\mathrm{Ba} / \mathrm{Na}$ ratios (fig. 2.7B and fig. 2.9B), both of which were likely caused by the dolomites' formation in closer proximity to K - and Ba-rich sources in the underlying arkosic Lamotte Sandstone and/or granitic basement rock.

The two low salinity dolomite-hosted fluid inclusions identified in this study from the Viburnum Trend (fig. 2.4B) exhibit the highest $\mathrm{K} / \mathrm{Na}$ ratios and low $\mathrm{Ba} / \mathrm{Na}$ ratios in comparison to the rest of the Viburnum Trend gangue dolomite (Appendix 1). The low salinity and difference in atomic ratios indicates this fluid is not the product of simple dilution and represents a fluid chemistry unlike that of the other dolomite-hosted fluid inclusions. Such extreme variability of fluid inclusion salinity within an individual
dolomite growth zone is unlikely as it would require the presence of two dolomiteprecipitating fluids with drastically different densities. Rowan (1986) proposed the low salinity phase to be related to a pre-ore diagenetic dolomitization whereas Shelton and others (1992) hypothesized it to represent a separate, late invasive fluid. Rowan and Leach (1989) and Appold and others (2004) have determined the calcite-hosted fluid inclusions to have similar low salinities and Appold and others (2004) found some Viburnum Trend calcite to have similar elevated $\mathrm{K} / \mathrm{Na}$ ratios and below detection $\mathrm{Ba} / \mathrm{Na}$ ratios. The similarity between the low salinity dolomite- and calcite-hosted fluid inclusions suggests a late post-ore recrystallization of some of the dolomite during calcite precipitation.

Quartz.- Of the 30 quartz-hosted fluid inclusions that were analyzed in the present study, 18 were from the Tri-State district and 12 were from the Northern Arkansas district. No compositional differences were identified between the primary and secondary fluid inclusion populations. Quartz-hosted fluid inclusions differ significantly in composition from fluid inclusions hosted by other minerals. For the Ozark MVT deposits as a whole, quartz-hosted fluid inclusions have lower $\mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{Na}$ and $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Na}$ ratios but higher $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Mg}$ ratios than fluid inclusions hosted by sphalerite, and lower $\mathrm{K} / \mathrm{Na}$, $\mathrm{Sr} / \mathrm{Na}$, and $\mathrm{Ba} / \mathrm{Na}$ ratios than fluid inclusions hosted by either sphalerite or dolomite (figs. 2.7-2.9). Thus, quartz in the Tri-State and Northern Arkansas districts appears to have been precipitated from fluids that were relatively more enriched in Na than the fluids that precipitated sphalerite or dolomite, and also less dolomitizing than the fluid that precipitated sphalerite.

Quartz-hosted fluid inclusions from the Viburnum Trend were not analyzed in the present study, but Appold and others (2004) analyzed fluid inclusions hosted by quartz from the Casteel mine in the Viburnum Trend. In contrast to the Tri-State and Northern Arkansas districts, quartz-hosted fluid inclusions from the Viburnum Trend were found to have significantly lower salinity (approximately 19 NaCl eq. wt $\%$ ) and elevated $\mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{Na}$, $\mathrm{K} / \mathrm{Na}$, and $\mathrm{Sr} / \mathrm{Na}$ ratios. Like the Tri-State and Northern Arkansas districts, Viburnum Trend quartz-hosted fluid inclusions were found to have elevated $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Mg}$ ratios. Thus, quartz in the Viburnum Trend was also precipitated from a fluid that was less dolomitizing than the fluids that precipitated sphalerite, but was significantly different in composition from the fluids that precipitated quartz in the Tri-State and Northern Arkansas districts.

Relatively low ore metal concentrations were detected in some quartz-hosted fluid inclusions from the Tri-State district. Five fluid inclusions contained Pb ranging from 1 to 3 ppm , and a single fluid inclusion contained Zn at a concentration of 33 ppm . None of the quartz-hosted fluid inclusions from the Northern Arkansas district analyzed in the present study or from the Viburnum Trend analyzed by Appold and others (2004) contained detectable ore metal concentrations. However, detection limits in the present study were on the order of 1's to 10 's of ppm for Cu and $\mathrm{Pb}, 10$ 's to 100 's of ppm for Zn , and up to an order of magnitude higher in the Appold and others (2004) study.

Barite.- Twelve barite-hosted fluid inclusions were analyzed from the Brown, Tiff, and Goller mines in the Central Missouri district. These fluid inclusions, on average, have the highest $\mathrm{K} / \mathrm{Na}$ and $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Mg}$ and lowest $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Na}$ ratios for all mineral types (figs.
2.7B and 2.8A). Magnesium, $\mathrm{Sr}, \mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Zn}$, and Pb were not detected in any barite-hosted fluid inclusions. Aqueous Ba concentration was not quantifiable because of interferences from the host mineral matrix. Although Mg concentration was below detection, its detection limit could be used to calculate the $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Mg}$ ratio. The barite-hosted fluid inclusion with the highest salinity was selected to calculate the minimum $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Mg}$ ratio because a higher count rate of the internal standard (in this case Na ) allows more accurate detection limits to be calculated. The highest salinity barite-hosted fluid inclusion had a detection limit of 36 ppm Mg , which corresponds to a minimum $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Mg}$ atomic ratio of 28. This is the highest $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Mg}$ ratio for any mineral from any district. The absence of gangue dolomite in the Central Missouri district in conjunction with the calculated high minimum $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Mg}$ ratio is strong evidence that the barite precipitating fluid was nondolomitizing. It is possible that the low salinity fluid inclusions have lower $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Mg}$ ratios than the high salinity fluid inclusions, though the overall similarity of the measured cation ratios for the two fluid inclusion types suggests that the low salinity fluid inclusions may also have high $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Mg}$ ratios.

### 2.5.3 LA-ICP-MS Analysis of Mineral Matrices

In the course of fluid inclusion LA-ICP-MS analysis, the adjacent host mineral matrix, particularly for the ore minerals, sphalerite, galena, and barite, was frequently also analyzed to determine the concentrations of elements that could also be quantified in the fluid inclusions. These host mineral matrix analyses commonly also identified elements that were not detectable in corresponding fluid inclusions, but that can be inferred to have existed in trace concentrations in the mineralizing fluids. These trace
element compositions may provide further insights into the origins of the Ozark MVT deposits and their relationship to one another.

Concentrations of $\mathrm{Fe}, \mathrm{Co}, \mathrm{Ag}, \mathrm{Cd}$, and Pb in sphalerite from the four Ozark MVT districts are plotted in figure 2.12 and average compositions are presented in table 2.3. Cadmium is the most abundant substituted element in all four districts and is on average most concentrated in sphalerites from the Central Missouri district. Iron is consistently the second most abundant element and is on average more concentrated in sphalerites from the Viburnum Trend. The Viburnum Trend sphalerite is unique based on its significantly elevated Co concentration (fig. 2.12) found in all analyses. The Viburnum Trend sphalerites are also variably enriched in $\mathrm{Ag}, \mathrm{Pb}$, and Fe compared to sphalerites from the other three districts. Sphalerites from the Viburnum Trend that are most enriched in $\mathrm{Co}, \mathrm{Ag}$, and Fe were noted by Viets and others (1992) to be enriched also in Ni and to coincide paragenetically with the main stage cuboctahedral galena mineralization. The cuboctahedral-stage sphalerite is particularly identifiable by its high Ag content, but also by its fluid inclusions with elevated $\mathrm{K} / \mathrm{Na}$ ratios like those in cuboctahedral galena (fig. 2.7B and fig. 2.11 A and B). Thus, Ag-rich sphalerite in the Viburnum Trend appears to have precipitated largely from the same fluid from which cuboctahedral galena precipitated.

### 2.5.4 Raman Spectroscopy

Prior to laser ablation, fluid inclusions were analyzed by Raman spectroscopy to provide additional compositional information of the fluid inclusions. Of particular importance was quantifying the concentration of sulfur in the fluid, an element that
cannot be easily detected by LA-ICP-MS due to its high first ionization potential and mass interference with oxygen gas. Raman spectrometry can also provide more detailed information on the redox state of the fluid due to its ability to discriminate among various sulfur and carbon species.

Sulfate was not detected in any analyzed fluid inclusions in dolomite, quartz, sphalerite, or barite from any of the four Ozark MVT districts. The instrumental detection limit for $\mathrm{SO}_{4}^{2-}$ was about $5 \times 10^{-3}$ molal, which is similar to the values reported in previous studies (Rosasco and Roedder, 1979; Dubessy and others, 1983), and was determined in the present study from a series of standards consisting of synthetic halitehosted fluid inclusions with variable concentrations of $\mathrm{SO}_{4}^{2-}$. This detection limit is relatively high, so it is possible that concentrations of $\mathrm{SO}_{4}^{2-}$ were high enough in the ore fluids to have played a significant role in mineralization. For barite-hosted fluid inclusions, the aqueous $\mathrm{SO}_{4}^{2-}$ peak and one of the barite peaks overlap so that even a very sulfate-rich fluid would not be recognizable in these fluid inclusions.

Hydrogen sulfide was also not detected in any fluid inclusions hosted by barite, dolomite, sphalerite, or quartz from any of the four Ozark MVT districts. Carbon dioxide was not detected in any fluid inclusions hosted by barite, quartz, or sphalerite from any of the Ozark MVT districts. Without synthetic fluid inclusion standards for $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ or $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$, their instrumental detection limits could not be quantified. However, the concentrations of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ in the fluid inclusions are inferred to be low, as $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ has a high Raman scattering cross section ( $\Sigma=6.8$, Burke, 2001). In contrast, $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ is only detectable in fluid inclusions at relatively high concentrations, as its Raman scattering cross-section is small at 0.80 and 1.23 for the $v_{1}$ and $2 v_{2}$ peaks, respectively (Burke, 2001).

Methane has a large Raman scattering cross-section $(\Sigma=8.63$, Burke, 2001) and was detected consistently in dolomite-, quartz- and sphalerite-hosted fluid inclusions. Moreover, the amount of methane in many fluid inclusions was quantifiable. These tended to be large fluid inclusions located close to the sample surface, as Raman scattering intensity decreases with increasing depth from the sample surface and decreasing fluid volume. In total, $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ content was quantified in seven dolomite-, three quartz-, and 31 sphalerite-hosted fluid inclusions (table 2.3).

Figure 2.13 shows fluid inclusion $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ concentrations by host mineral for each district. Methane concentrations in sphalerite-hosted fluid inclusions vary widely, and for the Viburnum Trend, Tri-State, and Northern Arkansas districts largely overlap, covering a range from 89 to 661 ppm . Concentrations in sphalerite-hosted fluid inclusions from the Central Missouri district are on average much lower, ranging from 43 to 279 ppm with a single outlier at 416 ppm . The Viburnum Trend and Tri-State district sphalerite-hosted fluid inclusions have the highest median $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ concentrations among all the districts at 331 and 390 ppm, respectively, though the Northern Arkansas district range extends higher. Nonetheless, these observations suggest that methane was generally more concentrated in the Viburnum Trend and Tri-State district fluids.

Methane concentrations can be used to estimate fluid inclusion trapping pressures using the algorithm of Becker and others (2010) which incorporates the equation of state of Duan and Mao (2006). Applying this method, which assumes that measured methane concentrations are saturated values, estimated trapping pressures range from 8 to 126 bars for sphalerite-hosted fluid inclusions. Because there was no evidence of a separate phase of methane in the fluid inclusions that would indicate supersaturation, it is possible that
methane is actually undersaturated in the fluid inclusions, meaning that trapping pressures could be higher than calculated. Assuming a hydrostatic pressure gradient and an average fluid density of $1000 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$, the measured minimum trapping pressures correspond to minimum burial depths of approximately 80 to 1300 meters (table 2.3). The wide range in pressure and depth presented here is due to the variable concentration of methane in the fluid where the highest concentrations correspond to the greatest depths and pressures. Because of the assumption of methane saturation in the approach of Becker and others (2010), the higher minimum trapping pressures and depths calculated are probably closer to the actual trapping pressures and depths, as the higher methane concentrations to which they correspond are probably closer to saturation.

Average measured $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ concentrations of 308 and 154 ppm for the dolomite- and quartz-hosted fluid inclusions, respectively, are lower than the average $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ concentration of 352 ppm in sphalerite from the Tri-State and Northern Arkansas districts. However, the predominance of $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ over $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ in these gangue minerals indicates overall reducing conditions throughout the parageneses.

Fluid inclusions that were not analyzed quantitatively for methane were analyzed qualitatively to determine the presence of aqueous and gas species present at room temperature. These qualitative analyses found $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ to be absent from all sphalerite-, quartz- and barite-hosted fluid inclusions. However, three dolomite-hosted fluid inclusions analyzed had detectable concentrations of $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$. These dolomitehosted fluid inclusions are from the Viburnum Trend zone 4 dolomite (WF2_D2a_1_1_6), Tri-State gray dolomite (TSPC7-1_D4_1_3) and Northern Arkansas pink dolomite (NALD1-1_D1_1_3), none of which precipitated concurrently with main
stage sulfide mineralization (fig. 2.3). The presence of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ with $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ demonstrates that during some periods of nonsulfide mineralization, fluids were modestly more oxidizing. This suggests that fluid responsible for sulfide mineralization was relatively more reducing. A previous study by Hofstra and others (1989) identified a population of gasrich and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$-dominated (up to 10 mole percent) inclusions from each of the Ozark MVT districts. These findings were based on mass spectrometry analysis of thermally decrepitated dolomite-hosted fluid inclusions. Fluid inclusions measured in the present study did not exhibit any phenomena such as a separate liquid $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ phase at room temperature, melting at the $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ eutectic or development of clathrates during cooling runs that would indicate such high concentrations of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$. In addition, previous microthermometric studies of Ozark MVT dolomites (Rowan and Leach, 1989; Shelton and others, 1992; Appold and others, 2004; Stoffell and others, 2008) do not report finding gas-rich $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ dominated fluid inclusions.

### 2.6 Discussion

### 2.6.1 Genetic Importance of High Lead Concentrations

The wide range of Pb concentrations in fluid inclusions hosted by sphalerite, including a population of very Pb -rich fluid inclusions in each district with concentrations of 100 's to 1000 's of ppm , may represent the invasion of Pb -rich and possibly overall metal-rich brine into each of the districts during sulfide precipitation that mixed with and displaced a Pb-poor brine (see also Stoffell and others, 2008; and Appold and Wenz, 2011). Some of the variability in Pb concentration may reflect uncertainty in fluid inclusion origin (post-ore secondary inclusions are likely to be Pb -poor), variability in the
degree to which the fluid has precipitated Pb , as well as variable proportions of mixing between Pb -rich and Pb -poor fluids.

As noted by Stoffell and others (2008) and Appold and Wenz (2011), high Pb concentrations would require low sulfide concentrations in the mineralizing fluids due to galena solubility constraints. Mass-balance calculations performed in these studies for the Tri-State and Southeast Missouri districts showed that sulfide concentrations would have been too low to allow a fluid simultaneously carrying Pb and Zn to have deposited the observed masses of ore in a geologically reasonable period of time ( $<100$ million years) at hydrologically reasonable flow rates ( $<10 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{yr}$ ). In contrast, if Pb and Zn were transported with sulfur in the form of sulfate that was reduced at the site of ore deposition, then based on the Ba concentration in fluid inclusions and barite solubility, the observed masses of ores could have been deposited in millions of years or less even for low groundwater flow rates on the order of one $\mathrm{cm} / \mathrm{yr}$. Stoffell and others (2008) showed that for the Tri-State district, if sufficient sulfur were available to bond with all of the available Pb and Zn in solution (about 100 and 4000 ppm , respectively), either as sulfate (ultimately reduced at the deposit site) in the metal-rich fluid or as sulfide in a second, metal-poor fluid, then only a relatively small volume of about $2 \mathrm{~km}^{3}$ of metalrich fluid would be needed to precipitate all of the observed mass of ore. The Southeast Missouri district, whose size is of similar magnitude to the Tri-State district but that has fluid inclusions as much as an order of magnitude more Pb -rich, and the Northern Arkansas and Central Missouri district, which are much smaller than the Tri-State district and have fluid inclusions that are more Pb -rich than those from the Tri-State district,
should all require fluid volumes for ore formation that are smaller than the $2 \mathrm{~km}^{3}$ needed for the Tri-State district.

A similar fluid volume analysis can be conducted to evaluate the feasibility of ore deposition from a fluid transporting simultaneously Pb and sulfide. For all four districts, the high Pb concentrations in fluid inclusions indicate that coexisting sulfide concentrations would had to have been low and thus would have acted as the controlling factor with respect to the fluid volume required to precipitate ore. For the Viburnum Trend, if Pb concentrations in the ore fluid equaled the highest Pb concentration found in fluid inclusions ( 1500 ppm ), then calculations carried out using the Geochemist's Workbench SpecE8 software show that a maximum of $1.8 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{kg}$ sulfide could have been carried simultaneously in solution, assuming a temperature of $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and a pH of 3.5 , which is near the lower limit of typical sedimentary brines (Hanor, 1994).

Based on this sulfide concentration, $5.9 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~km}^{3}$ of fluid would have been required to precipitate all of the $1.1 \times 10^{11}$ moles of sulfur comprising the Viburnum Trend ore (Ohle and Gerdemann, 1989). Assuming a porosity of 10 percent, then a rock volume of $5.9 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~km}^{3}$ would have been required to entrain all of this fluid. The Lamotte Sandstone is believed to have been the principal conduit for metal-bearing fluid into the Southeast Missouri district (Gerdemann and Myers, 1972; Goldhaber and others, 1995). Assuming an average thickness of 30 meters for the Lamotte, then fluid would had to have been derived from an area of the Lamotte encompassing $2 \times 10^{7} \mathrm{~km}^{2}$. This area is nearly three orders of magnitude larger than the actual $8.8 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ area of the Arkoma Basin (Perry, 1995) demonstrating that the entire basin could not have contained enough sulfur in the Lamotte at this high of a metal concentration if the sulfur was in the form of
sulfide and coexisted with Pb in solution. However, for every order of magnitude decrease in Pb concentration or for every 0.5 unit decrease in pH , the volume of aquifer rock required decreases by one order of magnitude. Therefore, by decreasing the Pb concentration by three orders of magnitude to $\sim 1.5 \mathrm{ppm}$ while maintaining the pH at 3.5 or lower, the aquifer source volume requirement can be reduced to the volume of Lamotte Sandstone present in the Arkoma basin.

Although what geologic formations served as the ore fluid conduit aquifer for the other three Ozark MVT districts is not as clear as for Southeast Missouri, if they had comparable thicknesses to the Lamotte Sandstone then they also could not have contained enough sulfide to precipitate all of the observed MVT mineralization. It can further be questioned whether all of the pore fluid in a particular source aquifer like the Lamotte Sandstone, from throughout the Arkoma Basin, could be funneled toward one particular district. More likely, only a fraction of the available fluid in the source aquifer in the basin would ever flow through any particular district, making simultaneous transport of sulfide and metals an even less feasible component of any sulfide mineral precipitation mechanism.

Alternatively, for the Viburnum Trend, if sulfide was present in the solid matrix of the Bonneterre Dolomite, the principal host rock for the ore, then for the $35 \mathrm{~km}^{3}$ volume of Bonneterre Dolomite present within the Viburnum Trend, a sulfide concentration of only 100 ppm would have been required to precipitate all of the ore. This value is well below the 1,700 to $8,800 \mathrm{ppm}$ total sulfur concentrations found by Leventhal (1990) in whole rock analyses of unmineralized Bonneterre Dolomite, which also contained total organic $C$ concentrations of 1,700 to $26,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ that could have
served as a reductant for sulfur. Further, insoluble residues from ore samples were found by Leventhal (1990) to contain even greater amounts of sulfur ranging from 77,000 to $215,000 \mathrm{ppm}$, which could have served as a rich source of organic sulfide for ore precipitation. These mass balance arguments do not address the sulfur isotope composition of the ores, which may require multiple sulfur sources (Sverjensky, 1979, 1981; Deloule and others, 1986; Burstein and others, 1993; Shelton and others, 1995).

### 2.6.2 Genetic Significance of High Methane Concentrations

Redox state fundamentally affects fluids' ability to transport and precipitate metals and sulfur, however, redox state in MVT fluids has historically not been known with much certainty. The redox state of crustal fluids is frequently buffered by their host rocks (Yardley, 2005). In the case of the Viburnum Trend, whose mineralizing fluids are likely to have had prolonged contact with arkose in the lower Lamotte Sandstone and felsic igneous rocks in the underlying basement, the redox state of the mineralizing fluids likely was governed by equilibrium with granite. The redox state of most felsic igneous rocks is relatively reducing, lying two to three $\log f_{O_{2}}$ units above the quartz-fayalitemagnetite (QFM) buffer (Haggerty, 1976). At $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ the $\log f_{\mathrm{O}_{2}}$ value of the QFM buffer is -57 , which lies in the sulfide and methane species predominance fields (fig. 2.14). At $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, more oxidizing granites would have $\log f_{O_{2}}$ values near -54 , which are still within the sulfide and methane species predominance fields and are consistent with the high methane concentrations found in fluid inclusions in this study.

Host rocks in the Tri-State, Northern Arkansas, and Central Missouri districts do not provide such clear records of redox state as do host rocks of the Viburnum Trend,
although for all four districts, $\mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{CH}_{4}$ ratios of fluid inclusions can provide some constraints. Although $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ concentration was not measured in any fluid inclusions in this study, its experimental solubility can be used in conjunction with the measured methane concentrations to determine maximum redox state. According to Duan and Sun (2003), $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ has a solubility of $3.733 \times 10^{-1} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{kg}$ in a 4 molal NaCl solution at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 100 bars. For the range of $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ concentrations found in sphalerite-hosted fluid inclusions from the Viburnum Trend, Tri-State, Northern Arkansas, and Central Missouri districts, maximum $\mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{CH}_{4}$ mole ratios can be calculated, which range from 10 to 51,12 to 67,9 to 35 , and 14 to 140 , respectively. Using the Geochemist's Workbench SpecE8 software, these ranges of $\mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{CH}_{4}$ mole ratios correspond to maximum $\log f_{O_{2}}$ values of approximately -53 to -52 for all of the districts. Inspection of figure 2.14 clearly shows that the measured $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ concentrations are too high to allow a redox state to have been reached where $\mathrm{SO}_{4}^{2-}$ was the dominant sulfur species.

Whether these high methane concentrations were transported with metal-rich fluids along their flow paths to the ore districts or were introduced into the metal-rich fluids through mixing in the ore districts is not absolutely clear. However, the fact that many of the sphalerite-hosted fluid inclusions that have high Pb concentrations also have high methane concentrations suggests that Pb and methane were transported together at relatively high concentrations, and that the Pb -rich fluids for each district were reducing enough such that sulfur would have been transported predominantly as sulfide rather than as sulfate. Thus, the most likely precipitation mechanism for each district is mixing between two relatively reducing fluids, one Pb - and possibly over all metal-rich and sulfur-poor, and the other, metal-poor and sulfur-rich. Alternatively, sulfide could have
been added to the metal-rich fluids by their reaction with sulfide-rich host rocks, such as organic-rich reef facies in the Viburnum Trend or bioherms in the Tri-State district.

### 2.6.3 Genetic Significance of Ca/Mg Ratios

The low average $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Mg}$ ratio ( $\sim 6$ ) of sphalerite-hosted fluid inclusions from all of the Ozark Plateau MVT districts places important constraints on possible ore fluid flow paths. As noted previously, thermodynamic calculations by Appold and Wenz (2011) demonstrated that a fluid with a $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Mg}$ ratio below 34 should cause calcite to be replaced by dolomite. Therefore, the ore fluid could not have flowed through a limestone aquifer without the fluid's $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Mg}$ ratio becoming significantly elevated. In addition, the low $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Mg}$ ratio of the ore fluid indicates that it is likely responsible for precipitation of some of the dolomite in each of the districts.

In the Southeast Missouri district, the dolomitizing nature of the ore fluid is corroborated by field evidence of a dolomitizing fluid having flowed through the Lamotte Sandstone. Gregg (1985) reported that approximately the lower six meters of the Bonneterre Dolomite have been dolomitized over an area of more than $1.7 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ outside of the ore district, whereas the upper Bonneterre, which apparently did not have contact with the ore fluid flowing through the Lamotte, has remained limestone.

The Tri-State district is contained within a thick succession of Mississippian limestones in the Springfield Plateau aquifer that has not been dolomitized significantly in the vicinity of the Tri-State district (Brockie and others, 1968). Thus, it seems unlikely that the ore fluids that precipitated sphalerite in the Tri-State district could have traveled through the Springfield Plateau aquifer or they would have left a wake of dolomite
alteration along their travel path in the limestone succession. This limiting flow path scenario can be demonstrated quantitatively by computing the reaction potential with respect to calcite of a fluid with the average composition of the high salinity group of Ozark MVT sphalerite-hosted fluid inclusions. Calculations were carried out using the Geochemist's Workbench React software in which the model fluid was assumed to have a $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ concentration of 200 ppm , a $\log f_{O_{2}}$ value of -53 , and a pH of 3.5 . The results of the reaction path (table 5) show that only about $5 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ of calcite are required to exhaust the dolomitizing potential of one kilogram of ore fluid from each of the districts. By extension, only $5.0 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~km}^{3}$ of limestone would have been required to exhaust the dolomitizing potential of the fluid occupying the entire pore volume of the Lamotte Sandstone in the Arkoma Basin, assuming a porosity of $10 \%$ and an initial $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Mg}$ ratio of six.

Thus, fluid responsible for sphalerite mineralization in the Tri-State district could not have flowed very far through the limestone Boone Formation that hosts the ore or through any other limestone aquifers. As noted previously, fluids responsible for MVT mineralization in the Tri-State district have long been thought to have risen from lower stratigraphic levels, based on the presence of mineralization in deep-seated faults and a local window in the Ozark confining unit, which underlies the Springfield Plateau aquifer (Brockie and others, 1968; Appold and Nunn, 2005). Thus, long distance flow of the ore fluid more likely occurred through a deeper non-limestone aquifer such as the St. Peter Sandstone, the clastic Roubidoux Formation, which is the most important groundwater resource in the Tri-State district today, or the Lamotte Sandstone, and ascended into the Boone Formation via permeable conduits in the Tri-State district.

As in the Tri-State district, some of the Northern Arkansas mineralization is hosted by Springfield Plateau aquifer limestones and has strong spatial association with faults and fractures along which mineralizing fluids could have risen from deeper nonlimestone aquifers. The remainder of the Northern Arkansas mineralization, as well as the Central Missouri mineralization, is hosted by deeper Ordovician formations that have been dolomitized extensively and that could have provided conduits for flow that would have preserved the dolomitizing character of the mineralizing fluids.

### 2.6.4 Correlations to Deposit Size

The four Ozark Plateau MVT districts differ greatly in the size of their sulfide mineral endowments, raising the question of what might have caused these differences. A possibility to consider is that the fluids that precipitated the Viburnum Trend and TriState deposits were much more metal-rich than fluids that precipitated the Northern Arkansas and Central Missouri deposits. However, lead concentrations in fluid inclusions from the Viburnum Trend and Tri-State districts are not significantly or consistently elevated above lead concentrations in fluid inclusions from the other districts, suggesting that aqueous metal concentration did not affect ore deposit size.

In contrast, variability in salinity of fluid inclusions hosted by sphalerite and dolomite correlates strongly with district size, where fluid inclusions from the Viburnum Trend and Tri-State district have the highest variability and fluid inclusions from the Northern Arkansas and Central Missouri districts have the least (fig. 2.4B). This variability of fluid inclusion salinity could be explained by mixing of fluids with different salinities. Fluids that differed with respect to salinity may also have differed with respect
to other aspects of composition, such as metal and sulfur content. Because mixing of metal-rich and sulfide-rich fluids is an efficient sulfide mineral precipitation mechanism, then perhaps the Viburnum Trend and Tri-State deposits grew large because mixing of this type occurred to a much larger degree than in the Northern Arkansas and Central Missouri districts.

Figure 2.8B shows that the fluids that produced MVT mineralization in each of the four Ozark districts were all consistently dolomitizing. Yet, field observation indicates the amount of dolomite gangue present in the districts varies greatly, with the largest amounts of dolomite gangue present in the larger Viburnum Trend and Tri-State district, considerably less dolomite gangue in the Northern Arkansas district, and little or no dolomite gangue in the Central Missouri district. Thus, abundance of dolomite gangue correlates with district size. Moreover, abundance of dolomite gangue may be a proxy for magnitude of mineralizing fluid flux, meaning that the larger districts were formed by higher fluxes of mineralizing fluid.

District size may also correlate to the amount of locally available sulfur. The ores of the Viburnum Trend are predominantly associated with organic-rich reef facies of the Bonneterre Dolomite (Gerdemann and Myers, 1972), and Hagni (1976 and 1982) has suggested that the gray dolomitic cores of the Tri-State district ore bodies are replaced bioherms that may also have been organic-rich. Organic compounds are commonly rich in reduced sulfur. Typical carbonate rocks have 1200 ppm sulfur, whereas coals can have up to $110,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ sulfur (Faure, 1998; Speight, 2005). Therefore, organic material in the carbonates could have promoted the precipitation of metal sulfide minerals from a metalbearing ore fluid. Sulfide could also have been contributed through pore fluids that
derived their sulfur from elsewhere in the local stratigraphic section. In contrast, mineralization in the Northern Arkansas and Central Missouri districts is not clearly related to any organic-rich facies, but rather is associated strongly with fracturing and brecciation in a variety of facies (McKnight, 1935; Long and others, 1986). Without a local reduced sulfur source, sulfide mineral deposits in Northern Arkansas and Central Missouri could not have grown to a very large size.

### 2.6.5 Genetic Implications of Geochemical Trends

Data presented in this study indicate that the dominant ore precipitation mechanism for Ozark MVT deposits is likely to have been mixing between two relatively reducing fluids, one Pb - and possibly overall metal-rich and sulfur-poor, the other metalpoor and sulfide-rich, based on the consistently high methane concentrations and highly variable Pb concentrations of sphalerite-hosted fluid inclusions. As a result, sphaleritehosted fluid inclusions represent a mixture of variable proportions of at least two fluids. The same can probably be said for fluid inclusions hosted by galena, which would explain the overlap of $\mathrm{K} / \mathrm{Na}$ and $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Mg}$ ratios for early cuboctahedral and late cubic galena from the Southeast Missouri district noted earlier.

For each district, the most Pb -rich sphalerite-hosted fluid inclusions should most closely approximate the composition of the metal-rich ore fluid end member that entered the district. In theory, further characteristics of these end member ore fluids should be inferable through correlations with aqueous Pb concentration. However, Pb concentration was not found to correlate strongly with other element concentrations, perhaps because more than two fluids were involved in sulfide mineral precipitation, which would cause
the compositions to plot as two-dimensional mixing arrays rather than one dimensional mixing lines (Appold and Wenz, 2011). Alternatively, element correlations with Pb could have been obscured due to high matrix Pb concentrations in some sphalerites, preventing quantification of the aqueous Pb signal. The paragenetically early sphalerite from the Southeast Missouri district is an example of such, where the matrix Pb contribution from the sphalerite is so great that it overwhelms the fluid inclusion's aqueous Pb signal.

Other element concentrations do correlate strongly with one another. Figure 2.15 A shows an inverse correlation between $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Na}$ ratio and Na concentration for sphalerite-hosted fluid inclusions from all four Ozark MVT districts and for quartzhosted fluid inclusions from the Tri-State and Northern Arkansas districts. This inverse correlation may represent mixing between high $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Na}$ and low $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Na}$ fluids. The quartzhosted fluid inclusion data in figure 15A are from paragenetically late quartz, indicating that a low $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Na}$ fluid was present in the Tri-State and Northern Arkansas districts after the main period of sphalerite precipitation. If the quartz-hosted fluid inclusions captured a greater proportion of a non-ore (that is, Pb - and Zn -poor) fluid, then the high $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Na}$ end member in figure 2.15 A is most likely the metal-rich ore fluid.

The $\mathrm{Cl} / \mathrm{Br}$ data of Stoffell and others (2008) plotted in figure 2.15B show that not only do quartz-hosted fluid inclusions from the Tri-State and Northern Arkansas districts have low $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Na}$ ratios, they also have high $\mathrm{Cl} / \mathrm{Br}$ ratios (300 to 2100) compared to sphalerite-hosted fluid inclusions (80 to 100). Thus, in the Tri-State and Northern Arkansas districts, mixing occurred between a high $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Na}$, low $\mathrm{Cl} / \mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{Pb}$-rich fluid and a fluid with the converse properties. Similar mixing appears to have occurred in the Southeast Missouri district. Data from this study, Shelton and others (2009), and Crocetti
and Holland (1989) plotted in figures 2.16A and B show that fluid inclusions in main stage cuboctahedral and Ag-rich sphalerite have high $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Na}$ and low $\mathrm{Cl} / \mathrm{Br}$ ratios, whereas fluid inclusions in late stage cubic galena have much lower $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Na}$ and higher $\mathrm{Cl} / \mathrm{Br}$ ratios.

In the Central Missouri district, barite mineralization postdates sulfide mineralization and was precipitated from a cooler, lower salinity fluid than the fluids that precipitated sulfides elsewhere in the Ozark Plateau. Based on fluid inclusion data from barite, Leach (1980) hypothesized that the Central Missouri district barite deposits formed by dilution of a late stage ore fluid by mixing with a cooler, dilute groundwater. Fluid inclusion microthermometry data from the present study support a dilution hypothesis, but show that the groundwater must have had elevated $\mathrm{K} / \mathrm{Na}$ and $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Mg}$ ratios, as these ratios are much higher in barite-hosted fluid inclusions than in sphaleritehosted fluid inclusions.

### 2.6.6 Hydro-geochemical Conceptual Model

The results of the present study reveal several important characteristics of MVT deposit formation in the Ozark Plateau. Perhaps the most important is the identification of a Pb -rich population of sphalerite-hosted fluid inclusions in each of the four districts (see also Stoffell and others, 2008; Wilkinson and others, 2009; and Appold and Wenz, 2011). These fluid inclusions probably represent the influx of a Pb -rich fluid into each of the districts where mixing occurred with one or more relatively Pb -poor fluids. Though Zn concentration could not be determined in any of the sphalerite-hosted fluid inclusions because of interference from the host mineral matrix, the fact that sphalerite is the host
mineral suggests that the Pb -rich fluid was also Zn -rich and thus could be characterized as overall metal-rich. Mass balance and solubility constraints make it unlikely that much sulfide was transported in solution with the high Pb concentrations observed. In addition, relatively high methane concentrations in sphalerite-, dolomite- and quartz-hosted fluid inclusions indicate that redox conditions during MVT mineralization were generally reducing, with the exception of barite mineralization in the Central Missouri district. Thus, sulfide mineralization in the four districts is less likely to have precipitated as a result of reduction of sulfate in a metal-rich fluid or as a result of cooling, dilution, or alkalinization of a metal- and sulfide-rich fluid, but rather due to mixing of a metal-rich fluid with a sulfide-rich fluid, or perhaps reaction of a metal-rich fluid with sulfide-rich host rocks. Barite in the Central Missouri district was probably precipitated due to mixing of a hotter, more reducing brine with a cooler, more oxidizing groundwater.

Though Pb concentration does not correlate strongly with other element concentrations in the fluid inclusions, the Pb -rich fluid in each of the four districts can be inferred to have had high $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Na}$ and low $\mathrm{Cl} / \mathrm{Br}$ ratios. Some of the variability in fluid inclusion Pb concentration could also be a function of the metal content of the ore fluid entering the districts being variable over time. At times when metal concentration in the ore fluid was high, sulfide mineral precipitation would have been favored, whereas when metal concentration was low, gangue mineral precipitation would have been favored. Furthermore, because sulfide precipitation is an acid-generating process (Anderson and Garven, 1987), times of high metal concentration leading to sulfide mineral precipitation would have inhibited dolomite precipitation, whereas times of low metal concentration without sulfide mineral precipitation would have promoted dolomite precipitation. That
the fluid that precipitated sphalerite and dolomite in any district was the same other than with respect to its metal content is supported by the similar $\mathrm{K} / \mathrm{Na}$ and $\mathrm{Ba} / \mathrm{Na}$ ratios of the sphalerite- and dolomite-hosted fluid inclusions, and that the sphalerite-hosted fluid inclusions have dolomitizing $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Mg}$ ratios. Thus, the dolomite- and sphalerite-hosted fluid inclusions likely represent a single ore fluid that varied temporally with respect to its Pb and Zn content which controlled whether dolomite or sulfides would precipitate.

Alternatively, Appold and Wenz (2011) hypothesized that the lack of strong correlation in Pb concentration with other element concentrations for the Viburnum Trend was the result of mixing between more than two fluids. They argued that Pb -rich fluid entered the Viburnum Trend intermittently, based on the observation that fluid inclusions hosted by different stages of dolomite cement that largely alternate paragenetically with sulfide minerals are Pb -poor. Similar interpretations may also hold for the Tri-State and Northern Arkansas districts, where fluid inclusions hosted by gangue minerals, which only partially overlap sulfide deposition paragenetically, are poor in metals. Paragenetically late calcite was precipitated from a much more dilute fluid than the earlier precipitated minerals, and may either represent a very high level of dilution of the MVT fluids or a fluid that was uninvolved in the earlier mineralization.

One of the distinctions among the Ozark Plateau MVT deposits is the large differences in their $\mathrm{Zn} / \mathrm{Pb}$ ratios. The stratigraphically lowest Southeast Missouri district ores have $\mathrm{Zn} / \mathrm{Pb}$ ratios of 0.13 whereas the stratigraphically higher Central Missouri, Northern Arkansas and Tri-State districts have $\mathrm{Zn} / \mathrm{Pb}$ ratios of $0.87,16$, and 50 , respectively. Considering that the solubility of Pb in the presence of sulfide is approximately one order of magnitude less than that of Zn , then except for fluids with
high $\mathrm{Zn} / \mathrm{Pb}$ ratios, galena should precipitate before sphalerite as sulfide is introduced into an ore fluid, causing relative enrichment of Zn in the ore fluid over time. Calculations using the Geochemist's Workbench React software show that for a fluid with a 4 molal NaCl concentration, a pH of 5 , and a $\log f_{\mathrm{O}_{2}}$ value of -54 , galena would precipitate as sulfide was introduced into the fluid as long as the $\mathrm{Zn} / \mathrm{Pb}$ ratio was less than 43 . Therefore, even for fluids relatively enriched in Zn compared to Pb , galena would precipitate first as sulfide is introduced into the ore fluid, but with continued galena precipitation, the $\mathrm{Zn} / \mathrm{Pb}$ ratio of the fluid would eventually increase enough to allow sphalerite precipitation to dominate. In the case of the Southeast Missouri district, where the host for mineralization (the Bonneterre Dolomite) is adjacent to the presumed aquifer for the metal-bearing ore fluid (the Lamotte Sandstone), the short distance between the ore fluid aquifer and mineralization host may not have allowed enough sulfide to be introduced into the ore fluid to raise the $\mathrm{Zn} / \mathrm{Pb}$ ratio to a level where sphalerite precipitation would start to dominate over galena precipitation. In contrast, in the TriState, Northern Arkansas, and Central Missouri districts, the greater distance that ore fluids would need to have risen from the nearest non-limestone aquifers into the hosts for mineralization may have allowed enough sulfide to be introduced en route to allow sphalerite precipitation to dominate over galena by the time the ore fluids reached the main hosts for mineralization. Evidence for an extended ore fluid travel path is documented by the occurrence of sub-economic to economic sulfide mineralization in Ordovician rocks up to 300 meters below the majority of the ores hosted in the Mississippian limestones in the Tri-State district (Siebenthal, 1916; Brockie and others, 1968). Although the $\mathrm{Zn} / \mathrm{Pb}$ ratio of these ores is unknown, the presence of mineralization
at depth indicates ore fluids may have ascended from non-limestone aquifers in the TriState district. It is also possible that the different $\mathrm{Pb} / \mathrm{Zn}$ ratios of the four Ozark MVT districts could be a result of variation in the compositions of the rocks from which the ore fluids extracted their Pb and Zn .

### 2.7 Conclusions

The results of the present study have provided several new insights into the origin of MVT deposit formation in the Ozark Plateau.

1. A Pb -rich population of sphalerite-hosted fluid inclusions in each of the four Ozark MVT districts documents the invasion of a Pb - and possibly overall metal-rich fluid into each district during the period of sulfide precipitation.
2. High methane concentrations in dolomite-, sphalerite- and quartz-hosted fluid inclusions indicate that the overall oxidation state during MVT mineralization was relatively reducing, enough for sulfide to have been the dominant sulfur species.
3. Evidence for the existence of a Pb -rich fluid in each district and prevailing reducing conditions indicates the dominant precipitation mechanism to have been mixing between a metal-rich, sulfide-poor fluid and a metal-poor, sulfide-rich fluid.
4. The ore fluid also appears to have had high $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Na}$ ratios, demonstrating major cation ratios can be used to identify the ore fluid in the Ozark Plateau MVT districts.
5. In order for measured fluid inclusion methane concentrations to have been fully dissolved in aqueous solution, MVT mineralization must have occurred at a minimum depth between 0.08 and 1.3 km , with the higher end of this range being more likely.
6. With the exception of calcite, MVT mineralization in each district was produced from dolomitizing fluids. Geochemical modeling demonstrates that these fluids could not have travelled a long distance through limestone without losing their ability to make dolomite, because of rapid equilibration with calcite.
7. The geochemical similarity between dolomite- and sphalerite-hosted fluid inclusions suggests that dolomite and sulfides in each of the districts may have precipitated from the same fluid whose Pb and Zn concentration varied over time.
8. The largest Ozark MVT districts contain the highest proportions of low-salinity fluid inclusions, the greatest volumes of gangue dolomite, and are hosted in organic-rich carbonate facies. High aqueous Pb concentration does not appear to have been a controlling factor in ore deposit size, as all four districts have a population of Pb -rich sphalerite-hosted fluid inclusions with concentrations on the order of 100's to 1000 's of ppm.
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Fig. 2.1 Regional map of the Ozark Plateau and sedimentary basins showing the location of the Ozark MVT deposits (modified after Appold and Garven, 1999). Abbreviations: CM- Central Missouri district, NA- Northern Arkansas district, TS- Tri-State district, VT- Viburnum Trend.


Fig. 2.2 Generalized stratigraphic section for the Ozark Plateau. Stratigraphic locations of the ore deposits are indicated by black bars (from Appold and Garven, 1999; data assembled from Brockie and others, 1968; Leach and others, 1975; Thacker and Anderson, 1977; Imes, 1990 and Imes and Smith, 1990).


Fig. 2.3 Part A-Simplified paragenesis of the Southeast Missouri district (after Voss and others, 1989). Part B- Synthesized paragenesis of the Tri-State district (incorporating data from Hagni and Grawe, 1964; Brockie and others, 1968 and McKnight and Fischer, 1970). Part C- Synthesized paragenesis of the Northern Arkansas district (incorporating data from McKnight, 1935 and Long and others, 1986). Part DSynthesized paragenesis for the Central Missouri district (incorporating data from Leach, 1980).


Fig. 2.4 Part A- Box and whisker plot of fluid inclusion $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{h}}$ values for each district by mineral. Part B- Box and whisker plot of salinity for each district by mineral. Each box encompasses the inter-quartile range (the 25th to 75 th percentile). The horizontal line in each box represents the median. Whiskers represent the highest and lowest values within a factor of 1.5 outside the inter-quartile range. Open circles and stars beyond the whiskers represent outliers that exceed the inter-quartile range by a factor of 1.5 to 3 and greater than 3, respectively. Axis abbreviations same as in fig. 1. Mineral type abbreviations as follows: B- barite, D- dolomite, Q- quartz, R- replacement dolomite, S- sphalerite, +- calcite.


Fig. 2.5 Scatter plot of all sphalerite-hosted fluid inclusion data illustrating the existence of two salinity groups. The high salinity group has a salinity greater than 22 NaCl eq. wt $\%$ and a negative correlation between Ca and Na . The low salinity mode has a salinity less than 22 NaCl eq. $\mathrm{wt} \%$ and exhibits little correlation between Ca and Na .


Fig. 2.6 Scatter plot of detectable aqueous Pb concentrations of sphalerite-hosted fluid inclusions. High Pb concentrations exist in both high and low salinity fluid inclusions, as well as in primary and secondary fluid inclusions.


Fig. 2.7 Part A- $\mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{Na}$ atomic ratios of fluid inclusions for each district by mineral. Part B-Log K/Na atomic ratios of fluid inclusions for each district by mineral. Abbreviations same as in figs. 1 and 4.


Fig. 2.8 Part $\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Na}$ atomic ratios of fluid inclusions for each district by mineral. Part $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Mg}$ atomic ratios of fluid inclusions for each district by mineral. Abbreviations same as in figs. 1 and 4.


Fig. 2.9 Part A- $\mathrm{Sr} / \mathrm{Na}$ atomic ratios of fluid inclusions for each district by mineral. Part $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{Ba} / \mathrm{Na}$ atomic ratios of fluid inclusions for each district by mineral. Abbreviations same as in figs. 1 and 4.


Fig. 2.10 Post Hoc results for a Fishers Least Significant Difference statistical test on atomic cation ratios for the high salinity group of sphalerite-hosted fluid inclusions among the districts. Shaded boxes indicate that the populations of cation ratio values of two districts are similar at the $95 \%$ confidence interval.

Abbreviations same as in fig. 1.


Fig. 2.11 Atomic ratio plots for leachate and LA-ICP-MS analysis of fluid inclusions hosted in cuboctahedral and cubic galena and main stage sphalerite from Viets and Leach (1990) and the present study. In part A , a substantial overlap exists for the $\mathrm{K} / \mathrm{Na}$ atomic ratio among ore mineral fluids. Cubic galena has the lowest range, cuboctahedral has the highest, and sphalerite spans most of the ranges of both galena types. In part B , the cubic galena fluids have the highest $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Mg}$ atomic ratios for the galena types, while the sphalerite fluids encompass the ranges of both cuboctahedral and cubic galena.


Fig. 2.12 Boxplot of trace element concentrations in sphalerite by district. Abbreviations same as in fig. 1.


Fig. 2.13 Boxplot of $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ concentrations in fluid inclusions from each mineral by district. Abbreviations same as in fig. 1.


Fig. 2.14 $\log f_{O_{2}}$ vs. pH plot illustrating the predominance fields of aqueous carbon and sulfur species and the stability fields of hematite and magnetite, calculated at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 100 bars pressure. Broad gray horizontal bar depicts the maximum possible oxidation state using calculated $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ concentrations and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ saturation determined from Duan and Sun (2003). Figure constructed using Geochemists Workbench. NiNiO and QFM oxidation buffers calculated under the same temperature and pressure conditions using the SUPCRT92 program (Johnson and others, 1992).


Fig. 2.15 Part $\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Na}$ ratio and Na concentration plot for illustrating an apparent mixing line between two end member fluids represented as high and low $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Na}$ ratio fluids. The quartz-hosted fluid inclusions predominantly capture the low $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Na}$ end member fluid. Part $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Na}$ and $\mathrm{Cl} / \mathrm{Br}$ plot of Tri-State and Northern Arkansas sphalerite- and quartz-hosted fluid inclusions illustrating that the quartz-hosted inclusions have lower $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Na}$ ratios and higher $\mathrm{Cl} / \mathrm{Br}$ ratios (data from Stoffell and others, 2008).


Fig. 2.16 Part $\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Na}$ and $\mathrm{Cl} / \mathrm{Br}$ ratio plots of main and late stage sulfide minerals illustrating late stage sulfide mineralization has lower $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Na}$ ratios (this study) and higher $\mathrm{Cl} / \mathrm{Br}$ ratios (data from Shelton and others, 2009). Part B- same as in Part A with expanded axis ranges (data from Crocetti and Holland, 1989).

Table 2.1 ANOVA test results for high salinity group sphalerite-hosted fluid inclusions.

| Atomic Ratio |  | SS | df | MS | F | $\mathrm{F}_{\text {crit }}$ | Sig |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | between groups | $2.00 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 3 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 5.86 | 2.63 | 0.001 |
|  | within groups | $4.40 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 400 | $1.10 \mathrm{E}-04$ | na | na | na |
| $\mathrm{K} / \mathrm{Na}$ | between groups | $6.00 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 3 | $2.00 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 17.78 | 2.63 | $7.91 \mathrm{E}-11$ |
|  | within groups | $4.50 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 385 | $1.16 \mathrm{E}-04$ | na | na | na |
| $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Na}$ | between groups | $6.00 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 3 | $2.00 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 1.14 | 2.63 | 0.333 |
|  | within groups | $7.02 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 414 | $2.00 \mathrm{E}-03$ | na | na | na |
| $\mathrm{Sr} / \mathrm{Na}$ | between groups | $3.76 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 3 | $1.25 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 25.22 | 2.63 | $5.23 \mathrm{E}-15$ |
|  | within groups | $2.06 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 415 | $4.97 \mathrm{E}-07$ | na | na | na |
| $\mathrm{Ba} / \mathrm{Na}$ | between groups | $2.05 \mathrm{E}-08$ | 3 | $6.83 \mathrm{E}-09$ | 8.50 | 2.63 | $1.74 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
|  | within groups | $3.23 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 402 | $8.04 \mathrm{E}-10$ | na | na | na |
| $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Mg}$ | between groups | $5.01 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 3 | $1.67 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.60 | 2.63 | 0.617 |
|  | within groups | $1.12 \mathrm{E}+03$ | 399 | $2.80 \mathrm{E}+00$ | na | na | na |

Based on a $\alpha=0.05$ ( $95 \%$ confidence interval). Variation of atomic ratio among districts is significant for a Sig <0.05. Abbreviations: $\mathrm{SS}=$ sum of squares, $\mathrm{df}=$ degrees of freedom, $\mathrm{MS}=$ mean square (variance), $\mathrm{F}=$ Fischer's F statistic, F= Fischer's F-critical statistic, Sig= significance (or p-value)

Table 2.2 Trace element concentrations in sphalerite and barite.

| Location |  | Fe (ppm) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{Co} \\ & (\mathrm{ppm}) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{Zn} \\ & (\mathrm{ppm}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Ag} \\ & (\mathrm{ppm}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{Cd} \\ & (\mathrm{ppm}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{Pb} \\ & (\mathrm{ppm}) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District |  | Sphalerite Matrix |  |  |  |  |  |
| Viburnum Trend | average $=$ | $3.0 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.1 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $6.6 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $6.4 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $9.1 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
|  | std dev= | $2.2 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $3.5 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $4.2 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $3.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $3.5 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 89 | 42 | 89 | 38 | 89 | 89 |
| Northern Arkansas | average $=$ | $8.5 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $3.9 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $6.6 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $2.9 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $5.9 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.3 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
|  | std dev= | $4.2 \mathrm{E}+02$ | 5.7E-01 | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+03$ | 7.1E-02 | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $3.8 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 207 | 14 | 207 | 15 | 207 | 206 |
| Tri-State | average $=$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $3.3 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $6.6 \mathrm{E}+05$ | 3.1E-01 | $6.2 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $6.8 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
|  | std dev= | $4.9 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.2 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.4 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.3 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 167 | 15 | 167 | 15 | 167 | 161 |
| Central Missouri | average $=$ | $1.4 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.3 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $6.6 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $1.4 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $8.1 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.4 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
|  | std dev= | $6.6 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $5.3 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $5.0 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.0 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $7.2 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 121 | 113 | 121 | 91 | 121 | 120 |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{Fe} \\ & (\mathrm{ppm}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{Zn} \\ & (\mathrm{ppm}) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{Sr} \\ & (\mathrm{ppm}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{Ba} \\ & (\mathrm{ppm}) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{Pb} \\ & (\mathrm{ppm}) \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Mine |  | Barite Matrix |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brown | average= | $6.3 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $8.3 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $9.3 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $5.8 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+00$ |  |
|  | std dev= | na | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.3 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.3 \mathrm{E}+03$ | na |  |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 |  |
| Ford | average $=$ | - | $6.0 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.0 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $5.8 \mathrm{E}+05$ | - |  |
|  | std dev= | na | $5.0 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.6 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.4 \mathrm{E}+02$ | na |  |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | na | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 |  |
| Goller | average= | - | $8.5 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $5.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $5.8 \mathrm{E}+05$ | - |  |
|  | std dev= | na | $2.9 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.9 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.9 \mathrm{E}+03$ | na |  |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | na | 6 | 6 | 6 | 0 |  |
| Lee | average $=$ | - | $9.8 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $5.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $5.8 \mathrm{E}+05$ | - |  |
|  | std dev= | na | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $6.8 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $4.4 \mathrm{E}+03$ | na |  |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | na | 3 | 3 | 3 | na |  |
| Tiff | average $=$ | $1.3 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $3.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $5.8 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $3.3 \mathrm{E}+00$ |  |
|  | std dev= | $5.0 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $3.3 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $3.0 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.9 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $5.9 \mathrm{E}+00$ |  |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 2 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 6 |  |

Abbreviations as follows: - = below detection limit, na = not applicable.

Table 2.3 Fluid inclusion methane concentrations and mineralization depth calculations.

| Sample ID | mineral | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{CH} 4} \\ & \text { (bars) } \end{aligned}$ | position | $\begin{aligned} & \rho_{\mathrm{CH} 4} \\ & \left(\mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CH}_{4} \\ & (\mathrm{ppm}) \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}}$ <br> (bars) | depth (m) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Viburnum Trend |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MAG13_1_1_6 | sphalerite | 4.8 | 2917.52 | $3.16 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 181 | 27 | 275 |
| MAG13_1a_1_1_6 | sphalerite | 4.5 | 2917.53 | $2.97 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 184 | 31 | 319 |
| MAG13_1b_1_1_6 | sphalerite | 8.5 | 2917.46 | $5.63 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 339 | 60 | 609 |
| MAG13_2_1_6 | sphalerite | 5.6 | 2917.51 | $3.73 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 207 | 31 | 318 |
| MAG13_2a_1_1_6 | sphalerite | 12.9 | 2917.38 | $8.64 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 474 | 76 | 778 |
| MAG13_2a_2_1_6 | sphalerite | 12.1 | 2917.4 | $8.08 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 449 | 71 | 728 |
| MAG13_5_1_6 | sphalerite | 9.0 | 2917.45 | $6.01 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 331 | 51 | 521 |
| WF2_1a_1_1_6 | sphalerite | 15.1 | 2917.34 | $1.01 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 584 | 114 | 1166 |
| WF2_3_1_1_6 | sphalerite | 3.6 | 2917.55 | $2.39 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 116 | 22 | 223 |
| Tri-State |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TSPC2-1_1b_1_1_6 | sphalerite | 2.5 | 2917.57 | $1.62 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 89 | 16 | 164 |
| TSPC2-1_1c_1_1_6 | sphalerite | 7.1 | 2917.49 | $4.68 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 236 | 45 | 456 |
| TSTR1-2_1a_1_1_6 | sphalerite | 14.6 | 2917.35 | $9.76 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 490 | 90 | 921 |
| TSTR1-2_1a_2__6 | sphalerite | 11.5 | 2917.41 | $7.71 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 390 | 69 | 706 |
| TSTR1-7_1c_1_1_6 | sphalerite | 12.9 | 2917.38 | $8.64 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 417 | 85 | 865 |
| TSTR1-3_D1_1_6 | dolomite | 4.2 | 2917.53 | $2.77 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 168 | 29 | 296 |
| TSTR1-3_D2_2_6 | dolomite | 8.8 | 2917.45 | $5.82 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 349 | 62 | 632 |
| TSTR1-3_D3_3_6 | dolomite | 4.8 | 2917.53 | $3.16 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 188 | 33 | 333 |
| Northern Arkansas |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NALB1-1_1_1_6 | sphalerite | 5.4 | 2917.52 | 3.54E-03 | 218 | 37 | 375 |
| NALD1-4_2_1_1_6 | sphalerite | 18.0 | 2917.29 | $1.22 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 623 | 119 | 1217 |
| NALD1-4_2_3_1_6 | sphalerite | 18.6 | 2917.28 | $1.25 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 661 | 126 | 1288 |
| NALD1-4_2_4_1_6 | sphalerite | 6.5 | 2917.49 | $4.30 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 231 | 38 | 391 |
| NAPA1-13_1a_1_1_6 | sphalerite | 9.6 | 2917.44 | $6.39 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 293 | 56 | 572 |
| NAPA1-13_1b_1_1_6 | sphalerite | 7.6 | 2917.48 | $5.06 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 226 | 43 | 435 |
| NAEX1-7_1_2_1_7 | dolomite | 7.9 | 2917.47 | $5.25 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 340 | 51 | 517 |
| NAEX1-7_1_3_1_7 | dolomite | 10.7 | 2917.42 | 7.14E-03 | 410 | 66 | 673 |
| NALD1-1_2_3_1_7 | dolomite | 15.9 | 2917.32 | $1.07 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 676 | 113 | 1156 |
| NALD1-1_3_5_1_7 | dolomite | 0.4 | 2917.6 | $4.70 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 29 | 6 | 63 |
| NAPA1-13_2a_1_1_6 | quartz | 4.8 | 2917.53 | $3.16 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 169 | 30 | 308 |
| NAPA1-13_2c_1_1_6 | quartz | 3.1 | 2917.56 | $2.01 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 114 | 20 | 204 |
| NAPA1-13_2c_2__6 | quartz | 5.9 | 2917.51 | $3.92 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 179 | 34 | 347 |
| Central Missouri |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CMBN_1_1_1_6 | sphalerite | 4.8 | 2917.53 | $3.16 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 151 | 28 | 288 |
| CMBN_1_2__6 | sphalerite | 8.2 | 2917.47 | $5.44 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 258 | 50 | 508 |
| CMBN_2_1_1_6 | sphalerite | 7.1 | 2917.49 | $4.68 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 218 | 42 | 426 |
| CMBN_2_2_1_6 | sphalerite | 6.8 | 2917.49 | $4.49 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 207 | 40 | 405 |
| CMFT_2_1_1_6 | sphalerite | 8.8 | 2917.45 | $5.82 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 279 | 54 | 549 |
| CMFT_2_2_1_6 | sphalerite | 1.3 | 2917.59 | $8.51 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 43 | 8 | 84 |
| CMFT_2_3_1_6 | sphalerite | 4.5 | 2917.53 | $2.97 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 147 | 27 | 276 |
| CMFT_2_4_1_6 | sphalerite | 7.1 | 2917.49 | $4.68 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 236 | 44 | 448 |
| CMTF_1_1_1_6 | sphalerite | 5.1 | 2917.52 | $3.35 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 167 | 31 | 315 |
| CMTF_1_2_1_6 | sphalerite | 4.2 | 2917.53 | $2.77 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 135 | 25 | 255 |
| CMTF_1_3_1_6 | sphalerite | 13.2 | 2917.38 | 8.83E-03 | 416 | 86 | 874 |

Table 2.4 Ore fluid and calcite reaction path model results.

| Variable | District |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | VT | TS | NA | CM |
| Na (ppm) | 68249 | 68460 | 68299 | 67386 |
| Mg (ppm) | 2291 | 1985 | 1826 | 2009 |
| K (ppm) | 4017 | 2605 | 2633 | 2761 |
| $\mathrm{Ca}(\mathrm{ppm})$ | 17815 | 18932 | 17819 | 18729 |
| Sr (ppm) | 656 | 729 | 544 | 696 |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(\mathrm{ppm})$ | 27 | 22 | 17 | 24 |
| $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Mg}$ | 4.7 | 5.8 | 5.9 | 5.7 |
| calcite (g) | 15.91 | 13.3 | 12.19 | 13.52 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{4} \mathrm{aq}$ (ppm) | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 |
| calcite $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{3}\right)$ | 5.87 | 4.91 | 4.50 | 4.99 |
| $\mathrm{Ca}^{*}$ (ppm) | 16880 | 17360 | 16350 | 17270 |
| Mg* ${ }^{\text {(ppm) }}$ | 317 | 326.1 | 306.8 | 324.3 |
| $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Mg}^{*}$ | 32.3 | 32.3 | 32.3 | 32.3 |

All calculations made with an initial pH of 3.5 and $\log f_{\mathrm{O}_{2}}$ of -53. Abbreviations: same as in fig. 3.2 and *reacted fluid composition.

# CHAPTER 3: Fluid Evolution Models of Ozark Plateau Mississippi Valley-type Ore Fluids 

### 3.1 Abstract

The $\mathrm{Cl} / \mathrm{Br}$ ratios of Ozark Mississippi Valley-type fluids have historically been used as an indicator of salinity origin. Low $\mathrm{Cl} / \mathrm{Br}$ ratios of Ozark MVT ore fluids have been interpreted to indicate a highly evaporatively concentrated seawater origin. However, the absolute concentrations of $\mathrm{Na}, \mathrm{Mg}, \mathrm{K}$, and Ca in Ozark MVT ore fluids are significantly different from the composition of highly evaporatively concentrated seawater. Reaction path and binary mixing models were developed to investigate possible evolutionary histories that could produce a fluid with a composition similar to the Ozark MVT ore fluid. The reaction path models considered reaction between evaporatively concentrated seawater and granite. These models demonstrate that neither ancient nor modern seawater evaporatively concentrated to any degree was the sole contributor to the salinity of Ozark MVT ore fluids. This finding suggests the $\mathrm{Cl} / \mathrm{Br}$ ratios of Ozark MVT ore fluids have been modified. Binary mixing models considered mixing between Cambrian highly evaporatively concentrated seawater and a lower salinity halite dissolution brine. In this mixing scenario the cation composition of the Ozark MVT ore fluid is reached at about 80 percent mixing with the halite dissolution brine. However, to produce a fluid mixture with an Ozark MVT ore fluid $\mathrm{Cl} / \mathrm{Br}$ ratio, the halite dissolution brine must have either dissolved Br -rich halite or equilibrated with Br-rich halite evaporates allowing Cl to exchange for Br .

### 3.2 Introduction

Several decades of research on fluid inclusions in Mississippi Valley-type (MVT) deposits of the Ozark Plateau established that the deposits were most likely formed from sedimentary brines whose composition was dominated by $\mathrm{Na}, \mathrm{Cl}$, and Ca with lesser concentrations of K and $\operatorname{Mg}$ (Newhouse, 1933; Schmidt, 1962; Roedder, 1963, 1967, 1977; Leach et al., 1975; Leach, 1979; Hagni, 1983; Long et al., 1986; Rowan and Leach, 1989; Viets and Leach, 1990; Shelton et al., 1992; Ragan, 1994; Ragan et al., 1996; Viets et al., 1996; Coveney et al., 2000; Appold et al., 2004; Stoffell et al., 2008; Appold and Wenz, 2011). The most recent of these studies (Stoffell et al., 2008; Appold and Wenz, 2011; Chapter 2 this volume) have generated detailed characterizations of the concentrations not only of major constituents but also of minor and trace constituents like $\mathrm{Sr}, \mathrm{Ba}, \mathrm{Pb}$, and $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$. These recent studies have shown that sulfide mineralization most likely formed as a result of mixing under reducing conditions between a Pb - and probably overall metal-rich, sulfur-poor ore fluid with a sulfide-rich, metal-poor fluid. However, how these fluids acquired their overall composition remains unclear.

Some insights into the origin of the salinity of MVT fluids have been gained from studies of the halogen concentrations in fluid inclusions (Crocetti and Holland, 1989; Viets and Leach, 1990; Viets et al., 1996; Kendrick et al., 2002; Stoffell et al., 2008; Shelton et al., 2009). These studies suggest that Ozark MVT fluids acquired their salinity predominantly through evaporation of seawater, with a lesser component acquired through halite dissolution. Nonetheless, the major cation chemistry of Ozark MVT fluids is different from that of modern or ancient evaporated seawater (table 3.1). In particular, Ozark MVT ore fluids' Na concentrations are significantly greater and Mg concentrations
are less than one-tenth of those of ancient evaporated seawater. Previous researchers have offered some explanations for these differences in fluid composition. Stoffell et al. (2008) compared sphalerite- and quartz-hosted fluid inclusion compositions from the Tri-State and Northern Arkansas MVT districts to the evaporated modern seawater trend of McCaffery et al. (1987). They found the fluid inclusions to be enriched in Ca and depleted in Mg relative to the evaporated seawater trend. They attributed this pattern to be the result of the mineralizing fluids having replaced calcite in their host rocks with dolomite. In addition, the Tri-State district fluid inclusions had K concentrations that lay along the evaporated seawater trend whereas the Northern Arkansas district fluid inclusions had K concentrations that were variably elevated above the seawater evaporation trend. They attributed these elevated K concentrations to be the result of variable interaction of the mineralizing fluids with K-rich source rocks. In the Southeast Missouri district, numerous investigators (Deloule et al., 1986; Gregg and Shelton, 1989; Goldhaber et al., 1994; Shelton et al., 1995) have used $\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{Pb}$, and Sr isotopes to demonstrate that MVT fluids interacted with granitic basement rocks prior to precipitating sulfides. Although these studies did not specifically address the major cation composition of the fluids, they do demonstrate that the fluids were modified through water-rock reactions.

The purpose of this study was to develop reaction path and fluid mixing models that can explain how Ozark Plateau MVT ore fluids acquired their observed compositions. The models investigated two specific genetic scenarios: (1) reaction between evaporated seawater and granite, and (2) mixing of evaporated seawater with a hypothetical halite-dissolution brine. The models demonstrate that very specific water-
rock reactions, fluid compositions, and mixing ratios are necessary to develop the low $\mathrm{Cl} / \mathrm{Br}$ ratios and high Na concentrations characteristic of Ozark Plateau MVT fluids. The models also demonstrate that $\mathrm{Cl} / \mathrm{Br}$ ratios of Ozark MVT fluids may not be accurate indicators of salinity origin.

### 3.3 Geology and Hydrology of Ozark Plateau MVT deposits

The Ozark Plateau of the central United States consists of a thick succession of Paleozoic carbonate rocks interbedded with thinner and less frequent shales and sandstones (figure 3.1). The Ozark MVT deposits, which include the Southeast Missouri, Tri-State, Northern Arkansas and Central Missouri districts, occur at different stratigraphic horizons within the Ozark Plateau (figures 3.1 and 3.2). The Ozark MVT deposits formed in the Late Paleozoic during flexural rebound of the Ouachita mountain belt as erosion began to outpace uplift (Leach, 1973; Leach, 1979; Leach and Rowan 1986). This flexural rebound resulted in uplift of the Arkoma basin, forcing basinal fluids to travel northward via gravity-driven flow (Garven and others, 1993; Appold and Garven, 1999; and Appold and Nunn, 2005). Thus, based on the timing of the orogeny, which is also thought to be the age of mineralization (Leach and Rowan, 1986; Leach, 1994), the Ozark MVT ore fluids cannot be younger than Permian in age.

Further constraints on the age of the mineralizing fluids can be deduced by considering their likely flow paths. Numerous investigations have demonstrated that the ore fluids rose into the ore host rocks at the deposit sites from stratigraphically lower aquifers based on hydrogeologic models, dolomitization paths of ore fluids and the presence of significant mineralization below some of the major ore bodies (Siebenthal,

1916; Brockie et al., 1968; Gregg, 1985; Appold and Garven, 1999; Appold and Nunn, 2005). Numerical models of topography-driven flow have demonstrated that Permian meteoric recharge in the highest elevations of the Arkoma foreland basin would have displaced older resident brines initially downward and ultimately northward and upward onto the platform where MVT deposition occurred (Appold and Garven, 1999; Appold and Nunn, 2005). Because the Ozark MVT fluids are consistently highly saline, they could not have mixed with much of this Permian, presumably dilute, meteoric recharge, providing further evidence that the Ozark MVT ore fluids could not have been younger than Permian. Moreover, because the ore fluids in each Ozark MVT district were dolomitizing (Appold and Wenz, 2011; Chapter 2 this volume) and because each district is overlain by thick sections of limestone (figure 3.1), each district's ore fluids could not have originated in or traversed far through rocks stratigraphically younger than its ore host rocks, or the ore fluids would have equilibrated with limestone and lost their dolomitizing character. Thus, each district's ore fluids most likely originated in rocks no younger than the district's ore host rocks, unless the ore fluids were able to descend through the limestone successions via faults or other pathways that inhibited reaction with calcite.

### 3.4 MVT Ore Fluid Composition

Numerous fluid inclusion studies have shown the Ozark MVT fluids to have shared some important characteristics. Besides having had similar temperatures and bulk salinities, the ore fluids had broadly similar major element compositions with average concentrations of $\mathrm{Na}=68,000 \mathrm{ppm}, \mathrm{Ca}=18,000 \mathrm{ppm}, \mathrm{K}=2,800 \mathrm{ppm}$, and $\mathrm{Mg}=2,000$
ppm (Chapter 2 this volume). The ore fluids in each of the Ozark MVT districts were at least transiently Pb - and possibly overall metal-rich, with Pb concentrations on the order of 100's to 1000's of ppm (Stoffell et al., 2008; Appold and Wenz, 2011; Chapter 2 this volume). High $\mathrm{CH}_{4} / \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ ratios, based on direct measurements of $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ in sphalerite- and dolomite-hosted fluid inclusions and assuming $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ saturation, indicate that redox conditions during mineralization were predominantly reducing, such that any $S$ in the fluids would have existed predominantly in the form of sulfide (Chapter 2 this volume). To date, sulfur concentration has not been reliably measured in any previous fluid inclusion studies. However, because the solubility of sulfide is low in the presence of such high Pb concentrations, the ore fluids must have been sulfur-poor overall, though other Pb -poor fluids with which the ore fluids may have mixed could have had high sulfide concentrations (Chapter 2 this volume). Dolomite-, quartz-, and sphalerite-hosted fluid inclusion $\mathrm{Cl} / \mathrm{Br}$ ratios determined from bulk leachate and individual LA-ICP-MS analyses range from 63 to 6100 , with the sphalerite-hosted fluids tending toward the lower end of this range between about 50 and 270 (table 3.1; Crocetti and Holland, 1989; Viets and Leach, 1990; Viets and others, 1992; Stoffell et al., 2008; Shelton et al., 2009). On the whole, this range of $\mathrm{Cl} / \mathrm{Br}$ ratios has been interpreted to indicate that MVT mineralizing fluids in the Ozark Plateau were derived predominantly from evaporatively concentrated seawater and to a lesser extent from halite dissolution.

### 3.5 Approach

The purpose of the present study was to investigate how the Ozark MVT ore fluids could have attained their observed compositions. This investigation was carried out
in part through reaction path modeling using the Geochemist's Workbench ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ software in conjunction with the Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory thermodynamic database, version 8 , release 6 . Two types of reaction path models were conducted, titration and flow-through. In the titration models, a prescribed volume of reactant was added stepwise to one kilogram of fluid, where at each step a new fluid and rock equilibrium state was calculated before more reactant was added. This model simulates the geochemical evolution of a fluid that is static or moving slowly through its host rock. In the flowthrough models, a prescribed volume of reactant was also added stepwise to a prescribed volume of fluid, but minerals that precipitated from the previous step were removed from the system prior to calculating the new equilibrium state of the system. This model simulates a fluid flowing more rapidly through its host rock. Reaction path model results are presented in terms of a reaction progress variable, $\xi$, which represents the fraction of the total prescribed reactant volume added to the system. A total of $100 \xi$ steps were calculated for the titration and flow-through models for a total reactant volume of 3000 $\mathrm{cm}^{3}$.

The reaction path models evaluated a scenario in which an evaporatively concentrated seawater is reacted progressively with granite. In this scenario, the initial fluid was assumed to be evaporatively concentrated Cambrian seawater, with a composition derived from analyses of halite-hosted fluid inclusions from Cambrian evaporites from Eastern Siberia analyzed by Horita et al. (2002). These fluid inclusions have average $\mathrm{Cl} / \mathrm{Br}$ ratios of $\sim 170$, which for modern seawater would correspond to evaporation past the point of halite precipitation (see compilation by Fontes and Matray, 1993). Though the $\mathrm{Cl} / \mathrm{Br}$ ratios of these fluid inclusions are similar to those of MVT ore
fluids, the ionic strengths of about eight of the fluid inclusions are about a factor of two greater than those of MVT ore fluids. Thus, the model ore fluid composition was obtained by diluting the average halite-hosted fluid inclusion compositions of Horita et al. (2002) by a factor of two. This step could represent dilution by fresh meteoric water, which is consistent with hydrogen and oxygen isotope evidence that suggests that most sedimentary brines contain a significant component of meteoric water (Faure and Mensing, 2005). It is worth noting that this ionic strength or salinity reduction could possibly also have been achieved through mineral precipitation, though this scenario could not be tested because an ionic strength of eight lies beyond the limit of the extended Debye-Hückel activity model of Helgeson et al. (1981) utilized by the Geochemist's Workbench ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ software. In addition, the Pitzer equation-based thermodynamic database used by the software lacks data for the necessary mineral phases to model likely water-rock reactions at high ionic strength and temperature.

The reaction path models required additional parameters that are not included in the Horita et al. (2002) data set. These parameters include $\mathrm{pH}, f_{\mathrm{O}_{2}}$, and the concentrations of $\mathrm{Al}, \mathrm{Fe}$ and S . The initial pH and $\log f_{O_{2}}$ values were set at 8 and -53 assuming a similar pH to modern seawater and a redox state likely for Ozark MVT ore fluids (Chapter 2 this volume). The starting concentrations for Al and Fe were set at 0.002 ppm and for $\mathrm{SO}_{4}^{2-}$ at 9 ppm , concentrations for which no $\mathrm{Al}, \mathrm{Fe}$ or S bearing minerals are saturated. The model temperature was held constant at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, which represents the average homogenization temperature of sphalerite-hosted fluid inclusions from Ozark MVT deposits. A summary of the initial fluid compositions is given in table 3.2.

The sedimentary succession of the entire Ozark Plateau is underlain predominantly by felsic igneous rocks (Bickford et al., 1981). Numerous studies have suggested that the Ozark MVT ore fluids derived their Pb from these felsic basement rocks based on Pb isotope compositions (McKnight and Fischer, 1970; Doe and Delevaux, 1972; Crocetti et al., 1988; Goldhaber et al., 1994). Therefore a reactant assemblage was used in the model that is consistent with the average modal mineral abundances in granitic rocks from the St. Francois Mountains in Missouri, (table 3.3; Bickford et al.,1981; Nabelek and Russ-Nabelek ,1990), which are an outcropping of the Precambrian basement thought to underlie the Ozark Plateau.

In addition to the reaction path modeling calculations, a suite of nonthermodynamic binary fluid mixing calculations was carried out between a fluid with the composition of the halite-hosted fluid inclusions of Horita et al. (2002) and a hypothetical halite dissolution (HHD) brine. The major cation concentrations of the HHD brine were varied systematically until their mixing with the evaporatively concentrated seawater produced a fluid composition that resembles the Ozark MVT ore fluid. An initial $\mathrm{Cl} / \mathrm{Br}$ atomic ratio of 650 was selected for the HHD brine. This is the $\mathrm{Cl} / \mathrm{Br}$ ratio of modern seawater and represents the absolute minimum value possible for halite precipitated from seawater and was selected as a starting point for the binary mixing models.

### 3.6 Results

### 3.6.1 Titration Model

Figure 3.3A and B show the results of a titration model in which $3000 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ of granite was allowed to react progressively with one kilogram of Cambrian evaporatively
concentrated seawater (table 3.2). The model fluid rapidly becomes saturated with respect to quartz, microcline, annite, pyrite, and muscovite early in the reaction path resulting in the net accumulation of these minerals over the remainder of the reaction path (figure 3.3B). The reactant minerals, albite, anorthite, phlogopite, hematite, and magnetite were either not saturated or become saturated late in the reaction path. New minerals that were not part of the original granite reactant mineral assemblage that become saturated during the reaction path include the smectite minerals, saponite and nontronite, and the zeolite, mesolite. A list of the mineral compositions is provided in table 3.4.

Figure 3.3A shows the changes in concentration of the four major elements, Na , $\mathrm{Ca}, \mathrm{K}$, and Mg , during the reaction path, relative to the average concentrations of these elements in Ozark MVT ore fluids. In this simulation, average MVT ore fluid concentrations of $\mathrm{Na}, \mathrm{Ca}, \mathrm{K}$, and Mg were never simultaneously reached. The Na concentration of the model fluid begins more than an order of magnitude below that of the average Na concentration of the Ozark MVT ore fluids. However, as a result of continual albite dissolution, the concentration of Na in the model fluid increases steadily during the simulation, reaching the ore fluid concentration of $66,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ at a $\xi$ value of 0.66 , and continuing to increase thereafter. The model fluid's Ca concentration begins and remains near the Ozark MVT ore fluid's Ca concentration up to a $\xi$ value of about 0.4 , but plunges steeply thereafter due to precipitation of mesolite. The concentrations of Mg and K in the model fluid both start out significantly higher than the average concentrations in Ozark MVT ore fluids. The Mg concentration of the model fluid decreases throughout the simulation as a result of the continual precipitation of Mg minerals like nontronite and saponite and the fact that no Mg minerals are present in the
reactant mineral assemblage. Thus, the Mg concentration in the model fluid reaches the average Mg concentration of the Ozark MVT ore fluids by a $\xi$ value of about 0.25 , but decreases by more than another order of magnitude thereafter. The concentration of K in the model fluid increases briefly through a $\xi$ value of about 0.1 due to the dissolution of K-feldspar. Though K-feldspar continues to accumulate throughout the simulation, K concentration decreases after $\xi=0.1$. The reason for K loss can be explained by conversion of muscovite into microcline, illustrated by the decrease in slope of muscovite accumulation and a more rapid rate of microcline accumulation beginning at a $\xi$ value of about 0.1 , and by reaction 1 .

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{KAl}_{3} \mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{10}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}+6 \mathrm{SiO}_{2}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{~K}^{+} \rightarrow 3 \mathrm{KAlSi}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{8}+2 \mathrm{H}^{+} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In reaction 1 muscovite and K are converted into microcline, resulting in a pH decrease. However, the pH of the system at this point is increasing, likely the result of consumption of $\mathrm{H}^{+}$by precipitation of abundant smectite minerals. After a $\xi$ of about 0.25 , the pH and K concentration of the fluid stabilize at about 5 and 7200 , respectively. Saturation of albite in the system further increases the pH , lowering the K concentration in the reactant fluid to the average K concentration of the the Ozark MVT ore fluids at a $\xi$ value of about 0.85. Overabundance of K in the reactant fluid until late in the reaction path was a consistent feature of the models, regardless of the initial K concentration.

The model results were found to be relatively insensitive to changes in the initial values of $\mathrm{pH}, \log f_{\mathrm{O}_{2}}$, and total sulfur content of the model fluid. During sensitivity analysis, the initial pH was varied from 2 to $10, \log f_{\mathrm{O}_{2}}$ was varied from -40 to -60 , and
total sulfur concentration was varied from 0.001 to 100 ppm . In all of the simulations, the pH was buffered to a value of about 5 to 6 , depending upon changes in the silicate mineral assemblage over the course of the reaction path. The $\log f_{O_{2}}$ was buffered to a value of about -52 due to reactions with ferrous Fe-silicates and pyrite. The total sulfur concentration was buffered to a value of about 30 ppb due to saturation with respect to pyrite.

The sensitivity analysis was extended to evaluate the effects of other variations in fluid composition on the reaction path results. Specific scenarios evaluated included the use of a reactant fluid with the composition of (1) Middle Devonian and (2) Late Silurian evaporatively concentrated seawater that was diluted by a factor of two (table 3.2), and (3) a volume reactant exchange of $300 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ of albite for the same volume of K-feldspar in the granitic reactant mineral assemblage. The Devonian and Silurian evaporatively concentrated seawater compositions were selected because they represent plausible ages for the Ozark MVT ore fluid and have significantly different compositions from Cambrian evaporatively concentrated seawater. The models in which the modified Middle Devonian and Late Silurian evaporatively concentrated seawaters reacted with the original granite mineral assemblage predict overall similar mineral precipitation sequences compared to the base case model shown in figure 3.3. As for the base case model, at no point in the Middle Devonian and Late Silurian evaporatively concentrated seawater models did the concentrations of $\mathrm{Na}, \mathrm{Ca}, \mathrm{K}$, and Mg in the reactant fluid simultaneously match the average concentrations of these elements in the Ozark MVT ore fluids (figures 3.4 and 3.5). In the Late Silurian model, the Na concentration of the reactant fluid reached the average concentration of Ozark MVT ore fluids at a slightly
lower $\xi$ value compared to the base case model, but the Ca and Mg concentrations in the reactant fluid were also depleted below the average concentrations of the Ozark MVT fluids at lower $\xi$ values compared to the base case model (figure 3.4). In the Middle Devonian model, the Na concentration of the reactant fluid never reaches the average concentration of the Ozark MVT ore fluid and the Ca and Mg concentrations in the reactant fluid were depleted at much lower $\xi$ values compared to the base case model (figure 3.5).

Increasing the proportion of albite in the reactant mineral assemblage while retaining the initial modified evaporatively concentrated Cambrian seawater composition for the reactant fluid from the base case model resulted in a faster increase in the reactant fluid's Na. The increased proportion of albite reactant also resulted in a faster decrease in the reactant fluid's Ca and Mg concentration such that the $\mathrm{Na}, \mathrm{Ca}$, and Mg concentrations in the reactant fluid never simultaneously matched the averages of the concentrations of these elements in Ozark MVT ore fluids.

In order to determine if a reactant fluid composition exists that could evolve to the composition of Ozark MVT ore fluid through reaction with granite, a series of reaction path models was carried out in which the concentrations of the major elements were varied systematically. The results show that an optimal fluid with initial elemental concentrations of $\mathrm{Na}=18,000 \mathrm{ppm}, \mathrm{Mg}=23,000 \mathrm{ppm}, \mathrm{K}=6,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ and $\mathrm{Ca}=22,000$ ppm would match closely the average concentrations of $\mathrm{Na}, \mathrm{Mg}$ and Ca in Ozark MVT ore fluids after reacting with $1,560 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ of granite at a $\xi$ value of about 0.5 (figure 3.6 and table 3.2). As for the previous simulations, the concentration of K in the model reactant fluid remained above the average concentration of K in Ozark MVT fluids until much
later in the reaction path, no matter what initial K concentration was tried. However, the model K concentration predicted at $\xi=0.5$ does lie within the range of K concentrations in fluid inclusions hosted by main-stage, Ag-rich sphalerite-hosted fluid inclusions from the Viburnum Trend, which are much higher than the average K concentration of Ozark MVT fluids (Fig. 2.7). Thus, this reaction path model represents a reasonable possible scenario by which the mineralizing fluid mixture in the Viburnum Trend formed. For the other three Ozark MVT districts, an additional mechanism to decrease the K concentration further would have been required. One possibility is mixing of the ore fluid with a saline, K-poor fluid. Such mixing would have been more likely in the other three districts because their higher stratigraphic positions would have created a longer flow path and therefore greater opportunities for mixing for an ore fluid ascending from the granitic basement (figure 3.1).

To identify any possible geologic analogues to the optimal fluid composition requires developing an evaporation reaction path in which Ca can be concentrated in seawater. The relative depletion of Ca in evaporatively concentrated modern seawater is due to the abundance of sulfate, which causes anhydrite to precipitate. Seawater from 350 to 500 Ma (Devonian to Late Cambrian) had $\mathrm{SO}_{4}^{2-}$ concentrations three times lower and Ca concentrations three times higher than modern seawater but comparable concentrations of Mg and K (Horita et al., 2002), allowing high Ca concentrations to be maintained during evaporative concentration. The Ca and $\mathrm{SO}_{4}^{2-}$ concentrations of Devonian to Late Cambrian seawater represent the most extreme deviation from modern seawater compositions, which allow the highest possible Ca concentrations in evaporatively concentrated seawater. The average seawater composition from this period
of time was evaporatively concentrated using the Geochemist's Workbench Harvie-Möller-Weare thermodynamic database. When the optimal fluid is progressively concentrated without reaction by a factor of two, its resultant Na and K concentrations resemble those of Devonian to Late Cambrian seawater that has been evaporatively concentrated by a factor of about 45 (table 3.1). The concentration of K in Early Paleozoic seawater that has been evaporatively concentrated by a factor of 45 is about $12,000 \mathrm{ppm}$, which led to the choice of $6,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ in the model reactant fluid for the simulation shown in Figure 3.6, though as noted above, the models were relatively insensitive to the choice of initial K concentration because of the abundance of K feldspar in the reactant mineral assemblage. Once the optimal fluid has been concentrated by a factor of two, its Ca and Mg concentrations are a factor of $\sim 1.6$ greater than those of Devonian to Late Cambrian seawater that has been evaporatively concentrated by a factor of 45. If Devonian to Late Cambrian seawater were evaporated further to a factor of about 90 , it would have a Ca concentration of about $44,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ and a Mg concentration of about $47,000 \mathrm{ppm}$, which resemble the Ca and Mg concentrations of the concentrated optimal fluid. However, the Na concentration in this highly evaporatively concentrated seawater would be about 5300, which is about a factor of seven lower than that of the concentrated optimal fluid. Thus, the optimal fluid composition found from this sensitivity analysis is unlike any modern or ancient evaporatively concentrated seawater. This suggests the $\mathrm{Cl} / \mathrm{Br}$ ratio of the Ozark MVT ore fluids were modified by processes other than evaporative concentration, as the $\mathrm{Cl} / \mathrm{Br}$ ratio of Middle Devonian to Cambrian seawater that has been evaporatively concentrated by a factor of about 90 averages
between 173 and 278 (table 3.1) whereas $\mathrm{Cl} / \mathrm{Br}$ ratios of the Ozark MVT ore fluids are as low as 46 (table 3.1; Shelton et al., 2009).

### 3.6.2 Flow-through Model

Figure 3.7 A and B shows the change in fluid composition and abundance of minerals precipitated as a function of progress of reaction with granite for the flowthrough model, using the same initial reactant fluid composition and mineral assemblage used in the base case titration model. Comparing these results to the results of the base case titration model shown in figure 3.3, it is clear that the patterns of mineral precipitation and changes in fluid composition are very similar to one another. The main difference in fluid composition is that in the flow-through model, the concentration of Mg declines more sharply between a $\xi$ value of about 0.25 and 0.7 compared to the titration model, whereas the trajectories of the concentrations of $\mathrm{Na}, \mathrm{Ca}$ and K in the two models are nearly identical. The main differences in the patterns of mineral precipitation are that in the flow-through model mineral precipitation is continuous whereas in the titration model Mg-saponite, Ca-saponite, and Mg-nontronite dissolve after earlier periods of precipitation. In addition, in the flow-through model Ca-nontronite is precipitated. The similarities between the titration and flow-through models demonstrate that fluid composition and mineral alteration patterns for the reaction of a sedimentary brine with a granite are relatively insensitive to whether or not the precipitated minerals remain in contact with the fluid. This implies that the fluid composition and mineral alteration patterns are also relatively insensitive to the velocity of the fluid, provided that the kinetics of reaction remain fast relative to the rate of flow.

### 3.6.3 Binary Fluid Mixing Models

As shown in Chapter 2, the sulfide ore mineral precipitation process in each Ozark MVT district most likely consisted principally of mixing between a $\mathrm{Pb}-$ and $\mathrm{Zn}-$ rich, sulfur-poor ore fluid that had a high $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Na}$ and low $\mathrm{Cl} / \mathrm{Br}$ ratio, and a fluid that had the converse properties. It is possible, however, that the ore fluid underwent one or more earlier stages of mixing prior to the mixing that ultimately led to ore precipitation. If the ore fluid originated some time between the Cambrian through Mississippian, tens to hundreds of millions of years would have been available for a proto-ore fluid to have mixed with other basinal fluids before precipitating MVT deposits in the PennsylvanianPermian. To test this scenario, simple binary fluid mixing models were conducted involving a hypothetical halite dissolution (HHD) brine and the Cambrian evaporatively concentrated model seawater utilized as the reactant fluid in the previous reaction path simulations to see if the average composition of the Ozark MVT ore fluids could be produced.

The results of the calculations show that this mixing scenario would work best for a HHD brine composition characterized by Na as the predominant cation, low concentrations of Mg , and an overall salinity similar to that of the Ozark MVT ore fluids (table 3.2). A mixture consisting of $80 \%$ of this HHD brine and $20 \%$ of the Cambrian evaporatively concentrated model seawater has Na and K concentrations close to the average Na and K concentrations of the Ozark MVT ore fluids (figure 3.8). However, the average concentration of Ca in the Ozark MVT ore fluids is reached for a mixture containing only about $60 \%$ HHD brine, and the average concentration of Mg is reached
for a mixture containing about $95 \%$ HHD brine. Thus, for this mixing process to produce a fluid with the overall average major element composition of Ozark MVT ore fluids, it must have been concomitant with another process that introduced Ca and removed Mg from solution, e.g. dolomitization of calcite. Reaction path calculations of a mixture consisting of $80 \%$ HHD brine and $20 \%$ of the Cambrian evaporatively concentrated model seawater reacting with calcite demonstrate that the Na and K concentration are insignificantly modified whereas the Ca and Mg concentrations are significantly modified by a 1:1 exchange of Mg for Ca in the fluid. Specifically, the reaction path calculations show that one kilogram of the fluid mixture reacting with $22 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ of calcite will result in the exact average composition of the Ozark MVT ore fluid. This is shown graphically in figure 3.8 where the red and orange arrows point to the model fluid compositions resulting from reaction of the fluid mixture with calcite.

For a mixture consisting of $80 \%$ HHD brine and $20 \%$ Cambrian evaporatively concentrated model seawater, the resultant $\mathrm{Cl} / \mathrm{Br}$ ratio of 314 is over a factor of six greater than the lowest $\mathrm{Cl} / \mathrm{Br}$ ratios of Ozark MVT ore fluids, which as noted previously were obtained from in situ LA-ICP-MS analysis of fluid inclusions and thus are thought to be more accurate than the higher values obtained from bulk leachate analyses (Stoffell et al, 2008; table 3.1). One possible explanation may be that the HHD brine had an anomalously low $\mathrm{Cl} / \mathrm{Br}$ ratio, perhaps caused by the recrystallization of halite. For example, Stoessell and Carpenter (1986) showed that the Br content of a halite-saturated fluid that is causing recrystallization of halite can increase by over $1,000 \mathrm{ppm}$. In addition, if the HHD brine acquired its salinity from the dissolution of Br-rich halite in
the first place, then the $\mathrm{Cl} / \mathrm{Br}$ ratio of the HHD brine could have been lowered even further.

Halite precipitated from seawater typically has Br concentrations in the range of 50 to 270 ppm , though Br concentrations in halite as high as $3,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ have been reported (Warren, 2006). The $\mathrm{Cl} / \mathrm{Br}$ ratio of the initial HHD brine was modified using equation 1 and a distribution coefficient (D) of 0.34 (from Hermann, 1972).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{D}=\left(\frac{B r}{C l}\right)_{\text {mineral }} /\left(\frac{B r}{C l}\right)_{\text {solution }} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Figure 3.8 shows the $\mathrm{Cl} / \mathrm{Br}$ ratio as a function of mixing of the Cambrian evaporatively concentrated model seawater with HHD brine with initial $\mathrm{Cl} / \mathrm{Br}$ ratios of seawater and $\mathrm{Cl} / \mathrm{Br}$ ratios acquired from recrystallization of halite containing 200 and 500 ppm Br. For a mixture consisting of $80 \%$ HHD brine and $20 \%$ Cambrian evaporatively concentrated model seawater the $\mathrm{Cl} / \mathrm{Br}$ ratios are 204 and 114 for a HHD brine that recrystallized halite with Br concentrations of 200 and 500 ppm , respectively. The results indicate that a low $\mathrm{Cl} / \mathrm{Br}$ ratio resembling that of Ozark MVT ore fluids can be produced in a fluid mixture containing a high proportion of a fluid whose salinity was derived from halite dissolution, provided that the Br content of HHD brine was increased by recrystallization of Br -rich halite.

### 3.7 Discussion

The reaction path and binary mixing models each indicate that the high Na concentration and low $\mathrm{Cl} / \mathrm{Br}$ ratio of the Ozark MVT ore fluid require a very specific
fluid evolution history. In the reaction path models, reaction of evaporatively concentrated seawater with granite does not predict the composition of Ozark MVT ore fluids. The inability to transform an evaporatively concentrated seawater into the Ozark MVT demonstrates no clear correlation exists between degree of evaporation and $\mathrm{Cl} / \mathrm{Br}$ ratio for Ozark MVT ore fluids. In the binary mixing model, mixing between the Cambrian evaporatively concentrated seawater and the HHD brine will converge on the Ozark MVT ore fluid composition if the HHD brine was enriched in Br through recrystallization of Br -rich halite and reacted with calcite to modify the Ca and Mg concentrations of the mixture. The very specific scenario of this model implies that it is unlikely to have occurred naturally as the fluid composition ranges and Br enrichment scenarios are so narrow. Therefore, in both model types it appears that the $\mathrm{Cl} / \mathrm{Br}$ ratio of the fluids could have been modified by some other process.

Investigations of the composition of Canadian Shield crustal brines have shown that fluid and rock reactions can indeed modify the $\mathrm{Cl} / \mathrm{Br}$ ratio of saline fluids (Fritz and Frape, 1982; Frape and Fritz, 1987; Gascoyne et al. 1987). Experimental leachate studies have demonstrated that water reacting with granitic rocks has $\mathrm{Cl} / \mathrm{Br}$ ratios from 56 to 266 , with an average value of about 100 (Frape and Fritz, 1987; Seelig and Bucher, 2010). If the Ozark MVT ore fluids reacted with granitic basement rock, these studies suggest the $\mathrm{Cl} / \mathrm{Br}$ ratio of the fluid could have been modified. If this is the mechanism by which the Ozark MVT ore fluids acquired their low $\mathrm{Cl} / \mathrm{Br}$ ratios, then a highly evaporatively concentrated seawater is not a necessary component in the evolutionary history of MVT ore fluids. Instead, lower degrees of seawater evaporation and modification of the $\mathrm{Cl} / \mathrm{Br}$ ratio, lowered through reaction with granite, could have produced a fluid similar in
composition to the Ozark MVT ore fluids. In addition, weakly evaporated seawater is much less reactive with granite, due to much lower Ca and Mg concentrations, and has an ionic strength comparable to the Ozark MVT ore fluids. Therefore, based on the high Ca concentrations of Paleozoic seawater and the ability of granites to modify the $\mathrm{Cl} / \mathrm{Br}$ ratio of fluids, the Ozark MVT ore fluids could be the product of reaction between a weakly evaporatively concentrated ancient seawater and granite.

The reaction path models presented indicate that rock volumes of about $1,500 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ are required to transform every kilogram of evaporatively concentrated seawater into Ozark MVT ore fluid compositions. For a model fluid density of $1.1 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$, this would equate to a water to rock volume ratio of about 0.73 . The feasibility of this water to rock ratio can be examined by mass balance calculations of the volume of granite required for a fluid to attain the high Pb concentrations characteristic of Ozark MVT ore fluids. For example, one liter ( L ) of fluid extracting all of the Pb from $2,000 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ of a low-Ca granite with a Pb concentration of 19 ppm (Faure, 1998) would result in an aqueous Pb concentration of 17 ppm . By extension, one L of fluid would have to extract all the Pb from $150,000 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ to acquire a Pb concentration of about $1,000 \mathrm{ppm}$, which is near the upper limit of measured values in Ozark MVT ore fluids. Assuming a density of 1.1 $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ for the fluid, the water to rock ratio would be 0.0073 . This water to rock ratio is two orders of magnitude lower than predicted by the reaction path models and suggests the model fluid had interacted with a greater volume of rock than required to change its major cation concentrations to that of the Ozark MVT ore fluid. Thus, if the granitic basement were the Pb source rock and the ore fluid were an evaporatively concentrated seawater, reactions depleting the ore fluid of $\mathrm{Ca}, \mathrm{Mg}$ and K must have been inhibited
kinetically or the granitic rocks were enriched in Pb by at factor of 50 to allow such small water to rock ratios determined from the reaction path models. The possibility of high Pb content in the Ozark Plateau basement is supported by the variably elevated U and Pb concentrations up to a factor of eight compared to typical granite compositions (Nabelek and Russ-Nabelek, 1990; Goldhaber et al., 1994; Faure, 1998).

Historically, investigations of Ozark Plateau MVT ore fluid compositions have considered Cl and Br to behave as conservative elements and thus to be good indicators of salinity origin. This assumption has led to a common interpretation that the low $\mathrm{Cl} / \mathrm{Br}$ ratios in MVT ore fluids indicates that the fluids acquired their salinity through high degrees of evaporative concentration of seawater (Crocetti and Holland 1989; Viets, 1996, Kendrick et al., 2002). However, the major element concentrations and salinity of Ozark MVT ore fluids are much lower than suggested by the $\mathrm{Cl} / \mathrm{Br}$ ratios and indicates that either the precursor evaporatively concentrated seawater fluid mixed with a more dilute fluid, such as a halite dissolution brine, or the $\mathrm{Cl} / \mathrm{Br}$ ratios were modified by reaction with Br bearing minerals like biotite and muscovite in granite. The reaction path and mixing models presented in this study suggest that $\mathrm{Cl} / \mathrm{Br}$ ratios cannot predict the origin of salinity for Ozark MVT ore fluids due to the complex evolutionary history they may have experienced. Instead, $\mathrm{Cl} / \mathrm{Br}$ ratios of Ozark MVT ore fluids may be an indicator of interaction with the granitic basement and in effect an indicator of ore precipitating potential. Further experimental studies of Br and Cl distribution coefficients between silicate minerals and saline fluids will be necessary to understand the complex geochemical evolutionary history of the Ozark MVT ore fluids more fully.

### 3.8 Conclusions

The reaction path models presented in this study have revealed some important insights into the origins of Ozark Plateau MVT ore fluids.

1) Ancient evaporatively concentrated seawater with $\mathrm{Cl} / \mathrm{Br}$ ratios similar to those of Ozark MVT ore fluids have major cation concentrations that differ by over one order of magnitude, indicating that if the Ozark MVT ore fluids originated as highly evaporatively concentrated seawater they subsequently must have been modified significantly.
2) Reaction path models of evaporatively concentrated seawater reacting with granite do not predict the cation concentrations of Ozark MVT ore fluids. An optimal fluid composition reacting with granite does predict the cation concentrations of Ozark MVT ore fluids, but this fluid is unlike any known natural evaporatively concentrated seawater.
3) A fluid mixture consisting of $20 \%$ evaporatively concentrated seawater and $80 \%$ HHD brine that reacted with calcite could have $\mathrm{Na}, \mathrm{Ca}, \mathrm{Mg}$, and K concentrations that resemble the average concentrations of Ozark MVT fluids. For this fluid mixture also to have the $\mathrm{Cl} / \mathrm{Br}$ ratio of Ozark MVT ore fluids, the HHD brine would had to have equilibrated with Br-rich halite prior to mixing or dissolved Br-rich halite.
4) Mass balance calculations indicate that one L of Ozark MVT ore fluid would need to have reacted with $15,000 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ of granite to acquire its high Pb content. This volume of
granite is much greater than that required to modify highly evaporatively concentrated seawater to the composition of Ozark MVT ore fluids.
5) The reaction path and binary mixing models presented suggest that the $\mathrm{Cl} / \mathrm{Br}$ ratios of Ozark MVT ore fluids are unlikely to have been derived solely from seawater evaporation. Instead, the $\mathrm{Cl} / \mathrm{Br}$ ratios of Ozark MVT ore fluids may be the result of interaction with halogen bearing silicates in the Ozark Plateau's Precambrian granitic basement.
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Fig. 3.1 Generalized stratigraphic section for the Ozark Plateau. Stratigraphic locations of the ore deposits are indicated by black bars (from Appold and Garven, 1999; data assembled from Brockie and others, 1968; Leach and others, 1975; Thacker and Anderson, 1977; Imes, 1990 and Imes and Smith, 1990).


Fig. 3.2 Regional map of the Ozark Plateau and sedimentary basins showing the location of the Ozark MVT deposits (modified after Appold and Garven, 1999). Abbreviations: CM- Central Missouri district, NA- Northern Arkansas district, TS- Tri-State district, VT- Viburnum Trend.


Fig. 3.3 Cambrian seawater titration model reaction path results for fluid composition and minerals present in the system. In A, the dashed lines represent the average composition of the Ozark MVT ore fluid.


Figure 3.4 Late Silurian seawater titration model reaction path results for fluid composition. The dashed lines represent the average composition of the Ozark MVT ore fluid.


Figure 3.5 Middle Devonian seawater titration model reaction path results for fluid composition. The dashed lines represent the average composition of the Ozark MVT ore fluid.


Fig. 3.6 Titration model reaction path results of the optimal fluid composition. The dashed lines represent the average composition of the Ozark MVT ore fluid. The light green shaded zone represents the range of K concentrations from the Viburnum Trend main stage Ag-rich sphalerite-hosted fluid inclusions.


Fig. 3.7 Flow through model reaction path results for fluid composition and minerals present in the system. In the composition plot, dashed lines represent the average composition of the Ozark MVT ore fluid.


Fig. 3.8 Binary mixing model results. Dashed lines represent the average Ozark MVT ore fluid composition. Arrows on short dash lines point to the Ca and Mg concentrations for a 0.8 HHD brine mixture which reacted with calcite allowing a 1:1 molar exchange of Ca for Mg .

Table 3.1 Compositions of modern and ancient seawater and the Ozark MVT ore fluid.

| Fluid Type | $\mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{ppm})$ | $\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{ppm})$ | $\mathrm{Mg}(\mathrm{ppm})$ | $\mathrm{Ca}(\mathrm{ppm})$ | $\mathrm{Cl} / \mathrm{Br}$ atomic ratio |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ozark MVT ore fluid | 65853 | 2769 | 1938 | 17929 | $90-100\left(46-269^{*}\right)$ |
| Cambrian ECSW | 7731 | 12990 | 45290 | 38710 | 172 |
| Late Silurian ECSW | 15320 | 13720 | 34810 | 32590 | 173 |
| Middle Devonian ECSW | 42620 | 4584 | 24310 | 20730 | 278 |
| modern ECSW | 2889 | 3626 | 60513 | 0 | 77 |
| Early Paleozoic 45x <br> ECSW | 31000 | 12000 | 29000 | 27000 | 157 |
| Ideal ECSW | 36000 | 12000 | 46000 | 44000 | na |
| HHD brine | 80000 | 200 | 0 | 2400 | $650,232,93$ |

ECSW= evaporatively concentrated seawater, ${ }^{*} \mathrm{Cl} / \mathrm{Br}$ ratio of mainstage sulfides from the Viburnum Trend from Shelton et al. (2009). Modern seawater compositions are from McCaffery et al. (1987).

Table 3.2 Initial compositions of modeled fluids.

| Variable | Cambrian <br> ECSW | Late Silurian <br> ECSW | Devonian <br> ECSW | Optimal <br> ECSW | HHD brine |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{ppm})$ | 3866 | 7660 | 21310 | 18000 | 80000 |
| $\mathrm{Mg}(\mathrm{ppm})$ | 22645 | 17405 | 12155 | 23000 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{~K}(\mathrm{ppm})$ | 6495 | 6860 | 2292 | 6000 | 200 |
| $\mathrm{Ca}(\mathrm{ppm})$ | 19355 | 16295 | 10365 | 22000 | 2400 |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{ppm})$ | 110550 | 97600 | 86800 | 135000 | 84707 |
| $\mathrm{Br}(\mathrm{ppm})$ | 1448 | 1269 | 1269 | 1269 | 293 |
| $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}(\mathrm{aq})(\mathrm{ppm})$ | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-07$ | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-07$ | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-07$ | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-07$ | - |
| $\mathrm{Al}(\mathrm{ppm})$ | 0.002 | 0.002 | 0.002 | 0.002 | - |
| $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{ppm})$ | 0.002 | 0.002 | 0.002 | 0.002 | - |
| $\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{ppm})$ | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | - |
| pH | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | - |
| $\log f \mathrm{fo}_{2}$ | -53 | -53 | -53 | -53 | - |

ECSW = evaporatively concentrated seawater

Table 3.3 Granite reactant mineral volume percent.

| Mineral <br> Reactant | Volume <br> $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{3}\right)$ | 1020 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Volume $\%$ |  |  |
| K-feldspar | 1080 | $34.00 \%$ |
| albite | 619.2 | $36.00 \%$ |
| anorthite | 100.8 | $20.64 \%$ |
| annite | 90 | $3.36 \%$ |
| pyrite | 6 | $3.00 \%$ |
| muscovite | 60 | $0.20 \%$ |
| magnetite | 12 | $2.00 \%$ |
| hematite | 12 | $0.40 \%$ |

Table 3.4 Composition of reactant and reaction path precipitated minerals.

| Mineral | Composition |
| :--- | :--- |
| Albite | $\mathrm{NaAlSi}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{8}$ |
| Annite | $\mathrm{KFe}_{3} \mathrm{AlSi}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{10}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ |
| Anorthite | $\mathrm{CaAl}_{2} \mathrm{Si}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ |
| Hematite | $\mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ |
| K-feldspar | $\mathrm{KAlSi}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{8}$ |
| Magnetite | $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ |
| Mesolite | $\mathrm{Na}_{0.676} \mathrm{Ca}_{0.657} \mathrm{Al}_{1.99} \mathrm{Si}_{3.01} \mathrm{O}_{10} \cdot 2.647 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |
| Muscovite | $\mathrm{KAl}_{3} \mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{10}\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right.$ |
| Nontronite-Ca | $\mathrm{Ca}_{0.165} \mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{Al}_{0.33} \mathrm{Si}_{3.67} \cdot 12 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |
| Nontronite- Mg | $\mathrm{Mg}_{0.165} \mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{Al}_{0.33} \mathrm{Si}_{3.67} \cdot 12 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |
| Nontronite- Na | $\mathrm{Na}_{0.33} \mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{Al}_{0.33} \mathrm{Si}_{3.67} \cdot 12 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |
| Pyrite | $\mathrm{FeS}_{2}$ |
| Quartz | $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ |
| Saponite-Ca | $\mathrm{Ca}_{0.165} \mathrm{Mg}_{3} \mathrm{Al}_{0.33} \mathrm{Si}_{3.67} \mathrm{O}_{10}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ |
| Saponite-K | $\mathrm{K}_{0.33} \mathrm{Mg}_{3} \mathrm{Al}_{0.33} \mathrm{Si}_{3.67} \mathrm{O}_{10}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ |
| Saponite-Mg | $\mathrm{Mg}_{3.165} \mathrm{Al}_{.33} \mathrm{Si}_{3.67} \mathrm{O}_{10}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ |
| Saponite- Na | $\mathrm{Na}_{0.33} \mathrm{Mg}_{3} \mathrm{Al}_{0.33} \mathrm{Si}_{3.67} \mathrm{O}_{10}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ |

I XIGNGddV

| 2 |  | ＇ | ＇ | ＇ | ＇ | ＇ | ＇ |  | ＇ | ＇ | ＇ | ＇ |  | ＇ | ＇ | ＇ | ＇ |  |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \underset{\sim}{7} \\ \vdots \\ \underset{\sim}{7} \\ \dot{\sim} \end{array}\right\|$ |  |  | ＇ | ＇ | ＇ |  |  |  | ＇ | ＇ |  |  | O <br> + <br> H <br> － |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\widetilde{\sim}$ |  | ＇ | ＇ | ＇ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} o \\ \vdots \\ \ddagger \\ \mu \\ \underset{\sim}{1} \\ \vdots \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{gathered} \underset{\sim}{8} \\ \underset{~}{4} \\ \underset{\sim}{6} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \underset{O}{O} \\ \uparrow \\ \underset{\sim}{\top} \\ \underset{\sim}{2} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7 \\ \vdots \\ \mu \\ n \\ - \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} - \\ \hline \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ - \end{array}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \underset{8}{8} \\ + \\ \underset{\sim}{\mu} \\ \dot{r} \end{gathered}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 8 \\ \vdots \\ \underline{1} \\ \hline 0 \end{array}\right\|$ |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \bar{o} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{1} \\ \vdots \\ \dot{c} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \overline{0} \\ \dot{1} \\ \underset{子}{子} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & \bar{\sigma} \\ & + \\ & \underset{\sim}{9} \\ & \dot{m} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \underset{\sim}{+} \\ 1 \\ \\ \underset{\sim}{*} \end{gathered}\right.$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \underset{\sim}{+} \\ \\ \underset{\sim}{1} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \underset{0}{0} \\ \substack{1 \\ n \\ -\\ \hline} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -2 \\ + \\ \text { 1 } \\ 0 \\ - \\ - \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \vec{O} \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \mathrm{i} \end{gathered}\right.$ |  |
| ñ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & + \\ & + \\ & \underset{\sim}{\mathrm{N}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{O} \\ + \\ 1 \\ \underset{\sim}{i} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{O} \\ & + \\ & + \\ & \mathbf{y} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} \mathrm{O} \\ \vdots \\ \pm \\ \infty \\ i \\ i \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 2 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ n \\ n \\ n \end{array}\right\|$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{S} \\ + \\ \text { f } \\ \dot{\sim} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{O} \\ + \\ + \\ \underset{\sim}{\mathrm{T}} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { S } \\ + \\ \text { (1) } \\ \text { in } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} N \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ \\ \underset{N}{n} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I } \\ & + \\ & + \\ & n \\ & i n \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \substack{\delta \\ 1 \\ ~ \\ \underset{\sim}{n} \\ \hline} \end{gathered}\right.$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{O} \\ \vdots \\ 1 \\ \mathbf{1} \\ 0 \\ \infty \end{gathered}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \underset{O}{8} \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \underset{\infty}{\infty} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \underset{1}{2} \\ + \\ 1 \\ \underset{\sim}{\infty} \\ \infty \end{gathered}\right.$ |  |  |  |  | $\left.\begin{gathered} \underset{O}{O} \\ + \\ \oplus \\ \underset{\sim}{n} \end{gathered} \right\rvert\,$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{O} \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \\ \infty \end{array}\right\|$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{S} \\ + \\ + \\ \stackrel{1}{2} \\ i \end{gathered}$ |  |
| ご |  | ＇ | ＇ | ＇ | ， |  |  |  | ， | ＇ | ＇ | ＇ |  |  | ， |  | ＇ |  |  | ， |  | ， |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ひ็ |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \mathrm{O} \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{1} \\ \vdots \\ \hline \end{array}\right\|$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \pm \\ \vdots \\ \pm \\ \exists \\ - \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \underset{~}{~} \\ \vdots \\ \underset{\sim}{\oplus} \end{gathered}$ | $\pm$ + + $\infty$ - - |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{c}  \pm \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{1} \\ - \\ -1 \end{array}\right\|$ |  | + <br> $\vdots$ <br> $\vdots$ <br> $n$ <br> $n$ <br> - | $\pm$ <br> + <br> + | $\pm$ + ＋ $\stackrel{1}{3}$ - | $\pm$ $\vdots$ H N - | $\pm$ <br> $\vdots$ <br> + <br>  <br> - <br> - | $\left.\begin{gathered} \pm \\ \vdots \\ \ddagger \\ \infty \\ - \\ - \end{gathered} \right\rvert\,$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \pm \\ & 0 \\ & (\omega) \\ & \infty \\ & -1 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c}  \pm \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \underset{N}{N} \\ \underset{~ i}{n} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\pm$ + + y i |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{c}  \pm \\ \vdots \\ 1 \\ N \\ N \\ i \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c}  \pm \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \underset{N}{N} \\ \underset{~ i}{n} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\pm$ $\vdots$ H N N | $\pm$ <br> + <br> H <br> $\underset{\sim}{\text { H }}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \pm \\ \vdots \\ \dagger \\ \mathbf{y} \\ - \\ - \end{gathered}$ | $\pm$ <br> + <br> + <br> $\infty$ |  |  | $\square$ <br> + <br> $\vdots$ <br> $n$ <br> $n$ |  | $\pm$ + + $n$ $n$ $i$ $i$ |
| $\wedge$ |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} o \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{1} \\ - \\ - \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} N \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ n \\ n \end{array}\right\|$ |  | $n$ $\vdots$ 1 $n$ - - |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & n \\ & \vdots \\ & 1 \\ & \mu \\ & \\ & i \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \underset{o}{c} \\ + \\ \underset{\sim}{\omega} \\ \underset{i}{2} \end{gathered}\right.$ |  |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \infty \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \underset{\infty}{\omega} \\ \hline \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\begin{gathered} \infty \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \omega \\ \infty \\ \infty \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \pm \\ & \underset{\sim}{ \pm} \\ & \underset{-}{9} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \infty \\ \vdots \\ \underset{~}{\omega} \\ \underset{\sigma}{7} \end{gathered}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} n \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ \mathbf{1} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{gathered} n \\ o \\ \vdots \\ \mu \\ \end{gathered}$ |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} o \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 10 \\ i \\ i \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{gathered} \tilde{n} \\ \vdots \\ \dagger \\ \tilde{m} \\ \end{gathered}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & o \\ & + \\ & \omega \\ & \infty \\ & i \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \substack{o \\ ~ \\ 1 \\ \underline{1} \\ i \\ i} \end{array}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} n \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ \omega \\ \underset{\sim}{i} \end{array}\right\|$ |  |  | $\left.\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & 0 \\ & + \\ & \vdots \\ & 0 \\ & i \end{aligned} \right\rvert\,$ | $\begin{gathered} \infty \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ \underset{i}{i} \end{gathered}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} o \\ 0 \\ \text { H } \\ \underset{\sim}{i} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} o \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ i \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} m \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ \underset{\sim}{n} \\ \underset{i}{2} \end{array}\right\|$ |  | $\begin{gathered} n \\ 0 \\ + \\ \underline{1} \\ \dot{m} \end{gathered}$ |  |
| $\sum^{00}$ | $0 \cdot \frac{0}{=0}$ |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} n \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \\ - \end{array}\right\|$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} o \\ \underset{~}{~} \\ \underset{\mathrm{c}}{\mathrm{i}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \infty \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \mu \\ \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { m } \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \underset{\sim}{N} \\ \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M } \\ & + \\ & \text { H } \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ò } \\ & + \\ & \text { H } \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \tilde{2} \\ \vdots \\ \underset{\sim}{\mu} \\ \underset{n}{n} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\left.\begin{gathered} o \\ \vdots \\ \oplus \\ \underset{\sim}{\sim} \end{gathered} \right\rvert\,$ |  | $\left.\begin{gathered} \infty \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \underline{\omega} \\ - \end{gathered} \right\rvert\,$ | m + ＋ - |  | $\begin{gathered} \infty \\ \vdots \\ \omega \\ \infty \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} n \\ \vdots \\ + \\ \\ \end{gathered}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} n \\ \vdots \\ 1 \\ n \\ \vdots \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left.\begin{gathered} n \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ n \\ -1 \end{gathered} \right\rvert\,$ | $\begin{gathered} \underset{o}{0} \\ \underset{y}{\omega} \\ \underset{\sim}{\mathrm{~N}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Z | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \vdots \\ & \underset{\sim}{\mathrm{H}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \pm \\ & t \\ & \vdots \\ & \\ & \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ \vdots \\ \underset{\sim}{m} \\ \end{gathered}$ |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{c}  \pm \\ \vdots \\ \pm \\ \underset{\sim}{\sim} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{gathered} \pm \\ \vdots \\ \nmid \\ \underset{\sim}{n} \\ i \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{~}{0} \\ & + \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & n \end{aligned}$ | + $\vdots$ + $n$ $\vdots$ $\vdots$ | $\pm$ + + $n$ $n$ $n$ | $\pm$ + + $n$ $n$ $n$ | $\pm$ <br> + <br> + <br> $\infty$ <br> $\vdots$ |  | $\left.\begin{gathered} \pm \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \underset{1}{2} \\ i n \end{gathered} \right\rvert\,$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { t } \\ \vdots \\ \text { H} \\ \text { Nુ } \end{array}\right\|$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \grave{~} \\ & \vdots \\ & \text { Ma} \\ & \vdots \end{aligned}$ | $\pm$ $\vdots$ $\underset{\sim}{4}$ $\underset{\sim}{2}$ |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{c}  \pm \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \stackrel{\mu}{\hat{\omega}} \end{array}\right\|$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \pm \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \underset{~ M}{n} \\ i \end{gathered}$ |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{c}  \pm \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \underline{1} \\ \dot{n} \end{array}\right\|$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \pm \\ \vdots \\ \underset{~ M}{n} \\ \end{gathered}$ |  |
| $\left\|\begin{array}{ll} \overline{0} & \\ \vdots & 0 \\ \tilde{Z} & \vdots \\ Z \end{array}\right\|$ | $\stackrel{\hat{7}}{7}$ | ＋ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \underset{\mathrm{N}}{ } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\underset{\sim}{\mathrm{N}}}{\underset{\sim}{2}}$ | $\stackrel{\mathrm{N}}{\underset{\sim}{N}}$ | $\stackrel{N}{\underset{\sim}{N}}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} \infty \\ \infty \\ \infty \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} \infty \\ \infty \\ \underset{\infty}{2} \end{array}\right\|$ | 2 | 2 | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \dot{\lambda} \end{aligned}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} \infty \\ \dot{\lambda} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left.\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \end{aligned} \right\rvert\,$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} \infty \\ \underset{\sim}{n} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\stackrel{\grave{n}}{\mathbf{n}} \mid$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} \dot{9} \\ \underset{\sim}{2} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\stackrel{\underset{\sim}{+}}{\underset{\sim}{*}}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{N}}}{ }$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{ \pm} \\ & \underset{~}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \underset{~}{~} \\ \underset{~}{2} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{~}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & n \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \infty \\ \infty \\ \infty \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\dot{\infty}$ | 9 | $\bigcirc$ | 2 | $\stackrel{\underset{\sim}{\dot{~}}}{\underset{\sim}{2}}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\text { a }}$ |
| O |  | $\sim$ | $\cdots$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | 2 | $=$ | － | － | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $=$ | － | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | － | $=$ | － | $\sim$ | － | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $=$ | － | － | $\infty$ | $\sim$ | $\infty$ | $=$ | $=$ | $\sim$ | $=$ | $\sim$ |
| \％ |  | $\cdots$ | N | N | $\stackrel{\text { ® }}{ }$ | n | ㅇ | 는 | $\stackrel{0}{2}$ | $\stackrel{0}{0}$ | $\pm$ | $\cdots$ | t | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | กิ | フ | $\stackrel{\sim}{2}$ | 2 | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\mathrm{e}}$ | $\stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{\mathrm{m}}$ | $\stackrel{\otimes}{\mathrm{N}}$ | $8$ | Ň | ช | $\stackrel{\otimes}{\infty}$ | $\frac{0}{2}$ | 2 | $\stackrel{\infty}{-}$ | $\infty$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\cdots$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\circ}$ |
| $\xi$ |  | $\left.\begin{gathered} n \\ i \\ i \end{gathered} \right\rvert\,$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} n \\ \vdots \\ i \end{array}\right\|$ | $\vec{~}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \underset{\sim}{1} \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \underset{1}{\mathrm{i}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \underset{1}{\mathrm{v}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \infty \\ \underset{1}{\mathrm{i}} \end{gathered}$ | $\left.\begin{gathered} n \\ n \\ i \end{gathered} \right\rvert\,$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{1}{n} \\ & \underset{1}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\left.\begin{aligned} & n \\ & n \\ & n \end{aligned} \right\rvert\,$ | $\begin{aligned} & n \\ & n \\ & n \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & n \\ & n \\ & \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\overrightarrow{\text { ה̇}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { N} \\ \text { N} \\ \text { N } \end{gathered}$ | $\overrightarrow{\text { N}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \underset{~}{i} \\ \underset{1}{2} \end{gathered}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \underset{i}{~} \\ \text { Ni} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\overrightarrow{~ ત}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{N}}$ | $\underset{\underset{1}{7}}{\vec{N}}$ | $\underset{\underset{\sim}{\mathrm{N}}}{ } \mid$ | $\underset{\underset{1}{2}}{\underset{\sim}{2}}$ | $\stackrel{\underset{\sim}{\lambda}}{ }$ | $\begin{gathered} n \\ n \\ n \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} n \\ n \\ n \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} n \\ n \end{gathered}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} n \\ n \\ n \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left.\begin{aligned} & n \\ & n \\ & n \end{aligned} \right\rvert\,$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} n \\ n \\ 1 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\underset{\underset{i}{7}}{\vec{N}}$ | 0 <br>  <br> 1 |
| $\ddagger$ |  | ¢ | N | $\bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\sim}$ | N | $\stackrel{\sim}{0}$ | ${ }^{3}$ | ふ | の | $\pm$ | $\sim$ | $\pm$ | $\infty$ | 人 | ¢ | － | N | $\exists$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{2}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{2}$ | $\because$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\wedge}$ | $\stackrel{ \pm}{2}$ | $\cdots$ | $\pm$ | $\stackrel{\wedge}{\exists}$ | $\pm$ | $\bigcirc$ | 윽 | $\bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{2}$ |
| （1） |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \sigma_{1} \\ n_{1} \\ N_{1} \\ -1 \\ N_{1} \\ \infty_{1} \\ n_{1} \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \sigma_{1} \\ -1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \\ N_{1} \\ \infty_{1} \\ n_{1} \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \sigma_{1} \\ -1 \\ -1 \\ \sim_{1} \\ N_{1} \\ \lambda_{1} \\ \infty_{1} \\ n_{1} \end{gathered}\right.$ |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{l\|} \mathrm{O}_{1} \\ \rho_{1} \\ \mathrm{~N}_{1} \\ \infty \\ \mathrm{~N}_{1} \end{array}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} n_{1} \\ -1 \\ n_{1} \\ -1 \\ N_{1} \\ \vdots \\ \infty \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} n_{1} \\ -1 \\ n_{1} \\ -1 \\ N_{1} \\ \underset{\infty}{1} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{gathered} n_{1} \\ -1 \\ n_{1} \\ n_{1} \\ N_{1} \\ N \end{gathered}$ |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} n \\ - \\ \cdots \\ \cdots \\ \cdots \\ \underset{N}{N} \\ \underset{\infty}{n} \end{gathered}\right.$ | $n$ -1 $\cdots$ $\cdots$ $\cdots$ $\cdots$ $\vdots$ $\vdots$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} n_{1} \\ n_{1} \\ n_{1} \\ y_{1} \\ \underset{\infty}{2} \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} n \\ -1 \\ -1 \\ n_{1} \\ n_{1} \\ 2 \\ n \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{gathered} n_{1} \\ -1 \\ \gamma_{1} \\ n_{1} \\ \underset{N}{N} \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} n \\ -1 \\ m_{1} \\ n_{1} \\ n_{3} \\ 1 \\ k \end{array}\right\|$ |  | $n$ <br> -1 <br> $n$ <br> $n$ <br> $n$ <br> $\cdots$ <br>  <br>  |  |  | 0 $0_{1}$ -1 -1 2 2 3 2 2 2 |  |  |  |  |  | c｜r |


| MAG13_1b_1_1_6 | 133 | -22.6 | 168 | p | 24.1 | $6.2 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.2 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $3.1 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.4 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $9.6 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $3.8 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MAG13_1c_3_2_4 | 119 | -22.7 | 68 | p | 24.5 | $7.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $3.9 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.3 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $6.2 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.9 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| MAG13_1c_1_1_6 | 115 | -22.6 | 140 | S | 24.1 | $6.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $3.4 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $8.3 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $3.5 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| MAG13_1c_2_1_6 | 115 | -22.6 | 48 | S | 24.1 | 5.2E+04 | $3.4 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $4.1 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $3.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $1.3 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $5.6 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| MAG13_2a_1_1_6 | 115 | -15.4 | 153 | S | 19 | $5.5 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.4 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.9 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.4 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $8.7 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| MAG13_2a_5_1_6 | 115 | -15.4 | 160 | s | 19 | $5.4 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.4 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.6 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.4 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $7.0 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| MAG13_2a_4_1_6 | 115 | -15.4 | 198 | S | 19 | $5.5 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.3 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.4 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $8.4 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.1 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| MAG13_2a_2_1_6 | 116 | -15.4 | 396 | S | 19 | $5.2 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $9.5 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| MAG13_2a_3_1_6 | 115 | -15.5 | 126 | S | 19 | $5.1 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.3 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $1.1 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| MAG13_2b_3_2_4 | 110 | -15.5 | 80 | p | 19 | 5.4E+04 | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $8.1 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.4 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| MAG13A_1_1_1_3 | 116 | -15.8 | 270 | p | 19.3 | $6.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.1 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.3 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.1 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $7.2 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| MAG13A_1_3_1_3 | 113 | -15.3 | 112 | p | 18.9 | $5.4 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.4 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.6 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.4 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $9.3 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $5.2 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| MAG13A_1_2_1_3 | 108 | -15.6 | 56 | p | 19.1 | $5.3 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $9.8 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.6 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| MAG13A_1_5_1_3 | 116 | -15.3 | 960 | p | 18.9 | $5.2 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $9.2 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $5.2 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| MAG13A_1_4_1_3 | 105 | -15.3 | 32 | p | 18.9 | $5.3 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $8.3 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.2 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.3 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| MAG13A_2_1_1_3 | 111 | -15.3 | 126 | p | 18.9 | $5.5 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.0 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.6 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.4 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $8.4 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.3 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $5.6 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| MAG13A_2_4_1_3 | 114 | -15.3 | 20 | p | 18.9 | $5.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $8.4 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.4 \mathrm{E}+03$ | 1.4E+04 | - | $8.2 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.7 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| MAG13B_2_2_1_3 | 118 | -22.6 | 24 | p | 24.1 | $6.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $3.4 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $8.4 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $3.0 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.7 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| MAG13B_2_1_1_3 | 118 | -22.6 | 18 | p | 24.1 | $6.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.4 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $8.5 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| VTSW2E_1_1_1_3 | 101 | -20.8 | 24 | p | 22.9 | $7.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $8.6 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $9.3 \mathrm{E}+03$ | - | $2.5 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.1 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| VTSW2E_1_4_1_3 | 100 | -20.8 | 35 | p | 22.9 | $6.3 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.2 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $6.1 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $1.1 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $5.6 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| VTSW2E_2_1_1_3 | 100 | -20.8 | 30 | p | 22.9 | $6.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $4.6 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.4 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $3.4 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $6.3 \mathrm{E}+00$ | - |
| WF2_1_1_1_5 | 102 | -23.3 | 128 | p | 24.5 | $7.1 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.2 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.4 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $6.1 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| WF2_1_2_1_5 | 105 | -23.3 | 64 | p | 24.5 | $7.3 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $6.1 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $7.2 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| WF2_2_1_1_4 | 112 | -20.5 | 143 | p | 22.7 | $7.5 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.2 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $9.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | - | $3.5 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $9.7 \mathrm{E}+00$ | - |
| WF2_2_3_1_5 | 112 | -23.3 | 63 | p | 24.5 | $6.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $4.2 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $6.2 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.8 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| WF2_2_1_1_5 | 124 | -23.2 | 364 | p | 24.5 | $7.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $3.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $6.5 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.5 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| WF2_2_2_1_5 | 115 | -22.9 | 108 | p | 24.3 | $6.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.2 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $6.9 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $5.4 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| WF2_3_2_1_5 | 115 | -23.2 | 36 | p | 24.5 | $7.3 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $3.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $6.5 \mathrm{E}+02$ | - | - |
| WF2_3_1_1_5 | 114 | -23.2 | 322 | p | 24.5 | $7.3 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $3.1 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $6.0 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.4 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| WF2_3_1_1_4 | 108 | -20.4 | 72 | p | 22.6 | $6.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.4 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.4 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $4.4 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| WF2_3_4_1_6 | 112 | -22.9 | 221 | S | 24.3 | $6.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $3.0 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $7.0 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| WF2_3_2_1_6 | 112 | -22.9 | 182 | S | 24.3 | $6.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.9 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $7.2 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.4 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| WF2_3_1_1_6 | 112 | -22.9 | 221 | S | 24.3 | $6.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $7.0 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.4 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| WF2_3_3_1_6 | 113 | -22.9 | 247 | S | 24.3 | $6.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $7.1 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| WF2_4_2_1_6 | 110 | -22.8 | 198 | p | 24.2 | $7.2 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $5.0 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.4 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |


| WF2_4_1_1_6 | 110 | -22.8 | 110 | p | 24.2 | $6.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $6.0 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.4 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WF2_1a_1_1_6 | 131 | -22.8 | 80 | S | 24.2 | $7.3 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.2 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $3.2 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $8.0 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $3.0 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| WF2_1a_2_1_6 | 131 | -22.8 | 80 | S | 24.2 | $7.3 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.1 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $3.2 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $6.4 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.2 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| WF2_1z_2_1_4 | 96 | -21.0 | 24 | S | 23 | $7.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $4.2 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $3.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $4.6 \mathrm{E}+03$ | - | $1.3 \mathrm{E}+02$ | - | - |
| WF2_1z_1_1_4 | 100 | -21.0 | 84 | S | 23 | $6.1 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $6.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $7.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.2 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $4.2 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $5.8 \mathrm{E}+00$ | - |
| WF2B_2_2_1_3 | 107 | -22.5 | 45 | p | 24 | $6.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.3 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $7.8 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| WF2B_2_1_1_3 | 106 | -22.5 | 255 | p | 24 | $6.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $5.6 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| Tri-State Sphalerite |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TSBS3-2_3_1_1_1 | 106 | -20.9 | 325 | p | 23 | 7.3E+04 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.3 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $8.8 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.3 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| TSBS3-2_3_2_1_1 | 101 | -21.1 | 120 | p | 23.1 | $6.1 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | - | $2.3 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $8.8 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| TSBS3-2_4_1_1_1 | nd | -21.0 | 78 | p | 23 | $5.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $6.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.2 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $1.1 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.2 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ |
| TSBS3-2_4_2_1_1 | nd | -21.0 | 80 | p | 23 | 4.4E+04 | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $6.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $3.5 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $9.7 \mathrm{E}+02$ | - | $6.7 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| TSBS3-2_4_3_1_1 | nd | -21.0 | 144 | p | 23 | $6.4 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $1.2 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.5 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.2 \mathrm{E}+03$ |
| TSBS3-2_7_3_1_1 | 109 | -15.8 | 310 | S | 19.3 | $5.1 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.9 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $8.8 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.2 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| TSBS3-2_7_2_1_1 | 99 | -15.8 | 1080 | S | 19.3 | $5.3 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.3 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $9.2 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| TSBS3-2_7_5_1_1 | 114 | -15.8 | 338 | S | 19.3 | 5.4E+04 | $1.3 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $8.3 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| TSBS3-2_13_6_1_1 | 102 | -15.8 | 132 | S | 19.3 | 5.0E+04 | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $3.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $8.1 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.0 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| TSBS3-2_13_10_1_1 | 98 | -15.8 | 154 | S | 19.4 | $5.1 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.3 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $9.2 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.2 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| TSBS3-2_13_7_1_1 | 95 | -15.9 | 126 | S | 19.4 | $5.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | - | $1.2 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $1.0 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.1 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| TSBS3-2_13_8_1_1 | 100 | -15.9 | 140 | S | 19.4 | $5.1 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | - | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $1.1 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.4 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| TSBS5-2_1_1_1_3 | 110 | -22.5 | 136 | p | 24 | $7.2 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $5.8 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.2 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| TSBS5-2_2_3_1_3 | 120 | -12.4 | 300 | S | 16.3 | $4.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.0 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.2 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $6.3 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.4 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| TSBS5-2_2_1_1_3 | 121 | -12.4 | 270 | S | 16.3 | 4.4E+04 | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.4 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $7.2 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.3 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| TSBS5-2_2_2_1_3 | 120 | -12.4 | 90 | S | 16.3 | 4.5E+04 | - | - | $1.4 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $5.7 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| TSBS5-2_3_2_2_2 | 117 | -22.2 | 336 | p | 23.8 | $6.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $3.6 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $7.6 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $4.1 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| TSBS5-2_3_1_1_2 | 119 | -22.2 | 270 | p | 23.8 | $6.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $3.2 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $7.7 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $3.9 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $8.7 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| TSBS5-2_3_3_2_2 | 117 | -22.2 | 96 | p | 23.8 | $6.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $7.7 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.9 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $4.8 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| TSBS5-2_3_4_1_2 | 119 | -22.2 | 30 | p | 23.8 | 7.0E+04 | - | - | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $8.1 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $4.7 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| TSBS5-2_4_2_1_3 | 109 | -22.5 | 120 | p | 24 | $7.1 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.6 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $6.0 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $4.2 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| TSBS5-2_4_1_1_3 | 111 | -22.5 | 154 | p | 24 | $6.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $6.9 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| TSBS5-2_4_4_1_3 | 112 | -22.5 | 126 | p | 24 | $6.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.2 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $7.9 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| TSBS5-2_4_6_1_3 | 111 | -22.5 | 77 | p | 24 | $6.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.4 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $7.0 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.2 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| TSBS5-2_4_3_1_3 | 108 | -22.5 | 84 | p | 24 | $7.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.2 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $6.6 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| TSBS5-2_4_5_1_3 | 109 | -22.5 | 120 | p | 24 | $6.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.3 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $6.8 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| TSBS5-2_3d_2_1_3 | 131 | -22.9 | 72 | p | 24.3 | $6.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $3.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $7.6 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.8 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| TSBS5-2_3d_1_1_3 | 131 | -22.9 | 364 | p | 24.3 | $6.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $3.0 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $7.0 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $4.0 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |


| TSBS5-2_3d_3_1_3 | 131 | -22.9 | 364 | p | 24.3 | $6.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $3.1 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $7.7 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $3.6 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TSBS5-2_3d_4_1_3 | 131 | -22.9 | 50 | p | 24.3 | $6.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $3.2 \mathrm{E}+03$ | 2.2E+04 | - | $9.2 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $5.0 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| TSBS5-2_3d_5_1_3 | 131 | -22.9 | 115 | p | 24.3 | $6.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.9 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $8.0 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $4.1 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| TSCM2-1_2_3_1_2 | 113 | -17.2 | 56 | p | 20.4 | $6.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.6 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.4 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $8.2 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.1 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| TSCM2-1_2_2_1_2 | 113 | -16.9 | 90 | p | 20.1 | $5.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $1.0 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| TSCM2-1_2_4_1_2 | 113 | -16.9 | 63 | p | 20.1 | $5.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $8.6 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| TSCM2-1_3_5_1_3 | 110 | -18.0 | 368 | p | 21 | $6.1 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.4 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $8.5 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| TSCM2-1_3_3_1_3 | 104 | -18.0 | 210 | p | 21 | $5.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $9.5 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.2 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $5.3 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| TSCM2-1_3_2_1_3 | 110 | -18.0 | 1120 | p | 21 | $6.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.4 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $9.2 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| TSCM2-1_3_1_1_3 | 106 | -18.0 | 560 | p | 21 | $6.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.1 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $5.5 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.0 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $6.6 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| TSCM2-1_3_4_1_2 | 91 | -16.8 | 198 | S | 20.1 | $5.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | - | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $1.1 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.1 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| TSCM2-1_4_3_1_2 | 115 | -18.2 | 63 | p | 21.1 | $6.2 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $8.8 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.2 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| TSCM2-1_4_1_1_2 | 115 | -18.2 | 90 | p | 21.1 | $6.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.2 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $8.7 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.0 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| TSCM2-1_4_2_1_2 | 116 | -18.4 | 42 | p | 21.1 | $6.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+03$ | - | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $9.2 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.2 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| TSCM2-1_1a_4_1_3 | 107 | -18.3 | 84 | p | 21.2 | $5.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.3 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.9 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $9.3 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| TSCM2-1_1a_1_1_3 | 106 | -18.3 | 84 | p | 21.2 | $5.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.2 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $9.8 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| TSCM2-1_1a_3_1_3 | 106 | -18.1 | 300 | p | 21 | $5.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $8.1 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $9.7 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $7.8 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| TSCM2-1_1a_2_1_3 | 106 | -18.3 | 200 | p | 21.2 | $6.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.3 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $1.2 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.4 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| TSCM2-1_1b_7_1_3 | 110 | -18.1 | 35 | p | 21 | $5.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.4 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $1.0 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| TSCM2-1_1b_5_1_3 | 108 | -18.3 | 161 | p | 21.2 | $5.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.3 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $9.9 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.2 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| TSCM2-1_1b_6_1_3 | 108 | -18.1 | 30 | p | 21 | $6.2 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+03$ | - | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $8.7 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $8.6 \mathrm{E}+00$ | - |
| TSCM2-1_2a_2_1_3 | 104 | -18.1 | 160 | p | 21 | $5.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.3 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $9.3 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| TSCM2-1_2a_4_2_3 | 104 | -18.1 | 210 | p | 21 | $5.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.3 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $9.9 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.4 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| TSCM2-1_2a_3_1_3 | 104 | -18.1 | 108 | p | 21 | 5.2E+04 | $2.9 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.4 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.2 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.2 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.2 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.5 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.0 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| TSDW2-8_1_2_1_2 | 106 | -23.4 | 136 | p | 24.6 | $7.5 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.3 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $4.8 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| TSDW2-8_1_1_1_2 | 107 | -23.4 | 260 | p | 24.6 | $7.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $4.9 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $7.7 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| TSDW2-8_1_3_1_2 | 106 | -23.4 | 176 | p | 24.6 | $7.3 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.2 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $5.9 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $5.0 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| TSDW2-8_1_2_1_1 | 102 | -22.7 | 60 | p | 24.1 | $6.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+03$ | 2.2E+04 | - | $4.8 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $9.8 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $5.0 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| TSDW2-8_1_1_1_1 | 102 | -22.7 | 180 | p | 24.1 | $6.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $3.0 \mathrm{E}+03$ | - | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $7.2 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.4 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| TSDW2-8_2_2_1_1 | 100 | -23.1 | 220 | p | 24.4 | $7.1 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.3 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $5.7 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| TSDW2-8_2_1_1_1 | 100 | -23.0 | 345 | p | 24.3 | $7.1 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.3 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.6 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $5.7 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.2 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| TSDW2-8_3_1_1_1 | 94 | -23.0 | 187 | p | 24.3 | $6.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.9 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $7.9 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $8.5 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| TSDW2-8_4_1_1_1 | 92 | -22.7 | 336 | p | 24.1 | $6.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.2 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $3.0 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $5.4 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| TSDW2-8_5_1_1_1 | 98 | -22.7 | 468 | p | 24.1 | $7.2 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.3 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $5.0 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.3 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| TSDW2-9_2_3_1_2 | 104 | -23.2 | 88 | p | 24.5 | $7.2 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $6.0 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| TSDW2-9_2_5_1_2 | 104 | -23.2 | 165 | p | 24.5 | $6.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.2 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $5.8 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $9.6 \mathrm{E}+02$ |


| TSDW2-9_2_1_1_2 | 108 | -23.2 | 90 | p | 24.5 | $6.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $6.7 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.0 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TSDW2-9_2_4_1_2 | 104 | -23.2 | 130 | p | 24.5 | $6.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.2 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.3 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.4 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $5.9 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.3 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| TSDW2-9_2_2_1_2 | 109 | -23.2 | 77 | p | 24.5 | $7.2 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $7.1 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.1 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| TSGY2-3_3_3_1_2 | 108 | -23.2 | 104 | p | 24.5 | $6.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.4 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $3.1 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.2 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $9.5 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $3.2 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| TSGY2-3_3_1_1_2 | 109 | -23.0 | 150 | p | 24.3 | $6.5 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.9 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.3 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $9.8 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.8 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| TSGY2-3_3_2_1_2 | 109 | -23.1 | 90 | p | 24.4 | $6.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.2 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $8.0 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.7 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| TSNC1-1_2_5_2_1 | 111 | -22.7 | 105 | p | 24.1 | $6.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $4.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $8.4 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.7 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $6.7 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| TSNC1-1_2_6_2_1 | 95 | -22.7 | 60 | p | 24.1 | $6.3 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $5.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | - | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $4.5 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.6 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| TSNC1-1_2_4_2_1 | 100 | -22.7 | 60 | p | 24.1 | $8.1 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $3.9 \mathrm{E}+03$ | - | - | - | $6.3 \mathrm{E}+02$ | - | $7.8 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| TSNC1-2_2_1_1_3 | 95 | -22.5 | 352 | p | 24 | $7.1 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.3 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $6.6 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.4 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| TSNC1-2_1a_5_1_3 | 98 | -23.1 | 120 | p | 24.4 | $6.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.9 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $7.4 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| TSNC1-2_1a_4_1_3 | 102 | -23.1 | 135 | p | 24.4 | $6.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $6.7 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| TSNC1-2_1a_3_1_3 | 99 | -23.1 | 72 | p | 24.4 | 7.2E+04 | $1.4 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.3 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $6.2 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| TSNC1-2_1a_1_1_3 | 97 | -23.1 | 48 | p | 24.4 | $7.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $6.4 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| TSNC1-2_1a_2_1_3 | 99 | -23.1 | 72 | p | 24.4 | $6.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.2 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $7.0 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| TSNC1-2_1b_6_1?_3 | 97 | -23.1 | 210 | p | 24.4 | $7.1 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.9 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $6.8 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| TSNC1-2_1b_8_1?_3 | 102 | -23.1 | 102 | p | 24.4 | $6.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.6 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $6.6 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| TSOR2-3_1_1_1_5 | 113 | -23.3 | 156 | p | 24.5 | $7.2 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $3.2 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $6.9 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.7 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| TSOR2-3_1_4_1_5 | 115 | -23.2 | 45 | p | 24.5 | $6.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $3.6 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $7.7 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $3.8 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| TSOR2-3_1_5_1_5 | 115 | -23.2 | 36 | p | 24.5 | $6.5 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $3.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $3.3 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $6.7 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| TSOR2-3_1_6_1_5 | 116 | -23.2 | 42 | p | 24.5 | $6.2 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.2 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $3.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.5 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $6.8 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $3.5 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| TSOR2-3_1_3_1_5 | 113 | -23.2 | 64 | p | 24.5 | 7.0E+04 | $2.2 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.6 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $7.2 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $4.5 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| TSOR2-3_1_1_1_2 | 110 | -23.2 | 176 | p | 24.5 | $7.2 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.2 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $6.2 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.3 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| TSOR2-3_1_2_1_5 | 115 | -23.2 | 140 | p | 24.5 | $7.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.3 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $5.7 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| TSOR2-3_1_2_1_2 | 111 | -23.2 | 40 | p | 24.5 | $7.3 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+03$ | - | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $5.7 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.2 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| TSPC2-1_1_3_1_2 | 109 | -22.8 | 120 | S | 24.2 | $6.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.3 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $3.9 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $8.4 \mathrm{E}+02$ | 4.3E+01 | 4.2E+02 |
| TSPC2-1_1_2_1_2 | 109 | -22.8 | 95 | S | 24.2 | $6.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $8.1 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $4.1 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| TSPC2-1_1_1_1_2 | 109 | -22.8 | 45 | S | 24.2 | $7.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | - | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $7.9 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| TSPC2-1_2_1_1_2 | 123 | -22.7 | 3128 | S | 24.1 | $7.2 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.3 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $3.6 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $6.9 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $3.3 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| TSPC2-1_2_2_1_3 | 113 | -22.7 | 936 | S | 24.1 | $6.5 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $3.0 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.3 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $9.7 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $4.1 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $6.9 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| TSPC2-1_3_6_1_2 | 121 | -23.1 | 33 | p | 24.4 | $6.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $4.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $6.4 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $6.4 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| TSPC2-1_3_1_1_2 | 119 | -23.1 | 96 | p | 24.4 | $7.2 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $6.2 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.4 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.6 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| TSPC2-1_3_4_1_2 | 123 | -23.1 | 135 | p | 24.4 | $7.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $6.2 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.3 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.3 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| TSPC2-1_3_5_1_2 | 122 | -23.2 | 42 | p | 24.5 | $7.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | - | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $7.2 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| TSPC2-1_3_2_1_2 | 119 | -23.2 | 84 | p | 24.5 | $6.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+03$ | - | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $6.3 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.8 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| TSPC2-1_4_6_1_2 | 106 | -22.8 | 120 | p | 24.2 | 7.2E+04 | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.6 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $6.3 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.4 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |


| TSPC2-1_4_1_1_2 | 107 | -22.8 | 162 | p | 24.2 | $7.3 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.6 \mathrm{E}+03$ | - | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $6.1 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $8.9 \mathrm{E}+00$ | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TSPC2-1_5_1_1_2 | 113 | -22.9 | 434 | p | 24.3 | $7.2 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.3 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $6.0 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| TSPC2-1_5_2_1_2 | 112 | -22.9 | 176 | p | 24.3 | $7.1 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.3 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $6.5 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| TSPC2-1_5_3_1_2 | 112 | -22.9 | 105 | p | 24.3 | $6.4 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.3 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.6 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.3 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $7.7 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| TSPC2-1_1a_2_1_6 | 119 | -22.7 | 192 | S | 24.1 | $6.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $7.5 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $6.3 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| TSPC2-1_1a_1_1_6 | 119 | -22.7 | 180 | S | 24.1 | $7.1 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $5.8 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $6.2 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| TSPC2-1_1a_3_1_6 | 119 | -22.7 | 160 | S | 24.1 | $6.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.2 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.4 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $6.8 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.3 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| TSPC2-1_1b_1_1_6 | 122 | -22.5 | 294 | S | 24 | $7.1 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.4 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $6.1 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| TSPC2-1_1b_2_1_6 | 119 | -22.5 | 200 | S | 24 | $7.1 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.3 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $6.0 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.7 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| TSPC2-1_1b_4_1_6 | 121 | -22.5 | 280 | S | 24 | $6.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.3 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $6.5 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $5.3 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| TSPC2-1_1b_3_1_6 | 121 | -22.5 | 294 | S | 24 | $7.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $5.9 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.3 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| TSPC2-1_1c_1_1_6 | 116 | -22.9 | 416 | p | 24.3 | $6.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.2 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.3 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $7.0 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| TSPC2-1_1c_2_1_6 | 111 | -22.9 | 75 | p | 24.3 | $6.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $3.0 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $7.9 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.6 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| TSTR1-2_1a_1_1_6 | 112 | -18.4 | 220 | p | 21.3 | $6.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.0 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.1 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $6.8 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.3 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $4.9 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| TSTR1-7_1_1_1_3 | nd | -21.5 | 950 | p | 23.4 | $5.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $3.1 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $1.1 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.3 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $5.4 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| TSTR1-7_2_3_2_3 | 110 | -21.3 | 144 | p | 23.2 | $6.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $8.1 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.5 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| TSTR1-7_2_2_2_3 | 108 | -21.5 | 490 | p | 23.4 | $6.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.4 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $8.6 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| TSTR1-7_3_5_3_3 | 111 | -21.3 | 84 | p | 23.2 | $6.5 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.6 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $8.7 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.4 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| TSTR1-7_3_7_3_3 | 111 | -20.8 | 108 | p | 22.9 | $6.3 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.6 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $9.3 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| TSTR1-7_3_8_3_3 | 108 | -20.8 | 170 | p | 22.9 | $6.1 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $1.0 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| TSTR1-7_3_6_3_3 | 112 | -20.8 | 240 | p | 22.9 | $6.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.2 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $9.5 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| TSTR1-7_4_9_4_3 | 111 | -20.8 | 144 | p | 22.9 | $6.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.4 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $8.1 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.8 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| TSTR1-7_4_10_4_3 | 111 | -20.8 | 204 | p | 22.9 | $6.2 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.4 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $9.0 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.7 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.1 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| TSTR1-7_5_11_1_3 | 108 | -21.3 | 168 | p | 23.2 | $6.4 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $3.0 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $9.6 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| TSTR1-7_5_10_1_3 | 112 | -20.7 | 140 | p | 22.8 | $6.5 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.6 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $9.0 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| TSTR1-7_5_12_6_3 | 111 | -22.5 | 182 | p | 24 | $6.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $9.9 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $3.2 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $6.2 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| TSTR1-7_5_9_1_3 | 111 | -21.3 | 234 | p | 23.2 | $6.4 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.9 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $8.0 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.1 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| TSTR1-7_5_14_6_3 | 112 | -21.9 | 207 | p | 23.6 | $6.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $7.1 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.7 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $6.3 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| TSTR1-7_5_13_6_3 | 112 | -22.5 | 209 | p | 24 | $6.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.4 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $9.9 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| TSTR1-7_5_12_1_3 | 108 | -20.7 | 286 | p | 22.8 | $6.1 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.3 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.2 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $1.1 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $3.2 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $9.0 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| TSTR1-7_5_11_5_3 | 112 | -22.5 | 968 | p | 24 | $5.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.6 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $1.1 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $3.5 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.0 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| TSTR1-7_6_2_1_2 | 112 | -21.3 | 720 | p | 23.2 | $6.5 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.9 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $1.0 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $6.6 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| TSTR1-7_6_1_1_2 | 108 | -21.3 | 660 | p | 23.2 | $7.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.4 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $7.2 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $4.1 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| TSTR1-7_6_17_8_3 | 113 | -22.9 | 136 | p | 24.3 | $6.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $7.6 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.8 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $8.7 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| TSTR1-7_6_15_7_3 | 109 | -22.9 | 570 | p | 24.3 | $6.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.2 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $1.1 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.7 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| TSTR1-7_6_3_1_2 | 108 | -21.3 | 42 | p | 23.2 | $7.2 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | - | 1.2E+04 | - | $6.7 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.3 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $6.9 \mathrm{E}+01$ |


| TSTR1-7_7_8_1_2 | 110 | -21.3 | 133 | p | 23.2 | $6.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.9 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $8.5 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $3.0 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $4.8 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TSTR1-7_7_7_1_2 | 110 | -21.3 | 930 | p | 23.2 | $6.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $7.1 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.2 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| TSTR1-7_7_19_9_3 | 108 | -20.5 | 1088 | p | 22.7 | $6.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $7.3 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $6.2 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| TSTR1-7_8_6_1_2 | 108 | -21.3 | 238 | p | 23.2 | $7.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.6 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $6.8 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.2 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| TSTR1-7_8_5_1_2 | 113 | -21.3 | 190 | p | 23.2 | $6.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $7.5 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.4 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.1 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| TSTR1-7_8_4_1_2 | 110 | -21.3 | 143 | p | 23.2 | $7.1 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.3 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.2 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $6.7 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $7.3 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| TSTR1-7_1a_1_1_6 | 113 | -23.1 | 220 | p | 24.4 | $7.1 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.6 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $8.3 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.3 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| TSTR1-7_1b_1_1_6 | 112 | -22.4 | 414 | p | 23.9 | $6.4 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $3.3 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.2 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $9.3 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.5 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| TSTR1-7_1c_1_1_6 | 112 | -22.4 | 238 | p | 23.9 | $7.5 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.2 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.4 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $5.8 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| TSTR1-7_1c_2_1_6 | 113 | -22.4 | 160 | p | 23.9 | $6.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $8.8 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.4 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| TSWB3-9_1_9_1_2 | 124 | -23.2 | 88 | p | 24.5 | $7.3 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.9 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $6.6 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.2 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| TSWB3-9_1_12_1_2 | 120 | -23.2 | 54 | p | 24.5 | 7.4E+04 | $1.4 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $6.4 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.5 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| TSWB3-9_1_1_1_2 | 116 | -23.2 | 1083 | p | 24.5 | $7.4 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.4 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $5.5 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| TSWB3-9_1_8_1_2 | 119 | -23.2 | 104 | p | 24.5 | $7.3 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.3 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $5.8 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.2 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| TSWB3-9_1_2_1_2 | 118 | -23.2 | 312 | p | 24.5 | $7.1 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $6.5 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| TSWB3-9_1_6_1_2 | 120 | -23.2 | 104 | p | 24.5 | $7.4 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.4 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $7.1 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| TSWB3-9_2_1_1_3 | 121 | -22.9 | 104 | S | 24.3 | $6.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.4 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.4 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $7.9 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| TSWB3-9_2_7_1_3 | 121 | -22.9 | 54 | S | 24.3 | $7.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.4 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $6.4 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| TSWB3-9_2_6_1_3 | 122 | -22.9 | 90 | S | 24.3 | $6.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.4 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $7.0 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| TSWB3-9_2_4_1_3 | 122 | -22.9 | 50 | S | 24.3 | $6.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.2 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $7.2 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| TSWB3-9_2_5_1_3 | 120 | -22.9 | 70 | S | 24.3 | $6.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.4 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.2 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $7.0 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| TSWB3-9_2_2_1_3 | 121 | -22.9 | 132 | S | 24.3 | $6.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.2 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $7.4 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| TSWC1-3_3_5_1_3 | 102 | -16.5 | 96 | p | 19.8 | $5.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.4 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $3.7 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.1 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.0 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.3 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| TSWC1-3_3_1_1_3 | 102 | -16.5 | 130 | p | 19.8 | $5.5 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $1.1 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $8.8 \mathrm{E}+00$ | - |
| TSWC1-3_3_3_1_3 | 119 | -16.5 | 456 | p | 19.8 | $5.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.4 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $1.2 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| TSWC1-3_3_4_1_3 | 102 | -16.5 | 70 | p | 19.8 | $5.4 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | - | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $1.1 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.4 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| TSWC1-3_3_2_1_3 | 100 | -16.5 | 40 | p | 19.8 | $5.4 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+03$ | - | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $1.0 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $7.5 \mathrm{E}+00$ | - |
| TSWC1-3_4_7_1_3 | 102 | -16.5 | 66 | p | 19.8 | $5.3 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $3.1 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $7.6 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $9.6 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.0 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| TSWC1-3_4_6_1_3 | 102 | -16.5 | 98 | p | 19.8 | $5.4 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.6 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $3.9 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.1 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.4 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.2 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| TSWC1-3_4_8_1_3 | 102 | -16.5 | 77 | p | 19.8 | $5.4 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $5.8 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $8.7 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $9.8 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| Tri-State Quartz |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TSDW2-2_1_1_1_1 | 106 | -21.9 | 198 | p | 23.6 | $7.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $9.7 \mathrm{E}+02$ | - | $1.1 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $5.2 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| TSDW2-2_1_3_1_1 | 107 | -22.1 | 64 | p | 23.8 | $7.2 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $5.3 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.3 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| TSDW2-2_2_1_1_1 | 63 | -21.5 | 323 | S | 23.4 | $7.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.0 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.1 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $3.8 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| TSDW2-2_2_4_1_2 | 68 | -21.8 | 70 | S | 23.6 | $6.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.0 \mathrm{E}+03$ | - | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $6.3 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| TSDW2-2_4_1_1_2 | 101 | -22.1 | 70 | S | 23.8 | $8.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $5.5 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.3 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $9.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | - | $3.4 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $7.6 \mathrm{E}+00$ | - |


| TSDW2-2_5_1_1_2 | 101 | -22.3 | 396 | S | 23.9 | $7.4 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.4 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.4 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $5.2 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TSDW2-2_5_2_1_2 | 92 | -22.2 | 286 | S | 23.8 | 8.1E+04 | - | $2.2 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $9.0 \mathrm{E}+03$ | - | $2.9 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $7.4 \mathrm{E}+00$ | - |
| TSWC1-2_1c_5_1_2 | 115 | -22.7 | 352 | S | 24.1 | 7.2E+04 | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.2 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $5.1 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| TSWC1-2_6a_1_1_2 | 134 | -22.6 | 465 | S | 24.1 | $7.4 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $4.4 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $4.7 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.3 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.2 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| TSWC1-2_6b_2_1_2 | 110 | -22.7 | 399 | S | 24.1 | $8.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $9.6 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $3.4 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.3 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| TSWC1-2_6b_5_1_2 | 113 | -22.7 | 132 | S | 24.1 | $8.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $7.1 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.1 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $3.7 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.4 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| TSWC1-2_5_1_1_3 | nd | -22.1 | 161 | S | 23.8 | $7.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $7.1 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.1 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $3.2 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.9 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| TSWC1-2_5_2_1_3 | 87 | -22.1 | 468 | S | 23.8 | $7.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $5.1 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.4 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $4.4 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| TSWC1-2_5_3_1_3 | 123 | -22.5 | 110 | S | 24 | $7.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $3.0 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.4 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $4.3 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| TSWC1-2_5_4_1_3 | 140 | -22.5 | 80 | S | 24 | $7.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.6 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.3 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.4 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $4.2 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| TSWC1-2_5_7_1_3 | 128 | -22.5 | 96 | S | 24 | $7.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.4 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $3.9 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.4 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| TSWC1-2_1_6_1_1 | 113 | -22.5 | 176 | p | 24 | $8.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.4 \mathrm{E}+03$ | - | - | - | $5.9 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| TSWC1-2_1c_6_1_2 | 78 | -22.7 | 425 | S | 24.1 | $7.1 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $5.9 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $6.1 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| Northern Arkansas Sphalerite |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NACP1-8_1_1_1_1 | 110 | -20.4 | 297 | p | 22.6 | $6.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.2 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $5.8 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NACP1-8_1_2_1_1 | nd | -20.4 | 108 | p | 22.6 | $6.4 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.2 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $6.6 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NACP1-8_2_1_1_2 | 100 | -22.2 | 48 | p | 23.8 | $6.4 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $7.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $5.3 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $4.3 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NACP1-8_2_2_1_1 | 99 | -22.7 | 475 | p | 24.1 | $7.2 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $5.3 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NACP1-8_2_2_1_2 | 97 | -22.2 | 70 | p | 23.8 | $6.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.2 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $6.5 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NACP1-8_2_3_-1 | nd | -22.7 | 170 | p | 24.1 | $6.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.2 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $6.6 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NACP1-8_2_1_1_1 | 103 | -22.5 | 372 | p | 24 | $6.2 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.5 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $5.5 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NACP1-8_3_1_1_3 | 112 | -22.5 | 126 | p | 24 | $7.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.2 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $5.9 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $6.1 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.3 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| NACP1-8_3_2_1_3 | 112 | -22.5 | 88 | p | 24 | $6.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.2 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $7.2 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $6.4 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $5.9 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| NACP1-8_3_3_1_3 | 112 | -22.5 | 104 | p | 24 | $6.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.4 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.3 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $8.0 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.2 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| NACP1-8_3_4_1_3 | 107 | -22.5 | 42 | p | 24 | $6.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.3 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $7.9 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NACP1-8_3_4__1 | nd | -22.1 | 60 | p | 23.8 | $6.5 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.9 \mathrm{E}+03$ | - | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $7.1 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NACP1-8_3_1_1_1 | 116 | -22.1 | 98 | p | 23.8 | $5.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.2 \mathrm{E}+03$ | - | $2.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $1.1 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.4 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NACP1-8_4_4__2 | nd | -21.6 | 0 | p | 23.4 | $6.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $7.2 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NACP1-8_4_2_1_2 | 87 | -21.6 | 133 | p | 23.4 | $6.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.4 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $6.4 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NACP1-8_5_3_1_1 | nd | -23.4 | 532 | p | 24.6 | $7.2 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $3.0 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $6.1 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NACP1-8_5_2_1_1 | 101 | -23.4 | 220 | p | 24.6 | $6.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.4 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $3.3 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $7.2 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.6 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NACP1-8_5_1_1_1 | 109 | -23.2 | 486 | p | 24.5 | $6.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $6.5 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NACP1-8_5_3_1_3 | 103 | -22.6 | 93 | p | 24.1 | $7.4 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.2 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.4 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $5.3 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NACP1-8_5_2_1_3 | 103 | -22.6 | 320 | p | 24.1 | $7.1 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.3 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $5.8 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NACP1-8_5_4_1_3 | 103 | -22.6 | 112 | p | 24.1 | $6.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $6.6 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NACP1-8_5_1_1_3 | 109 | -22.6 | 385 | p | 24.1 | $6.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.4 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $6.5 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |


| NAEX1-14_1_1_1_2 | 110 | -22.0 | 222 | p | 23.7 | $6.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.4 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $4.6 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $5.2 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NAEX1-14_1_2_1_2 | 111 | -22.2 | 30 | p | 23.8 | 7.2E+04 | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.2 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $5.0 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NAEX1-2_1_1_1_2 | 102 | -22.9 | 0 | p | 24.3 | $7.1 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.4 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $5.6 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NAEX1-2_1_2_1_2 | 101 | -22.9 | 0 | p | 24.3 | $7.2 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $6.1 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.2 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NAEX1-2_1_3_1_2 | 101 | -22.9 | 0 | p | 24.3 | 7.2E+04 | - | - | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $6.1 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NAEX1-2_1_2_1_3 | 95 | -22.5 | 30 | S | 24 | $6.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.4 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $6.5 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NAEX1-2_1_1_1_3 | 95 | -22.5 | 130 | S | 24 | $6.5 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.4 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.2 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $8.0 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NAEX1-2_1_3_1_3 | 95 | -22.5 | 20 | S | 24 | $7.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $5.8 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.8 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NAEX1-2_2A_1_1_3 | 108 | -22.6 | 168 | S | 24.1 | $7.2 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.3 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $4.8 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NAEX1-2_2B_2_1_3 | 101 | -22.6 | 72 | S | 24.1 | $7.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.2 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $4.9 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.1 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| NAEX1-2_2B_3_1_3 | 113 | -22.6 | 18 | S | 24.1 | $6.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.3 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $6.0 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $4.5 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.6 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| NAEX1-2_3A_1_1_3 | 105 | -21.8 | 189 | s | 23.6 | $6.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.6 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $4.8 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $8.5 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| NAEX1-2_3B_3_1_3 | 106 | -22.0 | 45 | S | 23.7 | $6.4 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.2 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $6.9 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NAEX1-2_3B_2_1_3 | 105 | -22.0 | 153 | S | 23.7 | $7.1 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $4.9 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.2 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NAEX1-2_3C_5_1_3 | 104 | -21.8 | 108 | S | 23.5 | $6.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.6 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $5.6 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.4 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NAEX1-2_3C_4_1_3 | 104 | -22.0 | 35 | s | 23.7 | $7.5 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $9.4 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.4 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $4.7 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.2 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NAEX1-7_1_1_1_3 | 114 | -21.8 | 360 | p | 23.6 | $6.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.2 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $6.0 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $4.5 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| NAEX1-7_1_2_1_3 | 108 | -21.8 | 70 | p | 23.6 | $6.2 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.2 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.4 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.3 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $7.0 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+03$ |
| NAEX1-7_2_3_1_2 | 114 | -16.1 | 80 | p | 19.5 | $5.4 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.2 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $3.6 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $9.6 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.4 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+03$ |
| NAEX1-7_2_1_1_2 | 116 | -16.1 | 748 | p | 19.5 | $5.2 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.4 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.6 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.4 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $8.5 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.1 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.0 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| NAEX1-7_2_2_1_2 | 114 | -16.1 | 247 | p | 19.5 | $5.3 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.2 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $1.1 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $8.8 \mathrm{E}+00$ | - |
| NAEX1-7_2_4_1_2 | 114 | -16.1 | 88 | p | 19.5 | $5.3 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $8.2 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.1 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $8.5 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| NAEX1-7_4_1_1_2 | 114 | -20.4 | 220 | S | 22.6 | $6.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $5.3 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $8.3 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| NAEX1-7_4_2_1_2 | 114 | -20.6 | 80 | S | 22.8 | $6.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $4.3 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $9.8 \mathrm{E}+00$ | - |
| NAEX1-7_1a_2_1_2 | 130 | -21.1 | 91 | p | 23.1 | $5.3 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $3.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.2 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $8.8 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $5.5 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NAEX1-8_8_2_1_1 | 276 | -20.0 | 392 | p | 22.4 | $6.2 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.9 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $7.6 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NAEX1-8_8_1_1_1 | 329 | -20.0 | 702 | p | 22.4 | $5.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.4 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $9.5 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.4 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NAJP1-10_2_2_1_2 | 102 | -22.7 | 64 | p | 24.1 | $6.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.6 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.3 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $6.2 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.8 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| NAJP1-10_2_3_1_2 | 103 | -22.7 | 70 | p | 24.1 | $6.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $7.0 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NAJP1-10_2_1_1_2 | 104 | -22.8 | 480 | p | 24.2 | $6.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $7.0 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $5.6 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $4.7 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| NAJP1-10_2_4_1_2 | 103 | -22.8 | 156 | p | 24.2 | $6.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $4.4 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $4.8 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.4 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $9.5 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| NAJP1-10_4_1_1_2 | 111 | -22.8 | 180 | p | 24.3 | $7.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.3 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.2 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $4.9 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NAJP1-10_4_2_1_2 | 111 | -22.8 | 70 | p | 24.2 | $6.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.2 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $3.3 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $5.6 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NAJP1-10_4_3_1_2 | 105 | -22.9 | 50 | p | 24.2 | $7.2 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | - | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $6.1 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.4 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NAJP1-3_1_2_1_3 | 100 | -21.5 | 117 | p | 23.4 | $7.1 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $4.9 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $4.9 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| NAJP1-3_1_1_1_3 | 100 | -21.5 | 180 | p | 23.4 | $6.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.3 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $5.3 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $7.9 \mathrm{E}+01$ |


| NAJP1-3_4_2_1_1 | 101 | -22.5 | 315 | p | 24 | $7.1 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $7.5 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $5.4 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NAJP1-3_4_1_1_1 | 100 | -22.5 | 476 | p | 24 | $6.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.6 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.4 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.3 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $5.2 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $6.4 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| NAJP1-3_4_4_1_1 | nd | -22.5 | 294 | p | 24 | $6.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.2 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.3 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $4.3 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $5.3 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $6.0 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| NAJP1-3_4_5_1_1 | nd | -22.5 | 399 | p | 24 | $6.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.4 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.4 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $5.9 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.2 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.0 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| NAJP1-3o_1_1_1_1 | 101 | -23.0 | 372 | p | 24.3 | $6.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $4.2 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $6.1 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.3 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NALB1-1_1_1_1_6 | 134 | -22.0 | 120 | p | 23.7 | $7.1 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.9 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $4.2 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.3 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| NALB1-1_1_3_1_6 | nd | -22.1 | 36 | p | 23.8 | $6.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $4.5 \mathrm{E}+02$ | - | $3.4 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| NALB1-1_1_2_1_6 | 134 | -22.0 | 36 | p | 23.7 | $6.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+03$ | - | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $5.1 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.9 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| NALB1-1_2_2_1_6 | 91 | -22.2 | 92 | S | 23.8 | $7.3 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.2 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $5.2 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NALB1-1_2_1_1_6 | 136 | -23.2 | 154 | S | 24.5 | $6.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.4 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.4 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $6.1 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NALB1-1_3_1_1_2 | 89 | -22.9 | 210 | p | 24.3 | $6.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.2 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.2 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $6.5 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.9 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| NALB1-1_3_2_1_2 | 89 | -22.9 | 80 | p | 24.3 | $6.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | - | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $5.1 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.2 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.2 \mathrm{E}+03$ |
| NALB1-1_1b_2_1_2 | 108 | -22.1 | 35 | p | 23.8 | $6.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.3 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.2 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $5.7 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NALB1-1_1b_1_1_2 | 107 | -22.0 | 300 | p | 23.7 | $7.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $5.5 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NALB1-1_1b_1_2_6 | 94 | -21.3 | 77 | S | 23.2 | $6.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $3.3 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $4.4 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.4 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NALB1-1_1b_2_2_6 | 94 | -21.3 | 60 | S | 23.2 | $6.2 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.6 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $5.1 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NALD1-2_1_1_1_4 | 125 | -21.0 | 54 | S | 23 | $7.1 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $3.3 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.3 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $3.2 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.3 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NALD1-2_1_2_1_4 | 117 | -21.0 | 32 | S | 23 | $6.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $3.3 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $3.3 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.2 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NALD1-2_1_1_1_1 | 114 | -20.1 | 345 | S | 22.4 | $6.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $5.4 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.3 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $2.7 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $8.0 \mathrm{E}+00$ | - |
| NALD1-2_2_1_1_1 | 106 | -19.5 | 2580 | p | 22 | $6.5 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.9 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $3.3 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.2 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.4 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| NALD1-2_2_2_1_4 | 102 | -21.5 | 35 | S | 23.4 | $7.5 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.1 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $3.7 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NALD1-2_2_1_1_4 | 102 | -21.5 | 80 | S | 23.4 | $7.4 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.3 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.2 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $3.7 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.2 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NALD1-4_1_2_1_4 | 60 | -22.4 | 60 | p | 23.9 | $7.4 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.4 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $5.0 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.2 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NALD1-4_1_4_1_2 | 116 | -22.8 | 50 | p | 24.2 | $6.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $3.0 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $7.7 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $5.3 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NALD1-4_1_1_1_4 | 106 | -19.9 | 247 | p | 22.3 | $6.2 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.2 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $7.3 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.2 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NALD1-4_2_6 | nd | -21.7 | 0 | p | 23.5 | $6.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.6 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $1.0 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.3 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NALD1-4_2_2_1_6 | 115 | -18.4 | 1122 | p | 21.3 | $5.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $9.7 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $5.6 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| NALD1-4_2_3_1_6 | 118 | -18.4 | 768 | p | 21.3 | $5.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.6 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $9.8 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.7 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| NALD1-4_2_5_1_6 | 130 | -21.7 | 220 | p | 23.5 | $6.5 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $8.3 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $3.3 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $8.7 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| NALD1-4_2_1_1_6 | 115 | -18.4 | 400 | p | 21.3 | $6.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.2 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.3 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $6.2 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $9.4 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| NALD1-4_2_4_1_6 | 116 | -18.4 | 1575 | p | 21.3 | $4.3 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.3 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $3.6 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $3.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $9.7 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $4.4 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.7 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| NALD1-4_1a_1_1_3 | 110 | -22.2 | 204 | p | 23.8 | $6.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $5.3 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $4.5 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| NALD1-4_1c_10_3_3 | 113 | -21.5 | 36 | p | 23.4 | $6.4 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.3 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $1.0 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| NALD1-4_1c_9_3_3 | 113 | -21.5 | 80 | p | 23.4 | $6.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $9.0 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.4 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NALD1-4_1c_8_3_3 | 111 | -21.5 | 126 | p | 23.4 | $6.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.2 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $9.0 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.4 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $5.0 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| NALD1-4_1c_7_3_3 | 117 | -21.8 | 91 | p | 23.6 | $6.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $5.3 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $6.0 \mathrm{E}+01$ |


| NALD1-4_2a_1_1_4 | 122 | -20.4 | 252 | p | 22.6 | $6.3 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.6 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $1.0 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $6.0 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NALD1-4_2a_2_1_4 | 145 | -22.4 | 180 | p | 23.9 | $6.1 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.4 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $3.2 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.5 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $8.2 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $3.3 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $5.6 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| NALD1-4_2a_3_1_4 | 145 | -22.4 | 54 | p | 23.9 | $5.4 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.2 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.2 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $3.3 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $6.9 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $4.3 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $8.9 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| NALD1-4_2b_4_1_4 | 145 | -22.4 | 170 | p | 23.9 | $6.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.2 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $3.0 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $7.7 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $3.5 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $7.3 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| NALD1-4_2b_6_1_4 | 145 | -22.4 | 132 | p | 23.9 | $6.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $3.0 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $8.0 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $5.0 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $4.5 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| NALD1-4_2b_5_1_4 | 145 | -22.4 | 216 | p | 23.9 | $5.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $8.0 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $3.2 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $9.0 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| NAMC1-1_2_1_1_1 | 137 | -23.0 | 80 | p | 24.3 | $6.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | - | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $5.7 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.4 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NAMC1-1_4_2_1_1 | 111 | -23.2 | 80 | p | 24.5 | $7.4 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $5.8 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $3.3 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $3.9 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NAMC1-1_4_1_1_1 | 111 | -23.2 | 459 | p | 24.5 | $7.1 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.4 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $5.6 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NAMC1-1_5_5_2_1 | nd | -23.0 | 72 | p | 24.3 | $7.1 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.3 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $4.4 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $5.6 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.9 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.9 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| NAMC1-1_5_4_1_1 | nd | -23.0 | 480 | p | 24.3 | $7.3 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.4 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $5.3 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.7 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NAMC1-1_5_2_1_1 | 139 | -23.0 | 371 | p | 24.3 | $7.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $5.5 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.7 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NAMC1-1_5_3_1_1 | 134 | -23.0 | 255 | p | 24.3 | $6.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.4 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.4 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $6.7 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $3.1 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NAMC1-1_12_5_1_2 | 104 | -22.3 | 136 | S | 23.9 | $7.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.4 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $5.4 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NAMC1-1_12_2_1_2 | 103 | -22.3 | 56 | S | 23.9 | $7.1 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $6.2 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NAMC1-1_12_4_1_2 | 103 | -22.3 | 66 | S | 23.9 | $7.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $5.8 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NAMC1-1_12_1_1_2 | 100 | -22.3 | 120 | S | 23.9 | $7.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $5.6 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NAMC1-1_12_3_1_2 | 103 | -22.3 | 64 | S | 23.9 | $6.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $5.1 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.3 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NAMC1-1_12_6_1_2 | 104 | -22.3 | 90 | S | 23.9 | $7.1 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $5.3 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NAMC1-1_13_2_1_2 | 100 | -22.2 | 190 | S | 23.8 | $7.1 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.2 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $5.5 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.4 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NAMC1-1_13_1_1_2 | 107 | -22.2 | 400 | s | 23.8 | $7.1 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.2 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $5.1 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NAMC1-1_14_1_1_2 | 130 | -22.3 | 192 | p | 23.9 | $7.1 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.1 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $4.7 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| NAMC1-1_14_4_2_2 | 130 | -22.3 | 70 | p | 23.9 | $7.1 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $5.1 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NAMC1-1_14_2_2_2 | 134 | -22.3 | 60 | p | 23.9 | $7.5 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | - | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $5.0 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NAMC1-1_14_3_2_2 | 132 | -22.3 | 30 | p | 23.9 | $7.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | - | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $5.8 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.0 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NAMC1-1_15_1_1_2 | 104 | -22.4 | 105 | p | 23.9 | $7.3 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.4 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $4.6 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NAMC1-1_15_4_1_2 | 104 | -22.4 | 84 | p | 23.9 | $7.1 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.4 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $5.0 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NAMC1-1_15_3_1_2 | 104 | -22.4 | 72 | p | 23.9 | $7.5 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.3 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.4 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $4.5 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NAMC1-1_17_3_2_2 | 129 | -22.3 | 56 | p | 23.9 | $7.1 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.3 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $3.3 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $5.2 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NAMC1-1_17_2_1_2 | 125 | -22.3 | 78 | p | 23.9 | $7.3 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $4.9 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NAMC1-1_17_4_2_2 | 129 | -22.3 | 66 | p | 23.9 | $7.2 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+03$ | - | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $5.0 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $9.9 \mathrm{E}+00$ | - |
| NAMC1-1_15b_7_1_2 | 109 | -22.4 | 120 | p | 23.9 | $7.2 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.4 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $5.0 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.4 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NAMC1-1_15b_8_1_2 | 108 | -22.4 | 72 | p | 23.9 | $7.3 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $5.3 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.4 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NAMC1-1_15b_6_1_2 | 108 | -22.4 | 96 | p | 23.9 | $7.1 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $5.4 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.4 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NAMC1-1_7a_3_1_2 | 106 | -22.4 | 88 | p | 23.9 | $7.3 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.2 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.4 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $3.2 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $4.7 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.4 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| NAMC1-1_7a_6_1_2 | 106 | -22.4 | 99 | p | 23.9 | $7.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.3 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $5.4 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |


| NAMC1-1_7a_8_1_2 | 106 | -22.4 | 91 | p | 23.9 | $7.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.3 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $5.4 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NAMC1-1_7a_4_1_2 | 106 | -22.4 | 168 | p | 23.9 | $7.2 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $6.8 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $5.3 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $8.2 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| NAMC1-1_7a_5_1_2 | 106 | -22.4 | 110 | p | 23.9 | $7.2 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.4 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $4.9 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NAMC1-1_7a_7_1_2 | 106 | -22.4 | 81 | p | 23.9 | $6.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | - | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $6.4 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NAMC1-1_7b_2_1_2 | 106 | -22.4 | 81 | p | 23.9 | $6.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.2 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $5.2 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.2 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NAPA1-1_1_4_1_4 | 106 | -20.9 | 120 | p | 23 | $6.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $4.4 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NAPA1-1_1_1_1_4 | 106 | -20.9 | 384 | p | 23 | $6.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $4.4 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.3 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NAPA1-1_1_2_1_4 | 106 | -20.9 | 84 | p | 23 | $6.2 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $3.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $6.8 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.4 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NAPA1-1_1_3_1_4 | 106 | -20.9 | 180 | p | 23 | $6.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $4.1 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.4 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NAPA1-13_1_3_1_4 | 101 | -21.4 | 66 | S | 23.3 | $7.1 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.4 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $4.1 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $9.9 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| NAPA1-13_1_1_1_4 | 101 | -21.3 | 224 | S | 23.2 | $6.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $6.9 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $5.0 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.0 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.7 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| NAPA1-13_1_2_1_4 | 101 | -21.4 | 77 | S | 23.3 | $6.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $4.0 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.0 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| NAPA1-13_2_2_1_2 | 102 | -21.2 | 63 | p | 23.2 | $6.2 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $3.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $6.5 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.6 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NAPA1-13_2_1_1_2 | 102 | -21.2 | 40 | p | 23.2 | $6.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $3.6 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.4 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NAPA1-13_2_1_1_1 | nd | -21.1 | 96 | p | 23.1 | $6.4 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.2 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $5.5 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.3 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NAPA1-13_2_3_1_2 | 102 | -21.2 | 56 | p | 23.2 | 5.2E+04 | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $3.3 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $3.1 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $7.5 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.2 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NAPA1-13_2_1_1_4 | 95 | -21.0 | 432 | S | 23 | $7.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.6 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.4 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $3.3 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $3.8 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.3 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $5.2 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| NAPA1-13_3_1_1_1 | 102 | -21.1 | 341 | S | 23.1 | $6.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $3.8 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NAPA1-13_3_4_1_1 | nd | -21.0 | 220 | S | 23 | $6.4 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $4.0 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.2 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NAPA1-13_4_1_1_4 | 105 | -21.5 | 494 | p | 23.4 | $5.5 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $3.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $6.6 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NAPA1-13_6_2_1_1 | 101 | -21.5 | 918 | S | 23.4 | $6.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $4.0 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.3 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NAPA1-13_6_3_1_1 | 140 | -21.0 | 319 | S | 23 | $6.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.6 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.3 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $5.5 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NAPA1-13_1a_4_1_6 | 108 | -20.9 | 132 | p | 23 | $7.3 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $8.4 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $3.3 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.2 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $3.6 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $6.7 \mathrm{E}+00$ | - |
| NAPA1-13_1a_3_1_6 | 107 | -20.9 | 196 | p | 23 | $6.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $3.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $4.7 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.4 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NAPA1-13_1a_2_1_6 | 107 | -20.9 | 140 | p | 23 | $7.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.4 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $3.0 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.4 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $3.9 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.0 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NAPA1-13_1a_5_1_6 | 107 | -20.9 | 130 | p | 23 | $6.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $3.0 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $3.8 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $9.5 \mathrm{E}+00$ | - |
| NAPA1-13_1a_1_1_6 | 106 | -20.9 | 480 | p | 23 | $6.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.9 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $4.0 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.1 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.3 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| NAPA1-13_1b_1_1_6 | 103 | -20.9 | 322 | p | 23 | $6.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $4.2 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.4 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NAPA1-13_1b_5_1_6 | 106 | -20.9 | 96 | S | 23 | $6.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $4.2 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NAPA1-13_1b_4_1_6 | 105 | -20.9 | 63 | S | 23 | $6.5 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $3.0 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $4.7 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NAPA1-13_2b_7_1_2 | 103 | -21.2 | 135 | p | 23.2 | $7.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.9 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $3.7 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.4 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NAPA1-13_2b_8_1_2 | 102 | -21.2 | 104 | p | 23.2 | $6.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.9 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $4.0 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.3 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NAPA1-13_2b_5_1_2 | 102 | -21.2 | 56 | p | 23.2 | $7.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.6 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $3.6 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.1 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NAPA1-13_2b_6_1_2 | 102 | -21.2 | 130 | p | 23.2 | $6.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.4 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $4.2 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.3 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NAPA1-13_2b_2_1_4 | 101 | -21.1 | 88 | S | 23.1 | $6.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.9 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.4 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $7.4 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $4.1 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $7.5 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| NAPA1-13_2p_1_2_2 | nd | -21.2 | 0 | p | 23.2 | $7.2 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $3.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.3 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $6.3 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.3 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |


| NAPA1-13_2p_2_2_2 | nd | -21.2 | 0 | p | 23.2 | $7.4 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.3 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.3 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $3.1 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $9.2 \mathrm{E}+00$ | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NAPA1-13_3a_3_1_4 | 103 | -21.4 | 45 | S | 23.3 | $7.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $3.0 \mathrm{E}+03$ | 8.7E+03 | - | $2.7 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $9.6 \mathrm{E}+00$ | - |
| NAPA1-13_3a_1_1_4 | 98 | -21.4 | 168 | S | 23.3 | $7.1 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $3.6 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.4 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NAPA1-13_3b_2_1_4 | 102 | -21.4 | 154 | S | 23.3 | $7.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $3.3 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.4 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.4 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| NAPA1-13_4b_4_1_4 | 104 | -21.6 | 90 | p | 23.4 | $7.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.2 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $3.2 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $4.4 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $6.4 \mathrm{E}+00$ | - |
| NAPA1-13_4b_3_1_4 | 104 | -21.6 | 196 | p | 23.4 | $7.1 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $3.8 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.3 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NAPA1-13_6a_1_1_4 | 99 | -21.5 | 152 | S | 23.4 | $7.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $3.9 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.2 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.4 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| NAPA1-13_6b_2_1_4 | 99 | -21.6 | 48 | S | 23.4 | $7.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.3 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $3.1 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $4.4 \mathrm{E}+02$ | - | - |
| NAPA1-13_6b_3_1_4 | 99 | -21.5 | 77 | S | 23.4 | $7.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.9 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $3.8 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.4 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NAPA1-13_6b_4_1_4 | 99 | -21.5 | 50 | S | 23.4 | $6.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $3.8 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NAPA1-13B_1_2_1_1 | 91 | -21.6 | 242 | p | 23.4 | $6.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $3.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $4.0 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.0 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NAPA1-13B_1_1_1_1 | 99 | -21.8 | 160 | p | 23.6 | 4.1E+04 | $2.6 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $6.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $3.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $5.9 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.2 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NAPA1-13B_1_3_1_1 | 81 | -21.9 | 210 | p | 23.6 | $7.1 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.6 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $4.8 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.0 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NAPA1-13B_1_4_2_1 | 74 | -21.3 | 410 | S | 23.2 | $6.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.2 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $4.4 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.3 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $4.9 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| Northern Arkansas Quartz |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NAPA1-13_1_1_1_1 | 113 | -22.0 | 1071 | S | 23.7 | $5.1 \mathrm{E}+04$ | 4.2E+03 | $3.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NAPA1-13_1_3_1_1 | 97 | -22.0 | 170 | S | 23.7 | $7.4 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.3 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $5.2 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NAPA1-13_1_4_1_1 | 91 | -22.0 | 546 | S | 23.7 | $6.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | - | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $7.6 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.9 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NAPA1-13_1_5_1_1 | 87 | -22.1 | 225 | S | 23.8 | 8.2E+04 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $7.4 \mathrm{E}+03$ | - | $3.0 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $6.6 \mathrm{E}+00$ | - |
| NAPA1-13_1_6_1_1 | 99 | -22.1 | 285 | S | 23.8 | $7.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $7.2 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.2 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.4 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $3.6 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.4 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NAPA1-13_1a_3_1_2 | 106 | -22.0 | 92 | S | 23.7 | $7.4 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $5.5 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.4 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $4.6 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.2 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NAPA1-13_1b_5_1_2 | 110 | -22.0 | 192 | S | 23.7 | $7.2 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $9.6 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $4.9 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.0 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NAPA1-13_1b_7_1_2 | 106 | -22.0 | 168 | S | 23.7 | $7.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $9.6 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.9 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $6.0 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.9 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NAPA1-13_2a_1_1_6 | 120 | -22.0 | 300 | S | 23.7 | $7.2 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.4 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $4.9 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NAPA1-13_2a_2_1_6 | 106 | -21.9 | 91 | S | 23.6 | $7.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.3 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $5.0 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NAPA1-13_2a_3_1_6 | 111 | -21.9 | 176 | S | 23.6 | $7.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.3 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $5.3 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| NAPA1-13_2c_1_1_6 | 125 | -22.0 | 108 | S | 23.7 | $7.5 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $3.0 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $4.1 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.2 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| Central Missouri Sphalerite |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CMBN_1_1_1_6 | 110 | -22.4 | 232 | S | 23.9 | $6.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.9 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $7.7 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.3 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| CMBN_2_1_1_5 | 104 | -22.7 | 140 | p | 24.1 | $6.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.6 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $6.5 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| CMBN_2_2_1_5 | 103 | -22.7 | 60 | p | 24.1 | $6.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $7.4 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| CMBN_2_5_1_5 | 107 | -22.5 | 56 | p | 24 | $6.4 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $3.2 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.2 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $8.4 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.3 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| CMBN_2_1_1_6 | 108 | -22.4 | 160 | p | 23.9 | $6.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.6 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $7.0 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| CMBN_2_3_1_6 | 106 | -22.4 | 50 | p | 23.9 | $6.5 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.6 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $7.6 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| CMBN_2_2_1_6 | 107 | -22.4 | 126 | p | 23.9 | $6.5 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.4 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $7.4 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| CMBN_2_4_1_5 | 103 | -22.7 | 36 | p | 24.1 | $6.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $7.6 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |


| CMBN_3_3_1_5 | 103 | -22.4 | 200 | S | 23.9 | $7.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $6.2 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CMBN_3_1_1_5 | 102 | -22.4 | 697 | S | 23.9 | $6.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $7.2 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $3.4 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| CMBN_3_2_1_5 | 103 | -22.4 | 136 | S | 23.9 | $6.4 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.3 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.9 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $7.5 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| CMBN_4_2_1_5 | 102 | -22.3 | 192 | p | 23.9 | $6.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $7.6 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| CMBN_4_1_1_5 | 102 | -22.3 | 180 | p | 23.9 | $6.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.4 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $7.2 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| CMBN_5_3_2_5 | 102 | -22.2 | 20 | p | 23.8 | $6.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $5.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $6.9 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $6.4 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $9.1 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| CMBN_5_1_1_5 | 106 | -22.2 | 80 | p | 23.8 | $6.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $3.4 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $7.4 \mathrm{E}+02$ | - | - |
| CMBN_5_2_2_5 | 104 | -22.2 | 84 | p | 23.8 | $6.2 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $3.2 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.2 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $7.7 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.6 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| CMBN_5_4_2_5 | 106 | -22.1 | 175 | S | 23.8 | $6.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $7.1 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| CMBN_6_2_1_5 | 106 | -22.4 | 63 | p | 23.9 | $6.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.2 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $3.1 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $7.3 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.4 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| CMBN_6_1_1_5 | 103 | -22.4 | 396 | p | 23.9 | $6.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.4 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.6 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $6.6 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.2 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| CMBN_7_2_1_5 | 93 | -22.3 | 77 | S | 23.9 | $7.2 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $8.4 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $3.2 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $6.5 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.2 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| CMBN_7_4_1_5 | 95 | -22.1 | 78 | s | 23.8 | $6.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $7.2 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.6 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| CMBN_7_3_1_5 | 96 | -22.1 | 160 | S | 23.8 | $6.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.4 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $6.4 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| CMBN_7_1_1_5 | 94 | -22.3 | 54 | S | 23.9 | $6.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | - | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $6.8 \mathrm{E}+02$ | - | - |
| CMBN_8_4_2_5 | 94 | -22.0 | 48 | p | 23.7 | $6.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.2 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.6 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $7.0 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.4 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| CMBN_8_3_2_5 | 94 | -22.0 | 245 | p | 23.7 | $6.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $7.2 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.1 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| CMBN_8_1_1_5 | 97 | -22.2 | 85 | p | 23.8 | $5.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $3.0 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $8.2 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| CMBN_9_2_1_5 | 95 | -21.9 | 36 | p | 23.6 | $6.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.9 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $7.1 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| CMBN_9_3_1_5 | 95 | -22.1 | 28 | p | 23.8 | $7.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $5.8 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.3 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| CMBN_9_1_1_5 | 95 | -22.0 | 119 | p | 23.7 | $6.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $7.0 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| CMBN_10_6_1_5 | 103 | -22.1 | 56 | s | 23.8 | $6.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.6 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $3.1 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $8.5 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.2 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| CMBN_10_3_1_5 | 101 | -22.1 | 98 | S | 23.8 | $6.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $3.1 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $8.2 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| CMBN_10_4_1_5 | 101 | -22.1 | 44 | S | 23.8 | $6.2 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $3.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.3 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $8.1 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.6 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| CMBN_10_1_1_5 | 100 | -22.1 | 28 | S | 23.8 | $6.3 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.9 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $3.0 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $7.8 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $3.5 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| CMBN_10_2_1_5 | 104 | -22.1 | 112 | S | 23.8 | $5.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $3.2 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $3.2 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.5 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $7.4 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $6.3 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| CMBN_10_5_1_5 | 101 | -22.1 | 50 | S | 23.8 | $6.5 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.3 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $8.4 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.7 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| CMBN_11_2_1_5 | 92 | -22.0 | 91 | p | 23.7 | $5.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.3 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $3.4 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.5 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $9.3 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $3.3 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| CMBN_11_3_2_5 | 102 | -22.0 | 208 | p | 23.7 | $6.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $6.9 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $9.6 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| CMBN_11_4_2_5 | 99 | -22.0 | 63 | p | 23.7 | $6.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $6.6 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $4.3 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $6.6 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| CMBN_12_1_1_5 | 105 | -22.3 | 102 | p | 23.9 | $6.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.9 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $7.2 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.2 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| CMBN_12_2_1_5 | 102 | -22.2 | 36 | p | 23.8 | $6.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | - | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $7.5 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.4 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| CMBN_17_2_1_5 | 80 | -22.4 | 72 | ? | 23.9 | $6.5 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $3.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $7.4 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.8 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| CMBN_17_1_1_5 | 82 | -22.4 | 119 | ? | 23.9 | $7.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.2 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $7.1 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $4.9 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| CMBN_18_1_1_5 | 106 | -22.2 | 144 | p | 23.9 | $6.4 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $3.6 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.2 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $7.6 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.7 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| CMBN_18_5_2_5 | 108 | -22.3 | 224 | p | 23.9 | $6.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $7.0 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |


| CMBN_18_2_1_5 | 104 | -22.3 | 144 | p | 23.9 | $6.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $7.2 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.2 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CMBN_18_4_2_5 | 108 | -22.3 | 336 | p | 23.9 | $6.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.6 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $7.2 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.3 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| CMBN_21_1_1_5 | 107 | -22.5 | 590 | p | 24 | $6.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $3.0 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $7.1 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.3 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| CMBN_18b_1_1_5 | 107 | -22.3 | 276 | p | 23.9 | $6.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.6 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $7.1 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| CMBN_18b_2_1_5 | 106 | -22.3 | 216 | p | 23.9 | $6.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $6.9 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.2 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| CMBN_18b_3_1_5 | 106 | -22.4 | 306 | p | 23.9 | $7.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.3 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $6.7 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| CMFD_1_1_1_5 | 72 | -20.1 | 66 | p | 22.4 | $6.5 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $3.3 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| CMFD_1_1_1_6 | 87 | -23.0 | 176 | S | 24.3 | $7.3 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.4 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $5.9 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| CMFD_1_3_1_6 | 87 | -23.0 | 6 | S | 24.3 | $7.2 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | - | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $6.2 \mathrm{E}+02$ | - | - |
| CMFD_2_3_1_5 | 87 | -20.9 | 42 | p | 23 | $6.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | - | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $6.4 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.7 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| CMFD_2_1_1_5 | 93 | -20.9 | 135 | S | 23 | $6.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.2 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.2 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $5.5 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| CMFD_2_2_1_5 | 94 | -21.7 | 160 | S | 23.5 | $6.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.2 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $5.2 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.2 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| CMFD_3_2_1_5 | 94 | -14.5 | 80 | S | 18.2 | $5.2 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.6 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.4 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $8.8 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.1 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| CMFD_3_1_1_5 | 95 | -14.5 | 84 | S | 18.2 | $5.1 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.4 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $9.5 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.5 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| CMFD_4_3_1_5 | 105 | -23.4 | 120 | p | 24.6 | 8.3E+04 | $9.3 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $3.6 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $8.9 \mathrm{E}+03$ | - | $3.3 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.1 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| CMFD_4_7_1_5 | 107 | -23.1 | 602 | p | 24.4 | $7.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.4 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.3 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.4 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $5.0 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| CMFD_2b_2_1_6 | nd | -23.0 | 18 | S | 24.3 | $6.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | - | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $9.2 \mathrm{E}+02$ | - | - |
| CMFD_2b_3_1_6 | nd | -23.0 | 56 | - | 24.3 | $7.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | - | $1.3 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $3.4 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| CMFT_2_3_1_6 | 113 | -22.2 | 153 | p | 23.8 | $7.1 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.2 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $6.3 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.6 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| CMFT_2_1_1_6 | 111 | -22.1 | 644 | p | 23.8 | $6.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.9 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $6.9 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| CMFT_2_4_1_6 | 115 | -22.1 | 319 | p | 23.8 | $6.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $7.5 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| CMFT_2_2_1_6 | 113 | -22.2 | 224 | p | 23.8 | $6.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $7.3 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.3 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| CMFT_4_2_1_6 | 109 | -22.3 | 697 | p | 23.9 | $6.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $3.0 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $7.5 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| CMHL_3_1_1_6 | 82 | -17.1 | 182 | p | 20.3 | $5.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $1.0 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.2 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| CMHL_3_3_1_6 | 77 | -17.0 | 40 | p | 20.2 | $5.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.3 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $1.1 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| CMHL_3_2_1_6 | 83 | -17.1 | 48 | p | 20.3 | $5.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | - | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $1.1 \mathrm{E}+03$ | - | - |
| CMHL_1a_2_1_6 | 94 | -17.3 | 84 | p | 20.4 | $5.5 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $3.0 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $1.1 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| CMHL_1a_5_1_6 | 81 | -17.7 | 84 | p | 20.7 | $5.3 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.9 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $1.3 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.5 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| CMHL_1a_3_1_6 | 54 | -17.5 | 98 | p | 20.6 | $5.5 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.2 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $1.2 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| CMTF_1_1_1_5 | 77 | -21.7 | 50 | p | 23.5 | $6.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $3.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $6.3 \mathrm{E}+02$ | - | - |
| CMTF_1_2_1_6 | 111 | -22.3 | 390 | p | 23.9 | $7.2 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $6.1 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| CMTF_1_1_1_6 | 114 | -22.4 | 418 | p | 23.9 | $6.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $3.0 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $6.8 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.6 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| CMTF_1_5_1_6 | 111 | -22.4 | 48 | p | 23.9 | $6.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $6.6 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| CMTF_1_4_1_6 | 111 | -22.4 | 105 | p | 23.9 | $7.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.4 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $7.3 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.6 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| CMTF_1_3_1_6 | 111 | -22.4 | 252 | p | 23.9 | $6.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $6.4 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| CMTF_1_2_1_5 | 79 | -21.7 | 15 | p | 23.5 | $6.2 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | - | $2.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $7.9 \mathrm{E}+02$ | - | - |


| CMTF_1_3_2_5 | 100 | -22.3 | 24 | p | 23.9 | $6.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | - | $2.3 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $1.1 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $6.4 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CMTF_1_4_2_5 | 100 | -22.3 | 40 | p | 23.9 | $6.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | - | $1.2 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $3.8 \mathrm{E}+02$ | - | - |
| CMTF_2_2_1_5 | 102 | -22.1 | 70 | p | 23.8 | $6.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $3.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $6.2 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| CMTF_2_1_1_5 | 102 | -22.1 | 35 | p | 23.8 | $6.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.9 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $3.3 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $8.7 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.5 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| CMTF_2_4_1_5 | 102 | -22.1 | 48 | p | 23.8 | $6.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.6 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $3.0 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $5.9 \mathrm{E}+02$ | - | - |
| CMTF_2_5_1_5 | 102 | -22.1 | 45 | p | 23.8 | $6.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $6.6 \mathrm{E}+02$ | - | - |
| CMTF_2_3_1_5 | 102 | -22.1 | 66 | p | 23.8 | $6.5 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.3 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.2 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $6.5 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| CMTF_3_2_1_5 | 97 | -22.9 | 55 | p | 24.1 | $7.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.9 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $6.7 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $5.1 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| CMTF_3_1_1_5 | 98 | -22.9 | 105 | p | 24.1 | $6.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.4 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $6.6 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $3.1 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| CMTF_4_1_1_5 | 109 | -22.5 | 161 | p | 24 | $6.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $3.2 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $8.3 \mathrm{E}+02$ | - | - |
| CMTF_4_2_1_5 | 109 | -22.5 | 126 | p | 24 | $6.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $6.7 \mathrm{E}+02$ | - | - |
| CMTF_4_5_1_5 | 110 | -22.5 | 80 | p | 24 | $7.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.4 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $6.5 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| CMTF_4_4_1_5 | 110 | -22.5 | 42 | p | 24 | $6.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $6.9 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $4.3 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| CMTF_4_3_1_5 | 106 | -22.6 | 32 | p | 24.1 | $6.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | - | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $7.5 \mathrm{E}+02$ | - | - |
| CMTF_5_5_1_5 | 85 | -22.9 | 80 | p | 24.3 | $6.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $3.1 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $6.9 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.2 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| CMTF_5_1_1_5 | 85 | -22.9 | 150 | p | 24.3 | $6.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.2 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $3.4 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $8.0 \mathrm{E}+02$ | - | - |
| CMTF_5_4_1_5 | 91 | -22.7 | 55 | p | 24.1 | $6.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $7.0 \mathrm{E}+02$ | - | - |
| CMTF_5_3_1_5 | 92 | -22.9 | 126 | p | 24.3 | $7.4 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.2 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $5.3 \mathrm{E}+02$ | - | - |
| CMTF_5_2_1_5 | 85 | -22.9 | 60 | p | 24.3 | $7.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $7.2 \mathrm{E}+02$ | - | - |
| CMTF_6_2_1_5 | 90 | -22.7 | 117 | p | 24.1 | $7.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $3.0 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $6.8 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| CMTF_6_1_1_5 | 90 | -22.7 | 154 | p | 24.1 | $6.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.9 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $7.1 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| CMTF_6_3_2_5 | 89 | -22.4 | 840 | p | 23.9 | $6.5 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $3.1 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $7.2 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| CMTF_7_3_1_5 | 106 | -23.1 | 216 | p | 24.4 | $7.3 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $3.5 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $5.9 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.2 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| CMTF_7_2_1_5 | 100 | -23.1 | 416 | p | 24.4 | $6.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $3.3 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $7.1 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| CMTF_7_1_1_5 | 99 | -23.1 | 322 | p | 24.4 | $6.8 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $3.0 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+04$ | - | $7.2 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - |
| CMTF_8_1_1_5 | 72 | -22.2 | 187 | S | 23.8 | $6.4 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.3 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $9.8 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.8 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - | - | - | - |
| CMTF_8_2_1_5 | 93 | -22.2 | 72 | s | 23.8 | $5.7 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $5.8 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.0 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $8.3 \mathrm{E}+01$ | - | - | - | - |

Abbreviations as follows: $s=$ secondary; $p=$ primary; - = below detection limit; nd = not determined. Detection limit for each analysis varied based on $\mathrm{Pb}=10$ 's to 100 's, and $\mathrm{Ba}=1$ 's to 10 's.

APPENDIX 2

| Sample | Th | Tm | area | Origin | NaCl eq. $\mathrm{wt} \%$ | K/Na | $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Na}$ | $\mathrm{Ba} / \mathrm{Na}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Viburnum Trend Dolomite |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MAG13A_D1_1_3 | 158 | -22.6 | 144 | p | 24.1 | $2.3 \mathrm{E}-02$ | nd | 6.2E-05 |
| MAG13A_D2_1__3 | 155 | -22.6 | 68 | p | 24.1 | $2.2 \mathrm{E}-02$ | nd | $7.0 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| MAG13A_D3_1_3 | 120 | -22.5 | 152 | p | 24 | $2.5 \mathrm{E}-02$ | nd | $8.3 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| VTSW2E_D2_1_3 | 105 | -21.2 | 70 | p | 23.2 | $2.4 \mathrm{E}-02$ | nd | $6.5 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| VTSW2F_D1_1_3 | 107 | -17.6 | 120 | p | 20.7 | $2.0 \mathrm{E}-02$ | nd | $1.9 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| VTSW2F_D2_1_3 | 129 | -22.1 | 500 | p | 23.8 | $2.7 \mathrm{E}-02$ | nd | $4.8 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| VTSW2F_D4_1__3 | 131 | -6.7 | 154 | p | 10.1 | $2.9 \mathrm{E}-02$ | nd | $2.8 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| VTSW2F_D4_2_3 | 125 | -22.4 | 44 | p | 23.9 | $2.0 \mathrm{E}-02$ | nd | $8.0 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| VTSW2F_D5_1__3 | 106 | -19.9 | 60 | p | 22.3 | $2.1 \mathrm{E}-02$ | nd | 4.2E-05 |
| WF2B_D1_1_3 | 137 | -22.6 | 66 | p | 24.1 | $2.8 \mathrm{E}-02$ | nd | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| 81V22_D1_1_4 | 135 | -22.5 | 72 | p | 24 | $2.0 \mathrm{E}-02$ | nd | $9.6 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| 81V22_D1_4_4 | 127 | -21.7 | 40 | p | 23.5 | $1.9 \mathrm{E}-02$ | nd | $2.4 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| VTSW2_D1_1_1_4 | 126 | -5.5 | 60 | p | 8.5 | $3.7 \mathrm{E}-02$ | nd | $3.7 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| VTSW2_D1_3_2_4 | 111 | -22.5 | 50 | p | 24 | $1.6 \mathrm{E}-02$ | nd | $5.4 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| VTSW2_D1_4_3_4 | 126 | -22.5 | 80 | p | 24 | $2.6 \mathrm{E}-02$ | nd | $9.9 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| VTSW2_D1_6_4_4 | 119 | -22.5 | 45 | p | 24 | $2.1 \mathrm{E}-02$ | nd | 4.2E-05 |
| VTSW2_D1_7_4_4 | 122 | -22.5 | 120 | p | 24 | $1.9 \mathrm{E}-02$ | nd | 5.5E-05 |
| VTSW2_D1_9_5_4 | 122 | -22.5 | 54 | p | 24 | $1.7 \mathrm{E}-02$ | nd | $4.6 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| VTSW2_D2_1__4 | 117 | -22.8 | 234 | p | 24.2 | $1.8 \mathrm{E}-02$ | nd | $5.3 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| VTSW2_D2_2_4 | 117 | -22.8 | 70 | p | 24.2 | $2.6 \mathrm{E}-02$ | nd | $7.6 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| VTSW2_D2_3_4 | 120 | -22.8 | 110 | p | 24.2 | $2.2 \mathrm{E}-02$ | nd | $3.8 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| VTSW2_D2_6_4 | 124 | -22.3 | 32 | p | 23.9 | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-02$ | nd | $4.4 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| WF2_D2a_1_1_6 | 193 | -22.7 | 48 | p | 24.1 | $2.9 \mathrm{E}-02$ | nd | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| Viburnum Trend Replacement Dolomite |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#7_1_1_1_6 | 117 | -20.2 | 45 | p | 22.5 | $5.9 \mathrm{E}-02$ | nd | $7.0 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| \#7_1b_2_1_6 | 118 | -20.2 | 39 | p | 22.5 | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-01$ | nd | $1.4 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| \#7_1b_3_1_6 | 111 | -20.2 | 18 | p | 22.5 | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-01$ | nd | $9.3 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| \#7_2_1_1_6 | 142 | -12.3 | 28 | p | 16.2 | $1.4 \mathrm{E}-01$ | nd | $2.8 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| \#7_4_1_1_5 | 77 | -18.7 | 40 | p | 21.5 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-01$ | nd | $1.4 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| \#7_4_2_1_5 | 100 | -18.7 | 36 | p | 21.5 | $6.7 \mathrm{E}-02$ | nd | 9.4E-05 |
| \#7_4_3_1_5 | 133 | -18.7 | 84 | p | 21.5 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-01$ | nd | $7.0 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| \#7_4_4_1_5 | 131 | -18.7 | 9 | p | 21.5 | $1.5 \mathrm{E}-01$ | nd | $4.6 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| \#7_4_1_1_6 | 126 | -19.5 | 30 | p | 22.4 | $1.2 \mathrm{E}-01$ | nd | $3.3 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| Tri-State Calcite |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TSGY2-1__2_1_2 | 68 | -4.2 | 144 | p | 6.7 | - | nd | $8.6 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| TSGY2-1__3_1_2 | 67 | -4.2 | 252 | p | 6.7 | $2.3 \mathrm{E}-02$ | nd | $5.8 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| TSGY2-1__2_1_2 | 78 | -4.2 | 104 | p | 6.7 | $1.6 \mathrm{E}-02$ | nd | $2.1 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| Tri-State Dolomite |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TSNC1-2_D2b_1__3 | 109 | -18.6 | 154 | p | 21.4 | $2.8 \mathrm{E}-02$ | nd | 4.4E-05 |
| TSNC1-2_D2b_2__3 | 129 | -18.6 | 374 | p | 21.4 | $1.6 \mathrm{E}-02$ | nd | $1.6 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| TSNC1-2_D2b_3__3 | 129 | -18.6 | 50 | p | 21.4 | $2.3 \mathrm{E}-02$ | nd | $3.5 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| TSNC1-2_D2b_4__3 | 109 | -18.6 | 120 | p | 21.4 | $2.3 \mathrm{E}-02$ | nd | $3.1 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| TSNC1-2_D3_1_3 | 120 | -22.1 | 36 | p | 23.8 | $3.5 \mathrm{E}-02$ | nd | $6.5 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| TSNC1-2_D3_2_3 | 121 | -22.1 | 56 | p | 23.8 | $1.9 \mathrm{E}-02$ | nd | $9.1 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| TSNC1-2_D3_3_3 | 124 | -22.1 | 16 | p | 23.8 | - | nd | $2.1 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| TSNC1-2_D3_4_3 | 122 | -22.1 | 180 | p | 23.8 | $2.4 \mathrm{E}-02$ | nd | $6.8 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| TSPC7-1_D1_1_3 | 132 | -22.9 | 140 | p | 24.3 | $2.5 \mathrm{E}-02$ | nd | $1.2 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| TSPC7-1_D2_1_3 | 130 | -22.9 | 160 | p | 24.3 | $3.8 \mathrm{E}-02$ | nd | 4.1E-05 |
| TSPC7-1_D4_1_3 | 123 | -22.9 | 70 | p | 24.3 | $2.7 \mathrm{E}-02$ | nd | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| TSTR1-3__D1_1_6 | 133 | -22.8 | 48 |  | 24.2 | $3.0 \mathrm{E}-02$ | nd | 9.7E-05 |
| TSTR1-3__D2_2_6 | 133 | -22.8 | 30 | p | 24.2 | $4.4 \mathrm{E}-02$ | nd | - |


| TSTR1-3__D3_3_6 | 131 | -22.8 | 84 | p | 24.2 | 2.9E-02 | nd | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TSTR1-3__D4_4_6 | 133 | -22.8 | 48 | p | 24.2 | $3.0 \mathrm{E}-02$ | nd | - |
| TSTR1-3__D5_5_6 | 109 | -13.3 | 110 | p | 17.2 | $3.0 \mathrm{E}-02$ | nd | $6.5 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| TSTR1-3__D6_6_6 | 134 | -22.8 | 288 | p | 24.2 | $3.0 \mathrm{E}-02$ | nd | $9.6 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| Northern Arkansas Dolomite |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NAMC1-4_1_1_1_2 | 142 | -22.8 | 243 | p | 24 | 2.2E-02 | nd | $3.1 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| NAMC1-4_2a_1_1_2 | 138 | -22.4 | 126 | p | 23.9 | $2.8 \mathrm{E}-02$ | nd | $4.1 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| NAMC1-4_2b_3_3_2 | 137 | -22.4 | 168 | p | 23.9 | $1.7 \mathrm{E}-02$ | nd | $2.6 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| NAEX1-7_D2_2_3 | 127 | -21.1 | 56 | p | 23.1 | $2.4 \mathrm{E}-02$ | nd | $2.6 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| NAEX1-7_D3_3__3 | 128 | -19.8 | 70 | p | 22.2 | 4.5E-02 | nd | $5.2 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| NAEX1-7_D2_6__3 | 127 | -21.1 | 60 | p | 23.1 | - | nd | $2.6 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| NAJP1-1_D4_1_3 | 133 | -21.4 | 360 | p | 23.3 | 2.4E-02 | nd | $6.8 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| NAJP1-1_D5_1_3 | 117 | -20.8 | 64 | p | 22.9 | 2.7E-02 | nd | $4.3 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| NALD1-1_D1_1__ 3 | 133 | -11.9 | 480 | p | 15.8 | $2.0 \mathrm{E}-02$ | nd | $1.7 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| NALD1-1_D2_1__3 | 119 | -11.8 | 49 | p | 15.8 | $3.1 \mathrm{E}-02$ | nd | $4.6 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| NALD1-1_D4_1__3 | 137 | -18.6 | 160 | p | 21.4 | $2.8 \mathrm{E}-02$ | nd | $1.9 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| NAPA1-1_D1_1__3 | 152 | -22.9 | 28 | p | 24.3 | $2.5 \mathrm{E}-02$ | nd | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| NAPA1-1_D3_1__3 | 148 | -22.9 | 50 | p | 24.3 | 2.3E-02 | nd | $8.5 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| NAPA1-1_D1_1__4 | 119 | -18.7 | 32 | p | 21.5 | 2.7E-02 | nd | $2.6 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| NAPA1-1_D1_2__4 | 137 | -23.2 | 24 | p | 24.5 | 2.7E-02 | nd | $9.7 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| NAPA1-1_D1_4__4 | 136 | -23.4 | 20 | p | 24.6 | - | nd | - |
| NAPA1-1_D1_6__4 | 116 | -22.8 | 25 | p | 24.2 | 1.7E-02 | nd | $2.0 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| NAPA1-1_D1_7__4 | 143 | -23.1 | 50 | p | 24.4 | $2.0 \mathrm{E}-02$ | nd | $3.4 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| NAPA1-1_D1_8__ 4 | 147 | -23.1 | 32 | p | 24.4 | 2.3E-02 | nd | $2.6 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| NAPA1-1_D1_9__ 4 | 138 | -22.8 | 50 | p | 24.2 | - | nd | $4.4 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| NAPA1-1_D1_10__4 | 134 | -22.8 | 42 | p | 24.2 | - | nd | $6.9 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| NAPA1-1_D1_11__4 | 123 | -17.7 | 54 | p | 20.7 | 2.7E-02 | nd | - |
| Central Missouri Barite |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CMBN_B1_1__6 | nd | -1.9 | 80 | p | 3.2 | 2.7E-01 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-01$ | nd |
| CMBN_B2_1_6 | nd | -1.9 | 32 | p | 3.2 | 2.2E-01 | $8.4 \mathrm{E}-02$ | nd |
| CMBN_B3_1__6 | nd | -1.9 | 273 | p | 3.2 | $2.0 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.4 \mathrm{E}-01$ | nd |
| CMTF_B1_1_6 | nd | -8.1 | 91 | p | 11.8 | 5.1E-02 | $2.1 \mathrm{E}-02$ | nd |
| CMTF_B3_1_6 | nd | -1.2 | 63 | p | 2.1 | $2.1 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $8.5 \mathrm{E}-02$ | nd |
| CMTF_B3b_1__6 | nd | -2.0 | 45 | p | 3.4 | 1.9E-01 | $1.8 \mathrm{E}-01$ | nd |
| CMTF_B4_1_6 | 133 | -0.9 | 80 | p | 1.6 | 4.0E-02 | $3.6 \mathrm{E}-02$ | nd |
| CMTF_B5_1_6 | nd | -4.4 | 192 | p | 7 | $7.1 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $7.8 \mathrm{E}-02$ | nd |
| CMGO_B1_1__6 | nd | -2.0 | nd | p | 3.4 | $1.4 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $9.8 \mathrm{E}-02$ | nd |
| CMGO_B2_2__6 | nd | -2.0 | nd | p | 3.4 | $1.5 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.2 \mathrm{E}-02$ | nd |
| CMGO_B3_3__6 | nd | -2.0 | nd | p | 3.4 | 5.5E-02 | $7.2 \mathrm{E}-02$ | nd |
| CMGO_B4_4_6 | nd | -2.0 | nd | p | 3.4 | $9.0 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $2.2 \mathrm{E}-02$ | nd |

Abbreviations as follows: $\mathrm{s}=$ secondary; $\mathrm{p}=$ primary; - = below detection limit; $\mathrm{nd}=$ not determined.
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