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#### Abstract

In the first chapter, a formal total synthesis of pseudopteroxazole is presented, highlightening an E-selective Horner-Emmons reaction, a Buchwald-Hartwig coupling, and a diastereoselective intramolecular Michael addition.

In the second chapter, the effort toward synthesizing anti-viral natural product hamigeran B is summarized. Several routes to the core structure were shown separately, including those unexpected discoveries when pursuing those routes. Tius-Nazarov cyclization was first applied in synthesizing natural product; an efficient palladiumcatalyzed oxidative intramolecular carbocyclization was realized on an $\alpha$-hydroxy enone for the first time; an interrupted Nazarov cyclization of a hydrolysis intermediate of dithiane was achieved.


## CHAPTER ONE

## FORMAL TOTAL SYNTHESIS OF PSEUDOPTEROXAZOLE

### 1.1 Introduction

It has been well recognized that the synthesis of natural products has played a significant role in the development of organic chemistry and chemistry as a whole in the past century. The richness of natural products available from terrestrial plants and waterborn plants, and the metabolites of microorganisms provide practically unlimited structures for organic synthetic chemists to work on. By striving to synthesize natural products, numerous new reagents, new methodologies, new strategies, and even new concepts are developed, enriching the textbook of organic chemistry. Apart from the applications of total synthesis of natural products to the discovery of medicines, the pure beauty of designing the strategy, the exquisiteness of manipulating materials at the molecular level, and the beauty of structural transformations are more than enough to attract numerous people to devote years of the best time of their lives to this field. Becoming a true synthetic organic chemist is also demanding: one should be, at first, a skilled technician, good at hands-on bench work, always driven by challenges; he should be an artist with a heart for beauty; also, he should be a scientist who puts integrity above everything, practices logic, and discover the truth.

As the knowledge about organic synthesis exploded during the last century, new concepts, such as Corey's retrosynthetic analysis, ${ }^{1}$ the Woodward-Hoffmann rules, ${ }^{2}$ and Baldwin's rules were formulated ${ }^{3}$; challenging natural products, such as taxol, strychnine, vitamin $\mathrm{B}_{12}$, and brevetoxin B were synthesized; bioactive small molecules were
discovered and developed into drugs that improved the quality of human health. With the introduction of modern combinatorial chemistry and high-throughput screening approaches to drug lead discovery, the importance of natural product guided approach for drug discovery has never be undermined. ${ }^{4}$ What needs to be resolved at the synthesis stage is the efficiency of the syntheses.

In the first part of this chapter, the background of a natural product synthesis project will be introduced, including the source of the natural product, its natural relatives, and their bioactivities; the total syntheses of this natural product to date; and a proposed chemical relationship of this family of natural products to be scrutinized.

The second part of this chapter will introduce the synthetic plan and the detailed synthetic efforts leading to the formal total synthesis of pseudopteroxazole. The key reactions are: a completely $E$-selective Horner-Wordsworth-Emmons (HWE) reaction, a Buchwald-Hartwig coupling, and a stereoselective intramolecular Michael addition.

### 1.1.1 Marine Natural Products from Pseudopterogorgia Elisabethae

To natural product chemists, finding a good source for isolating novel natural products is obviously a very important factor for their productivity. To that end, the gorgonian coral (sea whip) Pseudopterogorgia elisabethae did not disappoint them. ${ }^{5}$ In the genus of Pseudopterogorgia in the animal kingdom, Pseudopterogorgia elisabethae is typically found at a depth of 40 to 70 meters underwater. The animal samples used by the Rodriguez group to isolate pseudopteroxazole were collected in the eastern Caribbean sea. ${ }^{6}$

Figure 1

http://gorgonien.npage.de/pseudopterogorgia_elisabethae_neu_70837353.html

### 1.1.2 Selected Serrulatane Diterpenoids From Pseudopterogorgia Elisabethae

There are many novel carbon skeletons discovered from the natural products isolated from Pseudopterogorgia elisabethae. An excellent review by Heckrodt and Mulzer covered this topic more comprehensively. ${ }^{5}$ In the following, five skeletons are shown, with the representatives for each skeleton and bioactivities of those natural products.

First, let us look at the serrulatane skeleton (Shown in Figure 2). Nine natural products possessing serrulatane carbon skeleton were discovered, four of which are shown in Figure 2. These differ in the substitution on the aromatic ring, or as for elisabethadione, the aromatic ring is oxidized. Two of the other natural products with the serrulatane skeleton are also of higher oxidation state, indicating the presence of an oxidizing environment producing these metabolites. Erogorgiaene showed $96 \%$ growth inhibition of Mycobacterium tuberculosis, seco-pseudoptersosin glycosides showed better anti-inflammatory and analgesic activity than existing drugs in animal models. ${ }^{7}$

Figure 2
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Nine natural products isolated from Pseudopteroxazole elisabethae share the amphilectane skeleton (Figure 3). Pseudopteroxazole falls into this class of natural
products. It has shown strong inhibition effects toward tuberculosis bacteria (TB). (97\% inhibition at $12.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml})^{6}$ It is worth noting that some of them have different stereochemistry, such as pseudopterosin A-F aglycone, pseudopterosin K, L aglycone. The diversity of the stereochemistry is associated with the different collection sites of the Pseudopterogorgia elisabethae sample. The sample from which pseudopterosins G-J were isolated was collected near Bermuda Island; samples containing pseudopterosins MO were collected from Florida Keys; pseudopterosins K and L were obtained from Bahamian samples. ${ }^{8}$

Figure 3
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Elisabethin A and elisabethin D share a novel tricyclic core structure called elisabethin skeleton. (Figure 4) While the relative stereochemistry was unambiguously
determined by a single-crystal X-ray diffraction experiment, the absolute stereochemistry has not yet been determined. Though it was once thought to have been resolved by the total synthesis of elisabethin $\mathrm{A},{ }^{9}$ it was later found that the total synthesis product was not actually the natural product, but rather the epimer of elisabethin $\mathrm{A} .{ }^{10}$ We expect the total synthesis of elisabethin A to come in the future since organic synthetic chemists are always ready to embark upon the formidable challenges.

Figure 4
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Another novel diterpene skeleton is the tetracyclic elisapterane skeleton (Figure 5). Through oxidation and cyclization, a variety of secondary metabolites containing elisapterane skeleton were also produced. Elisapterosin B exhibited anti-TB activity (79\% inhibition). Elisapterosin A showed anticancer activity in vitro. ${ }^{11}$

The colombiane skeleton is represented in colombiasin A (Shown in figure 6).

Figure 5
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Figure 6
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### 1.1.3 Biosynthesis of the Common Intermediate Elisabethatriene.

The biosynthesis of this class of natural products is believed to start with geranylgeranyl phosphate, and through a serrulatane intermediate, producing a diversity of carbon skeletons and stereochemical complexities. While the sea creature Pseudopterogorgia elisabethae has been using this kind of diversity-oriented synthesis to generate metabolites for quite a long time with its enzymes, humans are still trying hard
to mimic this feat in the hope to discover more bioactive molecules that could benefit people. ${ }^{12}$

As shown in Scheme 1, the biosynthesis of pseudopterosins was determined to start with geranylgeranyl pyrophosphate. ${ }^{13}$ Elisabethatriene was found to be a product of a diterpene cyclase, based on radiolabelling experiments (Scheme 1).

## Scheme 1



The mechanism for the generation of elisabethatriene from geranylgeranyl pyrophosphate was proposed as shown in Scheme 2. Ionization of pyrophosphate yields an allylic cation, which could be trapped by an internal double bond. A series of hydride shifts generate another allylic cation at the same position, which can then react with another double bond to form a tertiary carbocation. The elimination of the terminal proton in addition to a couple of hydride shifts affords the elisabethatriene.

Scheme 2


17 Elisabethatriene

### 1.1.4 Proposed Diversity Oriented Synthesis of Serrulatane Diterpenoids

Having discussed the biosynthesis of pseudopterosin diterpenoids, biomimetic syntheses of several of the natural products from this family were proposed as an alternative to what was proposed in Mulzer's review. As shown in scheme 3, pseudopteroxazole 5 could be produced via selective enamine formation and condensation with orthoformate. Oxidation of 6 could lead to elithabethol 18, which could in turn generate elithabethin A 9, after an acyloin rearrangement. Further oxidation leads to elithabethin D 10, which, after allylic oxidation, phosphonation, and C15 allylation, yields elisapterosin D 13. Hydration of $\mathbf{1 3}$ will lead to semiketal elisapterosin A 14 and F 19. C2 allylation of 9 would generate colombiasin A 15; CAN oxidation of 9 would lead to elisapterosin B 11.


### 1.1.5 Total Synthesis of Pseudopteroxazole by the Corey Group

As early as 2001, Johnson and Corey published a total synthesis of the proposed structure of pseudopteroxazole. ${ }^{14}$ In 2003, the Corey group reported the first total synthesis of pseudopteroxazole together with three diastereomers. ${ }^{15}$ It took 19 steps to get the natural product in $7 \%$ overall yield from an abundant natural product, $(S)-(-)-$ limonene 20 (Figure 7). This total synthesis showcased the use of inexpensive chiral natural products as chiral sources to set up the stereocenters in the synthesis of natural products. An earlier application of the enantiopure (S)-(-)-limonene in total synthesis came from the same laboratory in 1998, as evidenced by their paper of the total synthesis of pseudopterosins (Figure 7). ${ }^{16}$

## Figure 7



As is illustrated in Scheme 4, starting from the readily available ( $S$ )-(-)-limonene 20, TBDPS-protected ( $8 R$ )-hydroxy ketone 25 ( $8 \mathrm{R}: 8 \mathrm{~S}=99: 1$ from HPLC analysis of their corresponding derivatives) was obtained through hydroboration followed by oxidation, selective oxidation of secondary alcohol, diastereoselective acetylation catalyzed by Amano PS lipase, and protection with a tert-butyl-diphenylsilyl group. Next,

## Scheme 4



kinetic deprotonation with LDA followed by trapping with TMSCl led to an enol silyl ether, which underwent a Mukaiyama-type Michael addition with enone 26, giving a $61 \%$ yield of 27 as a mixture of diastereomers (about 1:1 ratio). An intramolecular aldol
reaction followed by elimination of the tertiary hydroxyl group led to the net Robinson annulation product 28 in $69 \%$ yield. Oxime formation followed by acylation gave the oxime pivalate diastereomers 29, which were aromatized under modified Wolff-Semmler conditions by heating with a stoichiometric amount of acetyl chloride in toluene in a sealed reaction vessel. Thus, the two diastereomers were converged to one aromatic compound 30. Deprotection of the benzyl group freed the phenol, which was treated with carbonyldiimidazole to form the cyclic carbamate 31. After hydrolysis of the carbamate, the TBDPS group was removed with a hydrofluoric acid-pyridine complex. Perruthenatecatalyzed oxidation led to the aldehyde, which reacted under Wittig-Vedejs $E$-selective olefination conditions to produce the diene 32, setting up the stage for the key cationic cyclization to form 33 .

It is interesting to note that, in this catalytic cyclization, changing the solvent from acetic acid to dichloromethane completely reversed the diastereoselectivity. In order to explain this phenomenon, they proposed two transition states for the cationic cyclization (Scheme 5). First, the protonation of the conjugated diene 32 gave an allylic cation 32a. Then, the road diverges. One path leads to a six-membered ring transition state 32b, affording the undesired diastereomer 33b; the other is through a five-membered ring transition state 32c, leading to the other diastereomer 33a. Presumably, when dichloromethane is used as the solvent, the oxygen is a better electron donor to the aromatic ring system than the nitrogen in the cyclic carbamate, activating the paraposition of the aromatic ring (C14), forming the six-membered ring transition state. Rearomatization leads to the diastereomer 33b. When acetic acid is used as the solvent, it is proposed to serve as a hydrogen bond acceptor, stabilizing the transition state in which
the nitrogen atom is a better electron donor (32c). The nitrogen atom activates the paraposition relative to the nitrogen (C1), leading to the five-member ring transition state 32c, which after rearomatization affords the other diastereomer 33a.

Scheme 5



In other experiments with the corresponding mesylate (34) or triflate, the diastereomer 35, generated from the oxygen-activated route was formed in a greater than 20:1 ratio, in either dichloromethane or acetic acid (Scheme 6). Keeping in mind that the methanesulfonyl group, being strongly electron withdrawing, should attenuate the electron donating ability of the oxygen atom, the acylated nitrogen atom failed in competing with the oxygen in activating the aromatic ring. Combined with the result of the cyclic carbamate 32, it proves that the planarity of the cyclic carbamate enables the nitrogen's lone electron pair to be perpendicular with the aromatic ring, which is crucial for its ability to activate the aromatic ring.

## Scheme 6



Having discussed this cationic cyclization, another set of examples should not be overlooked. In the paper about the total synthesis of pseudopterosins from the Corey group, the diastereoselectivity was switched, by switching the mesyl group to the TBS group (Scheme 7). Considering the different electronic properties of the two functional groups, the mesyl group being strongly electron withdrawing while TBS group being electron donating, the stereoselectivity can be rationalized based on different oxygen atoms serving as the predominant activating group. ${ }^{17}$

## Scheme 7
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After this biomimetic cyclization, acylation of the free NH with $\mathrm{Boc}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, cleavage of the cyclic carbamate, and treatment with trifluoroacetic acid and triethyl orthoformate gave the desired natural product pseudopteroxazole 5, confirming the absolute structure of this natural product at the same time.

### 1.1.6 Total Synthesis of Pseudopteroxazole by the Harmata Group

The second total synthesis of pseudopteroxazole came from our group in a couple of communications reported in 2004 and 2005. ${ }^{18}$ In the first communication, Harmata and Hong applied the methodology they developed and published in 2003, ${ }^{19}$ the intramolecular Michael addition of sulfoximine carbanions to $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated esters, to the synthesis of an intermediate for the total synthesis of pseudopteroxazole, which is essentially a benzothiazine analog of pseudopteroxazole. This endeavor proved the fidelity of the methodology in setting up the benzylic stereocenters diastereoselectively.

In this world of organometallic catalysis, organocatalysis, and enzymatic catalysis, auxiliary-controlled stereoselectivity is overlooked due to its intrinsic lack of step and atom economy. But when it comes to achieving as high stereoselectivity as nature does, ( $100 \%$ d.r. within detection limit) developing this unique chiral sulfoximine auxiliary-controlled intramolecular Michael addition is irresistible. What is more, the stability, the stereoregidity, the hydrophilicity, and being the bioisostere of the ester hydrolysis intermediate render the compounds containing this functional group more added values, with respect to the discovery of new medicines at least. ${ }^{20}$

Scheme 8


As shown in Scheme 8, the synthesis began with a known substituted orthobromocinnamate 40, which can be made from commercially available anisole in five steps. ( $R$ )- $N$-methyl- $N$-phenylsulfoximine 41 was coupled to the ortho-bromocinnamate 40 via a Buchwald-Hartwig coupling reaction. Treatment with two equivalents of lithium diisopropylamide, followed by kinetic protonation, led to the Michael addition product 43 as 10:1 ratio of two diastereomers. Although there could be up to four diastereomeric products produced from this reaction, as there are two new stereogenic centers formed, there were only two diastereomers formed with diastereomeric ratio being ten to one, as determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ analysis. This application of the intramolecular Michael addition
of a sulfoximine stabilized carbanion to an unsaturated ester not only provided complete stereocontrol over the benzylic position, but also expanded the power of the reaction to the control of the stereogenic center next to the benzylic position. Although the reaction is highly stereoselective, it generated the products favoring the diastereomer with the wrong stereochemistry.

Nevertheless, Harmata and Hong pushed the mixture of products to the end of the total synthesis with great dedication and stamina. Lithium aluminum hydride reduction and Swern oxidation transformed the ethyl ester $\mathbf{4 3}$ to the aldehyde 44 in $67 \%$ yield. With prolonged reaction time in the presence of base, the mixture of two diastereomers underwent epimerization, giving another mixture of diastereomers favoring the one with the correct stereochemistry as the thermodynamically more stable aldehyde. To make the dienyl branch, a Wittig-Vedejs $E$-selective olefination was applied to this mixture of benzothiazines, generating a $52 \%$ yield of the diastereomer 46 with the required stereochemistry and a $33 \%$ yield of one with the wrong stereochemistry. These were separated by flash chromatography.

Scheme 9


The next step was a cationic cyclization, forming the six-membered ring with complete diastereoselectivity (Scheme 9). Assuming the nitrogen is a better electron donor, the cationic cyclization was rationalized by the steric hindrance between the S phenyl group and the allylic cation through a five-membered ring transition state (46a). It was the first report on this type of cationic cyclization of benzothiazine. The diastereoselectivity was completely reversed if the oxygen is a better electron donor and the cationic cyclization processed through a six-membered ring transition state based on Corey's studies (Scheme 7, from 36 to 37). ${ }^{21}$

Scheme 10


The second report from our group detailed the total synthesis of pseudopteroxazole in nine steps from the tricyclic benzothiazine 47 (Scheme 10). First, the benzothiazine 47 was deprotonated by LiHMDS diastereoselectively, presumably by the direction of the oxygen on the sulfur through lithium cation. Then the carbanion was trapped by allyl bromide, giving a quantitative yield of the allylated product 48 in diastereopure form. Next, sodium amalgam cleaved the sulfur-carbon bond, producing the aniline 49 in $92 \%$ yield. The aniline 49 was efficiently transformed to the corresponding iodide 51 through a 1-aryl-3,3-diethyltriazene intermediate 50. The last ring was formed by an innovative intramolecular Heck coupling. The next step is another highlight of this total synthesis, the regioselective and diastereoselective homogeneous hydrogenation catalyzed by an iridium catalyst 53. In this case, both the catalyst and the structure of the molecule worked together to enable the high regioselectivity and stereoselectivity of this hydrogenation in a near perfect fashion. Later, it was found that the rigidity of the tricycle is crucial for the selectivity. ${ }^{22}$ After deprotection of the methoxy group by in situ generated NaSEt in refluxing DMF, nitration yielded the nitro phenol 56, which was reduced and treated with methyl orthoformate to give the natural product pseudopteroxazole 5.

### 1.2 Formal Total Synthesis of Pseudopteroxazole

From the review of the first generation of total synthesis of pseudopteroxazole, the innate ability to control stereoselectivity by a sulfoximine as a chiral auxiliary was clearly demonstrated in the intramolecular Michael addition, which set up two of the four stereocenters contained in pseudopteroxazole. The preference for the wrong
diastereomer, however, diminished the usefulness of that synthetic route. Through strategic design, a new approach was proposed to ameliorate the drawback of the first total synthesis of pseudopteroxazole.

### 1.2.1 Synthetic Plan

Bearing in mind the major pitfall of the first generation of total synthesis being the highly diastereoselective intramolecular Michael addition favoring the diastereomer with the wrong stereochemistry, the methyl group on C-3 (pseudopteroxazole numbering) was changed to an ester group as a surrogate. Though reducing an ester to an alkane has been shown to be quite facile in a similar system, ${ }^{22}$ a subtle change of the structure rendered this reduction process quite challenging, as will be discussed later. Another strategic change was made in the pursuit of better efficiency of the total synthesis. The diene branch was planned to be installed prior to the Buchwald-Hartwig coupling reaction. Though there are many "philosophic concepts" about the economy in total synthesis in the modern literature world, ${ }^{23}$ in academic environment, the value of a good total synthesis can always be appreciated by its aesthetically pleasing transformations perceived by the mind through drawings on the paper, or more and more frequently, on a screen; and by its ease to perform, and hence the satisfying feelings arising from it, by the practitioners in the laboratory. To access the starting material $\mathbf{5 8}$ for the key reaction (intramolecular Michael addition), a two-step sequence (Horner-Wadsworth-Emmons reaction and Buchwald- Hartwig coupling) was designed to merge three relatively simple starting materials together convergently (Scheme 11).

Scheme 11
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41

### 1.2.2 Preparation of Coupling Partners

The $(R)-(-)$ - $S$-methyl- $S$-phenylsulfoximine 41 was prepared according to the published procedure. ${ }^{24}$ Another coupling partner, 2-bromo-3-methoxy-5methylbenzaldehyde $\mathbf{6 0}$ was made following Koyama and Kamikawa's protocol. ${ }^{25}$ The isopropyl dienonate $\mathbf{5 9}$ was synthesized through a modified Minami procedure in four to five steps, depending on which starting material used (Shown in Scheme 12). ${ }^{26}$

## Scheme 12
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3-Methyl-2-buten-1-al 61 could be purchased from Acros at a price of $\$ 180.6 / 25$ mL , or it could be synthesized directly from 3-methyl-2-buten-1-ol ( $\$ 55 / \mathrm{kg}$ ) by pyridinium chlorochromate oxidation. We used 3-methyl-2-buten-1-ol as the initial starting material most of the time, for economic reasons. However, 3-methyl-2-buten-1-al 61 is very volatile, in spite of a relatively high boiling point $\left(132-133^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$, and a significant amount of material was lost after distillation. Therefore, the aldehyde starting material 61 was used as a solution in dichloromethane in most cases. As for the Knoevenagel condensation between the allylic aldehyde 61 and the trimethyl phosphonate 62, titanium isopropoxide was chosen as the Lewis acid instead of titanium chloride used by Minami and his coworkers. ${ }^{26,27}$ The next step was a regioselective cyclopropane formation, followed by pyrolysis of the cyclopropane intermediate to the homologated isopropyl ester 59.

After a cursory screening of conditions, 2-bromo-3-methoxy-5methylbenzaldehyde $\mathbf{6 0}$ was coupled with the dienoate $\mathbf{5 9}$ under very mild conditions, barium hydroxide in THF and water at room temperature. This generated the coupled product 64 in $84 \%$ to $98 \%$ yield, with complete $E$-selectivity (Scheme 13).

Scheme 13


### 1.2.3 Buchwald-Hartwig Coupling and the Intramolecular Michael Addition

Aware of the possibility of an intramolecular Heck reaction, ${ }^{28}$ we coupled the aryl bromide 64 with $(R)-(-)-S$-methyl-S-phenylsulfoximine 41 through a Buchwald-Hartwig reaction. Gratifyingly, the Buchwald-Hartwig coupling product $\mathbf{5 8}$ was produced with up to $81 \%$ yield. ${ }^{29}$ Only trace amounts of the fluorescent Heck product $\mathbf{6 5}$ was formed, demonstrating the high selectivity of this catalyst system (Scheme 14).

## Scheme 14



The highlight of this synthetic route would be the intramolecular Michael addition to generate chiral benzothiazine 57 with two contiguous chiral centers. At $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, two equivalents of lithium hexamethyldisilamide in THF solution was added to a solution of starting material 58 in THF. After TLC analysis showed the complete consumption of starting material, the reaction mixture was quenched by slowly adding a pre-cooled HCl solution in MeOH . This reaction was clean and diastereoselective, favoring the product 57 with the right stereochemistry which was separated from 57 with flash chromatography (Scheme 15).

## Scheme 15



## Scheme 16
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The stereocontrol over the benzylic position was consistent with all other related examples. ${ }^{18 a, 19}$ The stereoselectivity could be rationalized based on the steric interactions in the transition state, or it could be conceived as an oxygen-directed kinetic deprotonation of the $\alpha$-carbon next to sulfur. Though the sigma bond connecting the $\alpha$ carbon and sulfur could rotate freely, the chelation between the oxygen, lithium, and nitrogen could restrict the rotation about the sigma bond, forcing the Michael accepter approaching from the bottom face to react with the carbanion (Scheme 16). The
diastereoselectivity on the C-3 could be rationalized as the result of kinetic protonation from the $R e$ face, since the $S i$ face of the enolate was hindered by the aromatic system.

### 1.2.4 End Game of Formal Total Synthesis of Pseudopteroxazole

Now, what needed to be done was reduction of the isopropyl ester 57 to the 47 . There are a lot of tactics to furnish this transformation in organic chemists' arsenal. ${ }^{30}$ However, this seemingly simple functional group transformation proved to be quite troublesome in this circumstance. First, after a cursory screening of reductants, DIBAL gave the best yield ( $88 \%$ ) of the alcohol 66, though in some cases, a variable amount of the aniline product 67 was isolated as byproduct (Scheme 17).

Scheme 17


A similar byproduct was identified by reducing an analogous benzothiazine with LAH. A proposed mechanism for the formation of the chiral tetrahydrofuran is shown in Scheme $18 .{ }^{31}$ First, the isopropyl ester $\mathbf{5 7}$ was reduced to alkoxide by DIBAL; hydride reduction of the benzothiazine followed by elimination of hydrogen led to sulfoxide intermediate. The sulfoxide underwent a Pummerer rearrangement; elimination of the oxygen on the sulfur atom formed a sulfonium intermediate, which was trapped intramolecularly by the alkoxide to form the tetrahydrofuran product 67 .

## Scheme 18



Having acquired the alcohol 66, the last move now was to deoxygenate 66 to the 47. The first strategy coming to mind for this transformation was converting the hydroxy group to a good leaving group, followed by hydride reduction. Thus, iodination of the alcohol 66 afforded the corresponding iodide 69 in rather low yield with a major byproduct 70 (Scheme 19).

Initially, the structure of this cyclopropane was established based on NMR study (Figure 8). The chemical shifts in the high field from $\delta 1.7$ to $\delta 0.7$ and the coupling pattern were typical for cyclopropanes. It is known that for cyclopropanes, the coupling constant between the two geminal hydrogens of the cyclopropanes is 5 Hz , while the coupling constants of the vicinal hydrogens are from 4 Hz to 5 Hz for transcyclopropanes and 8 Hz to 9 Hz for cis-cyclopropanes. ${ }^{32}$ The chemical shifts and coupling constants for hydrogens on $\mathrm{C} 10, \mathrm{C} 9, \mathrm{C} 16 \mathrm{a}$, and C 16 b are: $\delta 1.64$ (dddd, $\mathrm{J}=4.5$, $4.5,8.5,8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.04(\mathrm{dddd}, \mathrm{J}=4,4,9,9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.85(\mathrm{ddd}, \mathrm{J}=5,5.5,8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 0.76 (ddd, $\mathrm{J}=4.5,5,8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$ (Figure 9). The coupling constant between C 10 and C 9 is
about 5 Hz . This is in accordance with trans-cyclopropanes. This cyclopropane is stable at room temperature open to air for at least one year. And we were able to get the single crystal and thus the X-ray crystallography of 70, which confirmed the trans-cyclopropane structure (Scheme 19).
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Next mesylation was tried with success, giving the mesylate 68 in a $79 \%$ yield with trace amount of the same byproduct 70 as in the iodination (Scheme 20). Then, the mesylate 68 was treated with excess amount of NaI in acetone, in order to be transformed to the iodide 69. However, it led to a mixture of the iodide 69 and again the same
byproduct 70. When the crude mesylate $\mathbf{6 8}$ was used directly for the iodination reaction, $42 \%$ of $\mathbf{6 9}$ and $42 \%$ of 70 were obtained (Scheme 20).

## Scheme 20




Though it was not the product we expected, the enantiopure cyclopropane compound 70 could be obtained in high yield, simply by treating the mesylate $\mathbf{6 8}$ with four equivalents of imidazole in refluxing acetone (Scheme 21).

## Scheme 21



A working mechanism was proposed to rationalize the high stereoselectivity of this cationic cyclopropanation reaction. An ionization mechanism was unlikely due to the high energy of primary carbocation. An intramolecular $\mathrm{SN}_{2}$ reaction of the mesylate $\mathbf{6 8}$ generated an allylic carbocation. Elimination by imidazole led to the final product 70. The stereoselectivity was presumably the result of a strong 1,3-allylic strain between the benzothiazine group and the allylic hydrogen (Scheme 22).

Scheme 22


Finally, the mesylate 68 was reduced with a combination of lithium iodide and super hydride, giving up to a $79 \%$ yield of the deoxygenated product 47 . This reduction presumably involved the in situ formation of the corresponding iodide, followed by reduction by super hydride (Scheme 23).

Scheme 23


### 1.3 Concluding Remarks and Outlook

A convergent and diastereoselective formal total synthesis of pseudopteroxazole was achieved, once again demonstrated by the fidelity of the stereocontrol of chiral sulfoximine on the benzylic position (Scheme 24). This was the third report on synthesis of anti-TB natural product pseudopteroxazole. The newly developed synthetic route corrected the diastereoselectivity issue from the first total synthesis of pseudopteroxazole reported from our group. At the same time, it is more efficient and more step economic than our first synthesis. What is noteworthy in this sequence is the completely $E$-selective HWE reaction, the Buchwald-Hartwig coupling between a triene and sulfoximine, the highly diastereoselective intramolecular Michael addition, and the super hydride reduction of mesylate influenced by lithium iodide. During the synthesis progress, a diastereoselective formation of a tetrahydrofuran and a diastereoselective cyclopropane formation were also discovered, opening up opportunity to explore more new reactivity of chiral benzothiazine.

The drawback of this methodology is the same as all the others using chiral auxiliaries to control stereochemistry, poor atom economy and step economy. However, from another point of view, it provided the opportunity to make sulfoximine-substituted analogs of the natural products, which would certainly change their pharmacological properties. This should need to be tested.

## Scheme 24





### 1.4 References

1. (a) Corey, E. J., Robert Robinson Lecture. Retrosynthetic thinking-essentials and examples. Chem. Soc. Rev. 1988, 17, 111-133; (b) Corey, E. J., The Logic of Chemical Synthesis: Multistep Synthesis of Complex Carbogenic Molecules (Nobel Lecture).
Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 1991, 30, 455-465.
2. Woodward, R. B.; Hoffmann, R., Stereochemistry of Electrocyclic Reactions. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1965, 87, 395-397.
3. Baldwin, J. E., Rules for ring closure. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Comm. 1976, 18, 734-736.
4. Koehn, F. E.; Carter, G. T., The evolving role of natural products in drug discovery. Nat. Rev. Drug. Discov. 2005, 4, 206-220.
5. Heckrodt, T. J.; Mulzer, J., Marine Natural Products from Pseudopterogorgia elisabethae: Structures, Biosynthesis, Pharmacology, and Total Synthesis. In Natural Products Synthesis II, Mulzer, J., Ed. Springer Berlin / Heidelberg: 2005; Vol. 244, pp 141.
6. Rodriguez, A. D.; Ramirez, C.; Rodriguez, II; Gonzalez, E., Novel antimycobacterial benzoxazole alkaloids, from the west Indian Sea whip Pseudopterogorgia elisabethae. Org. Lett. 1999, 1, 527-530.
7. Look, S. A.; Fenical, W.; Jacobs, R. S.; Clardy, J., The pseudopterosins: antiinflammatory and analgesic natural products from the sea whip Pseudopterogorgia elisabethae. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 1986, 83, 6238-6240.
8. (a) Ata, A.; Kerr, R. G.; Moya, C. E.; Jacobs, R. S., Identification of Antiinflammatory Diterpenes from the Marine Gorgonian Pseudopterogorgia elisabethae. Chem. Inform. 2003, 34, 1522-2667; (b) Roussis, V.; Wu, Z.; Fenical, W.; Strobel, S. A.; Van Duyne, G. D.; Clardy, J., New anti-inflammatory pseudopterosins from the marine octocoral Pseudopterogorgia elisabethae. J. Org. Chem. 1990, 55, 4916-4922.
9. (a) Waizumi, N.; Stankovic, A. R.; Rawal, V. H., A General Strategy to Elisabethane Diterpenes: Stereocontrolled Synthesis of Elisapterosin B via Oxidative Cyclization of an Elisabethin Precursor. J. Am, Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 13022-13023; (b) Heckrodt, T. J.; Mulzer, J., Total Synthesis of Elisabethin A: Intramolecular Diels-Alder Reaction under Biomimetic Conditions. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 4680-4681.
10. Zanoni, G.; Franzini, M., Elisabethin A: A Marine Diterpenoid Yet To Surrender to Total Synthesis. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 4837-4841.
11. Rodríguez, A. D.; Ramírez, C.; Rodríguez, I. I.; Barnes, C. L., Novel Terpenoids from the West Indian Sea Whip Pseudopterogorgia elisabethae (Bayer). Elisapterosins A
and B: Rearranged Diterpenes Possessing an Unprecedented Cagelike Framework1. J. Org. Chem. 2000, 65, 1390-1398.
12. (a) Galloway, W. R. J. D.; Isidro-Llobet, A.; Spring, D. R., Diversity-oriented synthesis as a tool for the discovery of novel biologically active small molecules. Nat. Commun. 2010, l, 80; (b) Spandl, R. J.; Bender, A.; Spring, D. R., Diversity-oriented synthesis; a spectrum of approaches and results. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2008, 6, 1149-1158;
(c) Tan, D. S., Diversity-oriented synthesis: exploring the intersections between chemistry and biology. Nat. Chem. Biol. 2005, 1, 74-84.
13. Kerr, R.; Kohl, A.; Ferns, T., Elucidation of the biosynthetic origin of the antiinflammatory pseudopterosins. J. Ind. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 2006, 33, 532-538.
14. Johnson, T. W.; Corey, E. J., Enantiospecific synthesis of the proposed structure of the antitubercular marine diterpenoid pseudopteroxazole: revision of stereochemistry. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 4475-9.
15. Davidson, J. P.; Corey, E. J., First enantiospecific total synthesis of the antitubercular marine natural product pseudopteroxazole. Revision of assigned stereochemistry. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 13486-9.
16. Corey, E. J.; Lazerwith, S. E., A Direct and Efficient Stereocontrolled Synthetic Route to the Pseudopterosins, Potent Marine Antiinflammatory Agents. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 12777-12782.
17. The drawn product for each reaction were the major diastereomer formed: for the mesylate, the d.r. is $25: 1$; for the TBS protected, the d.r. is $8: 1$.
18. (a) Harmata, M.; Hong, X.; Barnes, C. L., Benzothiazines in synthesis. Toward the synthesis of pseudopteroxazole. Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 2201-2203; (b) Harmata, M.; Hong, X., Benzothiazines in synthesis. A total synthesis of pseudopteroxazole. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 3581-3583.
19. Harmata, M.; Hong, X., The intramolecular, stereoselective addition of sulfoximine carbanions to alpha,beta-unsaturated esters. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 5754-6.
20. (a) Walker, D. P.; Zawistoski, M. P.; McGlynn, M. A.; Li, J.-C.; Kung, D. W.; Bonnette, P. C.; Baumann, A.; Buckbinder, L.; Houser, J. A.; Boer, J.; Mistry, A.; Han, S.; Guzman-Perez, L. X.; Angel, Sulfoximine-substituted trifluoromethylpyrimidine analogs as inhibitors of proline-rich tyrosine kinase 2 (PYK2) show reduced hERG activity. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2009, 19, 3253-3258; (b) Meanwell, N. A., Synopsis of Some Recent Tactical Application of Bioisosteres in Drug Design. J. Med. Chem. 2011, 2529-2591; (c) Reggelin, M.; Zur, C., Sulfoximines: Structures, properties and synthetic applications. Synthesis 2000, 1-64; (d) Lua, D.; Vince, R., Discovery of potent HIV-1 protease inhibitors incorporating sulfoximine functionality. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2007, 17, 5614-5619; (e) Lu, D.; Sham, Y. Y.; Vince, R., Design, asymmetric
synthesis and evaluation of pseudosymmetric sulfoximine inhibitors against HIV1 protease. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 2010, 18, 2037-2048; (f) Raza, A.; Sham, Y. Y.; Vince, R., Design and synthesis of sulfoximine based inhibitors for HIV-1 protease. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2008, 18, 5406-5410.
21. Refer to the above discussion about E. J. Corey's total synthesis of pseudopteroxazole.
22. Zheng, M. H. a. P., Benzothiazines in Organic Synthesis. An Approach to the Synthesis of seco-Pseudopteroxazole. Heterocycles 2009, 77, 12.
23. (a) Burns, N. Z.; Baran, P. S.; Hoffmann, R. W., Redox economy in organic synthesis. Angew Chem Int Ed Engl 2009, 48, 2854-67; (b) Trost, B. M., The atom economy--a search for synthetic efficiency. Science 1991, 254, 1471-1477; (c) Trost, B. M., On inventing reactions for atom economy. Acc. Chem. Res. 2002, 35, 695-705; (d) Wender, P. A.; Verma, V. A.; Paxton, T. J.; Pillow, T. H., Function-oriented synthesis, step economy, and drug design. Acc. Chem. Res. 2008, 41, 40-49.
24. Though the price of $(R)-(-)-S$-methyl- $S$-phenylsulfoximine is 217.5 USD per gram from Aldrich, multi-gram scale production of it is an entering level task in our lab.
25. Koyama, H.; Kamikawa, T., Total syntheses of $O^{4}, O^{9}$-dimethylstealthins A and C. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1998, 1, 203-210.
26. Minami, T.; Tokumasu, S.; Mimasu, R.; Hirao, I., Cycloaddition of Diazomethane to Butadienylphosphonates. A New Approach to Functionalized Pentadienylphosphonates and Pyrazoles. Chem. Lett. 1985, 14, 1099-1102.
27. The deconjugated isomer was formed before the acidic treatment, which isomerized the isomer and transesterified it to the final isopropyl ester product.
28. (a) Link, J. T., The Intramolecular Heck Reaction. John Wiley \& Sons, Inc.: 2004; (b) Dounay, A. B.; Overman, L. E., The Asymmetric Intramolecular Heck Reaction in Natural Product Total Synthesis. Chem. Rev. 2003, 103, 2945-2964.
29. (a) Harmata, M.; Pavri, N., A One-Pot, One-Operation 3+3 Annulation Approach to Benzothiazines. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1999, 38, 2419-2421; (b) Bolm, C.;
Hildebrand, J. P., Palladium-catalyzed $N$-Arylation of Sulfoximines with Aryl Bromides and Aryl Iodides. J. Org. Chem. 2000, 65, 169-175; (c) Bolm, C.; Hildebrand, J. P., Palladium-Catalyzed Carbon-Nitrogen Bond Formation: A Novel Catalytic Approach Towards N -Arylated Sulfoximines. Tetrahedron Lett. 1998, 39, 5731-5734.
30. Larock, R. C., Comprehensive Organic Transformations - A Guide to Functional Group Preparations 2nd Edition. 1999.
31. Zheng, P. PhD. Thesis, University of Missouri-Columbia, 2007.
32. Hutton, H. S., Schaefer, T., Proton Coupling Constants in Substituted Cyclopropanes. Can. J. Chem. 1963, 41, 684-689.
33. Hong, X. PhD. Thesis, University of Missouri-Columbia, 2005.

### 1.5 Experimental Section

## General Information:

All air and moisture sensitive reactions were carried out in flame-dried glassware under an argon or nitrogen atmosphere. Reactive liquid reagents (LHMDS, etc.) were measured and transferred by gastight syringes through rubber septa. Tetrahydrofuran (THF) was freshly distilled over sodium benzophenone kytyl. Toluene was distilled from $\mathrm{CaH}_{2}$. The reaction mixture was concentrated by using a rotary evaporator attached to a water aspirator. Residue solvents were usually removed under reduced pressure using vacuum pump (approximately 1 mm Hg ).

Flash chromatographic separations were carried out on silica gel (230-400 mesh) with ACS reagent grade solvents. Analytical thin layer chromatography was performed on glass-backed silica gel plates with F254 indicator. Compounds were visualized under UV light or by developing in iodine, vanillin, phosphomolybdic acid solution or with potassium permanganate solution followed by heating in a hot plate to approximately $350^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Melting points were determined with a melting point apparatus.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were recorded in Fourier transform mode at 250,300 or 500 MHz , respectively, as $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solutions with tetramethylsilane ( $\delta=0 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) as the internal standard. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded on the same instruments at $62.5,75$ or 125 MHz , respectively, with $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}(\delta=77 \mathrm{ppm})$ as the internal reference. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectra were recorded on the same instruments at 101 MHz , respectively, with $85 \% \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ ( $\delta=0$ $\mathrm{ppm})$ as the external standard. Chemical shifts ( $\delta$ ) were reported in parts per million (ppm). Multiplicities were reported as s (singlet), b (broad), d (doublet), t (triplet), q
(quartet), $m$ (multiplet), and dd (doublet of doublet), etc. In ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of diastereomeric mixtures, the signals for individual isomers were reported when possible. Infrared spectra were recorded on an FT-IR spectrometer. Optical rotations were recorded on a polarimeter with sodium D line at the temperatures as indicated in the experimental for specific compounds. High resolution mass spectra were obtained on a magnetic sector instrument with a resolution greater than 10,000.

(E/Z)-isopropyl 2-(dimethoxyphosphoryl)-5-methylhexa-2,4-dienoate (63): A mixture of 3-methylbut-2-enal $\mathbf{6 1}(5 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.065 \mathrm{~mol})$ and trimethyl phosphonoacetate $\mathbf{6 2}$ (5.26 $\mathrm{mL}, 0.033 \mathrm{~mol})$ in THF ( 300 mL ) with molecular sieves $(4 \AA$ ) was placed in a 1 L roundbottom flask under an argon atmosphere. To this solution, $\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{O}^{i}{ }^{\mathrm{Pr}}\right)_{4}(29 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.098 \mathrm{~mol})$ was added. Then TEA ( $17 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.13 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was added over 30 min , and the mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 7 h . The reaction mixture was poured into 1 N HCl and vigorously stirred at rt for 1 h . It was extracted by ethyl acetate ( 3 x 300 mL ) and the extract was washed with 300 mL saturated sodium bicarbonate solution and 300 mL brine. The organic layer was dried with anhydrous sodium sulfate and the solvent was evaporated, affording the product as a mixture of $E / Z$ (2:1) isomers ( $5.82 \mathrm{~g}, 92 \%$ ). ( $Z$ )-isomer: IR (neat): 2978, 2953, 2848, 1699, 1618, 1564, 1250, 1025, $829 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500\right.$ $\mathrm{MHz}) \delta 8.20(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=12.5,44.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.22(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=1.0,12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.12$ (septet, 1 $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.00(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.98(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.30(\mathrm{~d}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 165.9(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 155.2(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 152.9$
$(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 122.4(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 115.6(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=186.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 68.7,52.6(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 27.6, $21.7(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 19.0$; HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{PNa}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$299.1019; Found: 299.1006; ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 250 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 23.4\left(85 \% \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}\right.$ as external standard).
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(E)-isopropyl 2-(dimethoxyphosphoryl)-6-methylhepta-3,5-dienoate (59): To a solution of $\mathbf{6 3}(4.86 \mathrm{~g}, 0.018 \mathrm{~mol})$ in ether $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ in a 50 mL round-bottom flask, a 0.5 M diazomethane solution in ether $(0.088 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.045 \mathrm{~mol})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added dropwise. The reaction was warmed to rt and stirred for 12 h . After the reaction was complete, the solvent was evaporated and the crude product was heated at $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . After flash chromatography with $50 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexanes, colorless oil $(4.54 \mathrm{~g}, 84 \%$ for two steps) was obtained, the product 59 having only an $(E)$ configuration. IR (neat): 2983, $2851,1728,1450,1262,1102,1025,829,796 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 6.44$ (ddd, 1H, J = 5.0, 11.0, 15.5 Hz ), $5.85(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.65(\mathrm{ddd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.0,9.5$, $16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.07($ septet, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.81(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.79(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=11.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 3.75(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=9.5,24.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.76(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.28(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $1.26(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 167.0(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 136.9(\mathrm{~d}$, $\mathrm{J}=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 131.9(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 124.0(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 118.5(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 69.2$, $53.7(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 53.4(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 50.6,49.6(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=130.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 25.8$, $21.5(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 18.2$; HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{PNa}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} 313.1175$; Found: 313.1171.

(2E, 3E)-Isopropyl 2-(2-bromo-3-methoxy-5-methylbenzylidene)-6-methylhepta-3,5dienoate (64): To a solution of $o$-bromoaldehyde $60(2.22 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol})$ and phosphonoacetate $59(3.43 \mathrm{~g}, 12 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 120 mL THF and 6 mL of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{Ba}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ $(7.35 \mathrm{~g}, 43 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added in portions with vigorous stirring at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 10 min , the reaction was allowed to reach rt and was diluted with $200 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{CH} 2 \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. It was washed with $1 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and $1 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ brine. It was dried with $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered through Celite and concentrated in vacuo. After flash chromatography ( $1 \%$ TEA, $10 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexane), 3.2 g ( $84 \%$ ) of the bromo ester 64 was obtained as a viscous oil. IR (neat): 2974, 2930, 1714, 1234, $1096 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300\right.$ $\mathrm{MHz}) \delta 7.33(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.16(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=11.0,15.6), 6.79(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.67(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.22(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{J}=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.82(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.22($ septet, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.90(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.33$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.79(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.38(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.36(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta$ 167.0, $155.8,138.3,137.6,137.4,135.8,132.2,131.8,126.2,123.9,122.2,112.2,110.1,68.4$, 56.2, 26.2, 21.8, 21.4, 18.6; HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{BrNa}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} 415.0879$; Found: 415.0875.


58: A 100 mL round bottom flask with condenser was charged with palladium acetate (15 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.065 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), rac-BINAP ( $60 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), in 35 mL toluene. The mixture was stirred for 15 min at room temperature. The bromo ester $64510 \mathrm{mg}(0.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $(R)$ $41220 \mathrm{mg}(0.77 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 5 mL toluene was added, followed by addition of $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ $(1.17 \mathrm{~g}, 2 \mathrm{mmol})$. It was refluxed at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . Then it was diluted with 40 mL $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, filtered through Celite, which was washed with $3 \mathrm{x} 50 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, and concentrated in vacuo. After flash chromatography ( $25 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexanes), 491 mg (81\%) of 58 was obtained as pale yellow semisolid. IR (film): 3064, 2974, 2925, $1703,1560,1454,1336,1270,1233,1094,735 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 8.00$ $(\mathrm{dd}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=1.5,10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.77(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.56-7.50(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=11.0,15.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 6.80(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.60(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.40(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.87(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.21$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.59(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.10(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.28(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.81(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.36(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.34(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 167.6,152.2,142.4,137.2,136.9,132.3$, $132.0,131.6,130.7,130.2,129.8,128.9,127.5,126.6,123.4,113.1,67.8,55.6,46.0$, 26.2, 22.0, 21.2, 18.6; HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{SNa}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$490.2022; Found: 490.2016; $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=77.975\left(\mathrm{c} 0.79, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.


The Heck coupling product $\mathbf{6 5}$ is a byproduct that was formed in trace amount under the Buchwald coupling condition. It was isolated as a fluorescent colorless oil: IR (neat): 2978, 2917, 1708, 1573, 1454, 1372, 1274, 1221, 1136, $1103 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $500 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 8.28(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.67(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.94(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.73(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{J}=1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.29($ septet, $1 \mathrm{H}, 6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.87(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.96(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.71(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}$ $=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.40(\mathrm{~d}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 166.4,157.3,136.1$, 135.7, 135.3, 130.9, 128.5, 128.0, 127.7, 126.8, 124.9, 121.6, 110.4, 68.3, 55.9, 26.0, 22.0, 21.7, 19.1; HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Na}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$335.1618; Found: 335.1618.
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57: A 100 mL round-bottom flask was charged with bromo ester $58(1.58 \mathrm{~g}, 3.38 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 40 mL THF. LiHMDS $6 \mathrm{~mL}(1 \mathrm{M}$ in toluene, 6 mmol ) was added dropwise to the solution at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 10 min at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the reaction was quenched with 1 N HCl in methanol at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. It was poured into water, extracted with 3 x $20 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{CH} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, dried with $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and concentrated in vacuo. After flash chromatography ( $30 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexane), $1.28 \mathrm{~g}(81 \%)$ of 57 was obtained as the major isomer. IR (film): 2970, 2921, 2868, 1720, 1462, 1245, $1102 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 8.10-8.12(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.62-7.66(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54-7.57(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.68(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.64(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.26(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=11.0,15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.77(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.49(\mathrm{dd}$,
$1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.5,15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.89($ septet, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.96(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.88(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 3.60-3.64 (m, 1H), 3.52-3.56(m, 2H), $2.3(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.75(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.69(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.15(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{J}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.05(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 172.3,152.6,139.4$, 136.8, 133.8, 132.1, 131.1, 129.9, 129.5, 129.4, 124.9, 124.9, 124.3, 119.4, 111.9, 68.4, $56.2,51.1,49.2,38.4,26.2,21.8,21.6,18.6$; HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{SNa}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$ 490.2022; Found: 490.2012; $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-60.48\left(\mathrm{c} 1.66, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.
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## (2S,3E)-6-Methyl-2-[(2R,4R)-2-oxido-2-phenyl-3,4-dihydro-21 ${ }^{4}$,1-benzothiazin-4-

yl]hepta-3,5-dien-1-ol (66): To a solution of the ester 57 ( $383 \mathrm{mg}, 0.819 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 8 mL THF, was slowly added 8.19 mL of DIBAL ( 1 M in THF) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 2 h , it was carefully quenched with ethyl acetate and water. After filtration, followed by washing with 20 mL ethyl acetate, it was concentrated. After flash chromatography (50\% ethyl acetate in hexanes), 0.285 g ( $88 \%$ ) of $\mathbf{6 6}$ was obtained as a semisolid. IR (film): 3448, 2962, 2917, 1577, 1462, 1250, 1102, $1017 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 8.10-$ 8.12 (m, 2H), 7.63-7.66 (m, 1H), 7.54-7.57 (m, 2H), 6.69 (s, 1H), 6.69 (s, 1H), 6.40 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=11.0,15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.82(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.51(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=9.0,15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.89(\mathrm{~s}$, $\left.3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.69(\mathrm{ddd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4.0,7.0,11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.46-3.55(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.98-3.13(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.32(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.77(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.73(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.35(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4.5,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$,
$125 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 152.8,139.2,136.1,133.9,132.2,131.0,130.2,129.5,128.4,125.1,124.7$, $119.1,111.6,62.7,56.2,50.3,45.0,37.5,26.2,21.7,18.6$; HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{SNa}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} 434.1760$; Found: $434.1751 ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-4.04\left(\mathrm{c} 3.02, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.


68
(2R,4R)-,4-[(1S,2E)-1-Methanesulfonyloxylmethyl-5-methyl-2,4-hexadienyl]-3,4-dihydro-8-methoxy-6-methyl-2-phenyl-2 $\gamma 4$-2,1-benzothiazine-2-oxide (68): To a solution of alcohol $\mathbf{6 6}(48 \mathrm{mg}, 0.116 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $2 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{CH} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was added TEA ( $33 \mu \mathrm{l}, 24$ $\mathrm{mg}, 0.24 \mathrm{mmol})$ and mesyl chloride $(14 \mu \mathrm{l}, 21 \mathrm{mg}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction was allowed to reach rt and was stirred for 17 h . It was quenched with 1 mL saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$, extracted with $2 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{CH} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, washed with 2 mL brine, dried with $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated in vacuo. After chromatography ( $50 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexanes), 45 mg (79\%) of $\mathbf{6 8}$ was obtained as a white semisolid. IR (film): 3060, 2929, 2226, 1569, 1462, 11348, 1242, 1172, 1103, 964, 833, 731, $682 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 8.11$ $(\mathrm{d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.66(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.0,8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.56(\mathrm{dd}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.0,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.70(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.67(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.37(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=11.0,15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.80(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.47(\mathrm{dd}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.5,15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.24(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4.5,10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.05(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.5,10.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $3.88(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.61(\mathrm{dt}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=11,5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.51(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4.5,13.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.43(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.06(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=11.5,12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.90(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.33(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.77(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.72(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$

NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta$ 152.7, 138.5, 136.6, 133.8, 131.9, 130.9, 130.2, 129.3, $129.2,126.0,124.2,123.5,118.8,111.6,69.0,56.0,49.6,41.9,37.3,37.2,25.9,21.4$, 18.3; HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{Na}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$512.1536; Found: 512.1526 ; $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-$ 13.82 (c $0.55, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ).


69: To a stirred solution of mesylate $\mathbf{6 8} 106 \mathrm{mg}(0.22 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $2 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{CH} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was added 0.33 g NaI . It was stirred at rt for 3 days, diluted with $2 \mathrm{mI} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, washed with 2 ml water, and 2 ml saturated $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}, 2 \mathrm{ml}$ brine, concentrated and column chromatographied using $30 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexane to get iodide 6960 mg ( $50 \%$ ), and cyclopropane compound 7057 mg (50\%). Iodide 69: IR: 2909, 1573, 1462, 1332, 1246, $1160,1107,1017 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{ppm}\right) \delta 8.14-8.16(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH})$, 7.68-7.69 (1H, m, ArH), 7.58-7.62 (2H, m, ArH), $6.72(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.65(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.29(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}$, $\mathrm{J}=11,15 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.84(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=11 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.4(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=9,15 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.91\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.48-$ $3.54(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.21-3.28(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.11-3.17(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.78(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=9,9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.36(3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.80\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.74\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{ppm}\right) \delta$ $153.0,139.2,136.4,134.0,132.1,130.8,130.6,129.6,129.4,129.1,124.5,124.5,119.4$, $111.9,56.3,49.5,44.8,40.8,26.2,21.7,18.6,7.8$; HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{INO}_{2} \mathrm{SNa}$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} 544.077764$; Found: $544.075818 ;[\alpha]^{25}=-11.05\left(\mathrm{c} 0.60, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$


70: IR: 3066, 2936, 2852, 1630, 1463, 1326, 1275, 1231, 1202, 1159, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{ppm}\right) \delta$ 8.07-8.08 $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.63-7.66(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.54-7.57$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 6.97(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.72(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.41(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=16 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.34(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=9,15.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 4.94(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.90(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.90\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.50(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=3,11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.74-2.84$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.30(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.86\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.64(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.04(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 0.85(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 0.76$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{ppm}\right) \delta$ 133.7, 132.4, 131.5, 129.3, 129.2, 119.1, $114.5,111.3,56.0,51.9,39.0,24.4,24.2,21.5,18.7,12.4$; HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{SNa}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$416.165471; Found: 416.164164; $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-284.00(\mathrm{c} 1.40$, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ )

(2R,4R)-,4-[(1S,2E)-1,5-Dimethyl-2,4-hexadienyl]-3,4-dihydro-8-methoxy-6-methyl -2-phenyl-2 $\boldsymbol{\gamma} 4$-2,1-Benzothiazine-2-oxide (47): To a solution of mesylate $\mathbf{6 8}$ ( 71 mg , 0.15 mmol ) and $\mathrm{LiI}(201 \mathrm{mg}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 7.5 mL dry THF at $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, was added 1.5 mL
of $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{LiBHEt}_{3}$ in THF slowly. After it was kept at $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 26 h , it was diluted with 15 mL DCM and quenched with $10 \mathrm{~mL} 10 \% \mathrm{NaOH}$, and $5 \mathrm{~mL} 30 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$. After it was stirred for 30 min at rt , it was washed with 10 mL saturated $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ solution, followed by 30 mL brine. After drying with $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, it was concentrated under vacuum. Chromatography ( $20 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexanes) afforded 45 mg ( $79 \%$ ) of 47 as a colorless oil. The NMR data matched the published. ${ }^{33}$

## CHAPTER TWO

## PORGRESS TOWARD TOTAL SYNTHESIS OF HAMIGERAN B

### 2.1 Introduction

Hamigerans are a family of natural products isolated from the poecilosclerid sponge Hamigera tarangaensis by Bergquist and Fremont from shallow water off the eastern coast of New Zealand. ${ }^{1}$ Hamigeran B stands out in the family, due to its impressive inhibitory activities against herpes and polio viruses and in vitro activity against P-388 leukemia cell line. ${ }^{1}$ The initial goal for this project was to apply a methodology that had been discovered and developed in our research group, the eightelectron cyclization reaction of cyclopentadienones to build the aromatic ring-fused [4.3.0] bicycle. $^{2}$ Since its appearance in the literature, hamigeran B has attracted a significant amount of synthetic effort among organic chemists, due to its interesting bioactivity, and novel, relatively complex structure within a fairly compact architecture. ${ }^{3}$

### 2.1.1 Hamigerans

Hamigeran A (1), debromohamigeran A (2), hamigeran B (3), 4-bromohamigeran $B(4)$, hamigeran $C(5)$, hamigeran $D(6)$, hamigeran $E(7)$, and debromohamigeran $E(8)$ were isolated from poecilosclerid sponge Hamigera tarangaensis, which belongs to Anchinoidae family (Figure 1). Only phorboxazoles and the anchinopeptolides that have been isolated from Anchinoidae family members. Phorboxazoles attracted a lot of attention from organic chemists, leaving the anchinoperptolides almost uninvestigated.

Figure 1
 $1 \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Br}$ 2 R=H

$3 \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}$
$4 R=B r$
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### 2.1.2 Proposed Chemical Relationship of Hamigerans

Though hamigerans are obviously structurally related, there is no reported biosynthesis of hamigerans. Based on their structural relationship, a biomimetic chemical relationship was proposed for the hamigeran family members (Scheme 1). Hamigeran D may be in equilibrium with the corresponding 1,2-diketone, which can be oxidized to an acyloin. Upon acylation, it will lead to hamigeran C; upon further oxidation to triketone, a Norrish type-1 fragmentation (which is possible since the sea sponge was collected in shallow sea water, where sunlight is abundant), followed by trapping with methanol, will give hamigeran A. After hydrolysis of the ester and decarboxylation, hamigeran B would be obtained. Further oxidative cleavage of hamigeran $B$ would produce hamigeran $E$.

## Scheme 1



### 2.1.3 Total Syntheses of Hamigeran B

A review by Clive, written in 2005, contains a collection of various total syntheses of hamigeran B. ${ }^{3}$ Since this review, several formal total syntheses of this natural product by a variety of strategies have appeared.

### 2.1.3.1 Total Synthesis of Hamigeran B by Nicolaou, Gray and Tae

In 2001, two back-to-back communications in Angewandte Chemie reported Nicolaou, Gray and Tae's efforts in developing and applying the photoenolization of substituted benzaldehydes and subsequent Diels-Alder (PEDA) trapping of the hydroxyl-$o$-quinodimethanes. ${ }^{4,5}$ The full article published in 2003 provided the readers with more details (Scheme 2). ${ }^{6}$

Starting from benzamide 11, enantiopure alcohol 13 was obtained by a sequence of directed lithiation and regioselective epoxide ring opening. Acid-catalyzed lactone formation, followed by LAH reduction yielded the diol, which was sequentially and selectively protected with TBS group and MOM group. Wacker oxidation of the terminal double bond of $\mathbf{1 4}$ led to a ketone, which further produced the $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ester 15 ( $E / Z$ ratio was ca. 3.5:1) through a HWE reaction. The TBS group was selectively deprotected and the exposed benzylic alcohol was oxidized to the benzaldehyde 16, which set the stage for the PEDA reaction. On irradiation, the substituted benzaldehyde 16 underwent photoenolization to give the quinone methide 17. Intramolecular DielsAlder cyclization then proceeded with high diastereocontrol to give ester $\mathbf{1 8}$ as a mixture of epimers.

The hydroxyl group on carbon 6 served as a handle to epimerize the stereocenter of carbon 5 once being oxidized. Next, it served as the electrophile to install the isopropyl group, leading to 20. After failed attempts to hydrogenate the trisubstituted double bond in $\mathbf{2 0}$, they found that hydroboration and oxidation led to the acetonide $\mathbf{2 1}$ as the major product.

Deprotection of the acetonide 21, followed by oxidation and bromination, provided hamigeran A. Hydrolysis under aerobic conditions enabled a saponification, decarboxylation, and auto-oxidation cascade sequence to give (-)-hamigeran B. (Scheme 2)
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### 2.1.3.2 Total Synthesis of (-)-Hamigeran B by Clive and Wang

In 2003, Clive and Wang published their total synthesis of racemic hamigeran B and later, (-)-hamigeran B. ${ }^{7,8}$ Again, the stereochemistry of carbons 5 and 6 were controlled by the C9 stereogenic center. While Nicolaou's paper showed that
hydrogenation of the cylcopentene $\mathbf{2 0}$ produced a mixture of products with the one with an exo-isopropyl group as the major product under a variety of hydrogenation conditions, ${ }^{6}$ Clive's synthetic route featured a hydrogenation of cyclopentadiene $\mathbf{3 1}$ to get the product with endo-isopropyl group.

Scheme 3


As shown in Scheme 3, the core carbon skeleton 26 was constructed very efficiently from the iodide 23 and Meyers' chiral lactam 24. Then, dehydrogenation by DDQ, followed by dihydroxylation, and protection with TBSOTf produced cyclopentenone 29. Moreover, it was reduced by DIBAL, and eliminated via the mesylate intermediate to give the cyclopentadiene 31. A rather mild hydrogenation of $\mathbf{3 1}$ with $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ led to 32 with the right stereochemistry. It was proposed by the authors that the bulky TBS groups were essential for controlling the stereochemical outcome.

After removing the two TBS groups with TBAF, the diol 33 was oxidized to diketone 34. Demethylation with LiCl in DMF, and mono-bromination gave hamigeran B (Scheme 3).

### 2.1.3.3 Total Synthesis of Hamigeran B by Trost, Pissot-Soldermann, Chen, and Schroeder

A year later, the Trost group published their total synthesis of (-)-hamigeran B, featuring their palladium-catalyzed asymmetric allylic alkylation reaction, which was used to install the quaternary stereogenic C 9 center. ${ }^{9}$ The full article on this work was published in 2005 and included more details of the total synthesis. ${ }^{10}$ A noteworthy reaction in this sequence is the kinetic hydrogenation of the trisubstituted alkene 47 to 48 by iridium black under high pressure. Under similar conditions, $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ gave only the exoisopropyl product, which was hypothesized to be the result of the undesired equilibration
of the semihydrogenation intermediates, leading to the thermodynamically more stable diastereomer (Scheme 4).
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A year later, the Trost group published their total synthesis of (-)-hamigeran B, featuring their palladium-catalyzed asymmetric allylic alkylation reaction, which was used to install the quaternary stereogenic C9 center. ${ }^{9}$ The full article on this work was published in 2005 and included more details of the total synthesis. ${ }^{10}$ A noteworthy reaction in this sequence is the kinetic hydrogenation of the trisubstituted alkene 47 to 48 by iridium black under high pressure. Under similar conditions, Pd/C gave only the exoisopropyl product, which was hypothesized to be the result of the undesired equilibration of the semihydrogenation intermediates, leading to the thermodynamically more stable diastereomer (Scheme 4).

### 2.1.3.4 Total Synthesis of ( $\pm$ )-Hamigeran B by Piers and Lau

A different strategy, developed by Piers and Lau, for the synthesis of hamigeran B involved the installation of stereogenic centers, followed by the construction of the core structure. While most synthetic organic chemists prefer to use aromatic rings as one of the starting materials if the natural products contain them, Piers and Lau made the aromatic system instead of starting with it, in order to take advantage of the preset stereochemistry of the ketone 53.

The enone 52 was prepared following the protocol developed by Snider, Corey and Engler. Hydrogenation with $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ yielded $\mathbf{5 3}$ with ease, setting up all of the three contiguous stereogenic centers. A Reusch enone migration protocol was later applied to convert enone 55 to epoxide 60, which nicely set up the stage for a Diels-Alder reaction using an excess amount of the reactive diene $\mathbf{6 0}$. Under rather harsh conditions $\left(150{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 4\right.$ days), the DA product was formed in satisfying yields (61-77\%). Hydrolysis and
aromatization led to a known ketone 48, which upon bromination yielded racemic hamigeran $B$ (Scheme 5).
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### 2.1.3.5 Total Synthesis of (-)-Hamigeran B by Taber and Tian

In 2008, Taber and Tian reported their total synthesis of hamigeran B utilizing rhodium-mediated intramolecular C-H insertion, a methodology they developed. ${ }^{11}$ They borrowed the stereochemistry of citronellal to get the enantiomerically pure citronellol
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derivative 64 as the starting material. After extensive efforts aimed at optimizing the reaction conditions for the diazo transfer step and the Rh-mediated intramolecular C-H insertion step, three more operations led to ketone 71. Olefination with the non-basic Petasis reagent, in the presence of $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ to prevent isomerization of the product,
yielded an intermediate 72. To their delight, the iridium-catalyzed hydrogenation with 1100 psi hydrogen gas in the Parr reactor selectively reduced the more strained double bond after 4-8 hours, leaving the benzylic alkene untouched. Upjohn dihydroxylation, hydrogenolytic cleavage of cyclopropane together with the benzylic alcohol, followed by TBAP/NMO oxidation led to a known diketone 34. Following Clive's procedure, (-)hamigeran B was obtained (Scheme 6).

### 2.1.3.6 Formal Total Synthesis of Hamigeran B by Miesch, Welsch, Rietsch, and

## Miesch

The Miesch group accomplished a formal total synthesis of racemic hamigeran B, using the methodology developed in their lab, the intramolecular akynylogous Mukiyama aldol-type reaction of cycloalkanones tethered to alkynyl esters (Scheme 7). ${ }^{12}$
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### 2.1.3.7 Formal Total Synthesis of (+)-Hamigeran B by Mukherjee, McDougal,

 Virgil, and StoltzStarting from the same starting material Miesch used, the Stoltz group synthesized (+)-hamigeran B, using a palladium-catalyzed decarboxylative allylic alkylation reaction. ${ }^{13}$

## Scheme 8


(+)-Hamigeran B

After the highly enantioselective ( $94 \%$ ee) decarboxylative allylic alkylation, catalyzed by $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}$ using the trifluoromethylated derivative of $(S)$ - $t$-BuPHOX (85) as
ligand, cross-metathesis and reductive cyclization yielded 90, the core structure of hamigeran B. After dehydration of the $\beta$-hydroxyketone 90 , enone 91 was obtained, which was used to prepare (+)-hamigeran B (92) following Miesch's protocol.

### 2.2 Progress Toward Total Synthesis of Hamigeran B

### 2.2.1 The Eight-electron Cyclization Reaction

Harmata, Zheng, Schreiner and Navarro-Vázquez published a novel electrocyclization of 2-bromocyclopentenones to form aromatic ring fused [4.3.0] bicycles (Scheme 9). ${ }^{2}$ The theoretical study supported a cyclopentadienone intermediate 93d, which underwent an electrocyclization driven by deantiaromatization (Scheme 10).

## Scheme 9



Scheme 10


### 2.2.2 Initial Synthetic Plan

Since the natural product hamigeran $B$ has the aromatic ring fused [4.3.0] bicycle substructure, we thought this new methodology was perfect to synthesize hamigeran B. Since the Clive group had published their total synthesis of hamigeran B, using enone 26 as an intermediate, our initial efforts were focused on synthesizing 26 to realize a formal total synthesis.

Scheme 11


Retrosynthetic analysis revealed that $\mathbf{2 6}$ might be derived from a 2hydroxycyclopentenone $\mathbf{9 8}$ via cyclopentadienone 99 as a reactive intermediate through
the electrocyclization reaction. Furthermore, $\mathbf{9 8}$ can be synthesized via a Tius-Nazarov cyclization reaction from the 1,2-diketone 97 (Scheme 11). ${ }^{14,15}$

### 2.2.3 Preparation of 2-Hydroxycyclopentenone

Based on the proposed synthetic route, making 98 would be the required for testing the electrocyclization reaction. Tius had pioneered the use of $\alpha$-diketones and $\alpha$ alkoxydienones as starting material for the Nazarov cyclization (Scheme 12). From their studies, $\alpha$-ethoxydienone 99 readily underwent Nazarov cyclization in the presence of bis(acetonitrile)dichloropalladium(II) in wet acetone at room temperature. The possibility that the reaction was a Michael addition was considered unlikely, since the 5-endo-trig cyclization was not possible due to the poor orbital overlap. They also did a control experiment to rule out HCl serving as the catalyst. Formally, treatment of $\mathbf{9 9}$ with HCl led to the hydrolysis product $\mathbf{1 0 1}$ quantitatively. Moreover, they discovered a Nazarov cyclization of $\alpha$-diketones such as $\mathbf{1 0 2}$ with the Lewis acid ytterbium(III) triflate, silica gel, or lithium tetramethylpiperidide (Scheme 11). We wanted to use this chemistry in the synthesis of 98, since it is potentially the product of Nazarov cyclization of diketone 97, $\alpha$-siloxydienone or $\alpha$-ethoxydienone (Scheme 12).

A variety of approaches to the synthesis of $\alpha$-diketone 97 were considered, as shown in Scheme 13 and Scheme 14. In Scheme 13, the $\alpha$-diketone 97 was envisioned to be assembled via an umplong approach from an electrophilic carbonyl component (acyl chloride, Weinreb's amide, N -acyl morpholine, etc.) and a nucleophilic carbonyl equivalent (dithiane, protected cyanohydrin, alkylvinyl ether, etc.). The vinyl group on
the aromatic ring can be installed via Pd-catalyzed coupling reaction. (Heck reaction, Suzuki coupling, Stille coupling, etc)

## Scheme 12

## Tius' result:






Scheme 13


$R^{1}=\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{OMe}) \mathrm{Me}$, etc.
$R^{2}=\mathrm{OTMS}, \mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}-$
$\mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{CN}, \mathrm{SCH}_{2}-$

97
$\star M$
$M=S n, B, S i$, etc.)

In Scheme 14, $\alpha$-siloxydienone or $\alpha$-ethoxydienone was planned to be synthesized through HWE reaction, with either the aromatic part being the aldehyde coupling partner or being the phosphonate coupling partner.

## Scheme 14



With many possible ways making the key intermediates 97 and its related derivatives, we identified the ortho-vinylbenzaldehyde being a versatile and important intermediate that can lead to many of the other possible starting materials. An efficient way of making large quantities of $\mathbf{1 0 2}$ would be crucial for the success of this project.

### 2.2.4 Preparation of Important Intermediate 102

Aldehyde $\mathbf{1 0 2}$ can be disassembled in at least two ways, as shown in Scheme 15. The vinyl group can be installed on the known o-bromobenzaldehyde via Stille coupling reaction with vinylstannanes or via Suzuki coupling reaction with vinylboronates. The alternative approach would be to form the vinyl group through Wittig reaction of a lactol intermediate generated from the known lactone 106.

## Scheme 15




### 2.2.4.1 Palladium-Catalyzed Coupling Reactions to $\mathbf{1 0 2}$

As shown in Table 1, (2-bromo-3-methoxy-5-methylphenyl)methanol reacted with tributylvinylstannane under standard Stille coupling condition to yield 105 in $23 \%$ yield, with $46 \%$ recovered starting material. (2-Iodo-3-methoxy-5methylphenyl)methanol reacted with potassium vinyltrifluoroboronate under standard Suzuki coupling condition to generate $\mathbf{1 0 5}$ in $\mathbf{9 1} \%$ yield.

Table 1


Starting with the known 2-bromo-3-methoxy-5-methylbenzaldehyde, Stille coupling yielded the vinylated product $\mathbf{1 0 2}$ in $82 \%$ yield; Suzuki reaction gave $\mathbf{1 0 2}$ in $51 \%$ yield. Using 2-iodo-3-methoxy-5-methyl-benzaldehyde as starting material, Stille reaction provided 102 in higher yield (74\%, 78\%) than Suzuki reaction (69\%) (Table 2).

Table 2

|  | Stille or Suzuki coupling |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{SnBu}_{3}, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Br}$ : 1.1 equiv, | $1 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 4 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}, 1.5$ equiv CsF, Toluene, $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 6 \mathrm{~h}$, | 82\% |
| $\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{SnBu}_{3}, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{I}: 1.1$ equiv, | $1 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 4 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}, 1.5$ equiv CsF, Toluene ( 0.25 M ), $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 16 h , | 78\% |
| 1 equiv, | $0.2 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0.8 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}, 1.5$ equiv CsF , Toluene ( 0.25 M ), $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 10 \mathrm{~h}$, | 74\% |
| $\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{BF}_{3} \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Br}: 1.5$ equiv, | $2 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}, 6 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}, 3$ equiv $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, THF/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}=9: 1$, microwave, $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30 \mathrm{~min} ; 75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 60 \mathrm{~min}$, | SM:P = 2:1 |
| 2 equiv, | $2 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}, 6 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}, 3$ equiv $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ THF/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}=9: 1$, seal tube, $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 21 \mathrm{~h}$, | 51\% |
| $\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{BF}_{3} \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{l}: 1$ equiv, | $2 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}, 6 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}, 3$ equiv $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, $\mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}=9: 1(0.2 \mathrm{M}), 90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 11 \mathrm{~h}$, | 69\% |

### 2.2.4.2 The Wittig Route to 102

The isobenzofuranone 106 was synthesized from 4-methylsalicylic acid $\mathbf{1 0 7}$ following Snider's protocol. ${ }^{14}$ Double methylation of 107 and basic hydrolysis yielded acid 108 almost quantitatively. Amide 109 was obtained from acid 108 via the corresponding acyl chloride in almost quantitative yield. Directed lithiation by amide group generated an $\alpha$-lithium benzamide that was trapped with $N, N$-dimethylformamide
to yield 120. Reduction by sodium borohydride and acid-catalyzed lactonization led to 106 in $70 \%$ yield. Next, the isobenzofuranone 106 was reduced partially with diisobutyl aluminium hydride at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to the lactol. Treating the reaction mixture with Wittig reagent in the same pot generated the alcohol $\mathbf{1 0 5}$ in $22 \%$ yield (Scheme 16).

## Scheme 16



### 2.2.5 Preparation of Phosphonates for Olefination Reaction

As shown in Scheme 14, the HWE reaction requires the synthesis of phosphonate to react with the aldehyde $\mathbf{1 0 2}$. Scheme 17 summarizes three routes to synthesize three different phosphonates from ethyl oxalate. In the first step, reacting the diethyl oxalate with isobutyl magnesium chloride at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ generated the ketoester 111 . The second step of route A involved trapping the enol with a TBS group, giving only the $Z$-silyl enol ether 112; the second step of route $B$ consists of converting the carbonyl group to dithiane $\mathbf{1 1 5}$, with $\mathrm{BF}_{3}$ as Lewis acid catalyst; route C protected the carbonyl group as methyl vinyl
ether 117. The last step was to install the phosphonate by an acylation reaction (Scheme 17).

Scheme 17
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### 2.2.6 Preparation of Methylphosphonate 103

Bromination of alcohol 105 with tetrabromomethane and triphenylphosphine yielded benzyl bromide 119 in $81 \%$ yield. Methylphosphonate 103 was synthesized from 108 via a nucleophilic substitution with trimethyl phosphite (Scheme 18).

Scheme 18


The other coupling partner ketone 104 was prepared from $(E)$-5-methylhex-3-en-2-one $\mathbf{1 2 0}$ by dihydroxylation with osmium oxide and N -methyl morpholine N -oxide, and ketal formation with 2,2-dimethoxy propane in $85 \%$ yield. ( $E$ )-5-Methylhex-3-en-2-one 120 was made following Ragoussis' procedure for regioselective aldol condensation between methyl 3-oxobutanoate and isovaleraldehdye (Scheme 19) . ${ }^{15}$

Scheme 19


Next, the proposed olefination reaction was carried out. The solution of phosphonate 103 in THF was added with 1 equivalent of KHMDS ( 0.5 M in toluene) slowly. After 30 min at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, about two equivalents of the ketone $\mathbf{1 0 4}$ was added. The reaction mixture was allowed to rise to rt and quenched with water. However, the desired olefination product was not observed from the crude ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (Scheme 20). (E)-Stilbene 121 was formed cleanly from the analysis of crude ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$. The structure of $\mathbf{1 2 1}$ was unambiguously confirmed by X-ray crystallography. It was repeated to get $45 \%$ of
stilbene with $17.6 \%$ of recovered phosphonate starting material. $(E)$-Stilbene 121 was formed exclusively when phosphonate $\mathbf{1 0 3}$ was treated with potassium hexamethyldisilazide at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 minutes. Though the crude ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ for this reaction was very clean, the isolated yield of stilbene $\mathbf{1 2 1}$ was $40 \%$ in this case. This is a rare example of stilbene formation from a phosphonate. If the reaction is general, it could provide an alternative for generation of stilbenes otherwise difficult to make. We proposed a possible mechanism for this transformation (Scheme 21). After the deprotonation of phosphonate $\mathbf{1 0 3}$ with KHMDS, the carbanion attacked another phosphonate fast enough to generate a new phosphonate. After elimination under basic conditions, the ( $E$ )-stilbene $\mathbf{1 2 1}$ was formed.

Scheme 20


## Scheme 21



### 2.2.7 Heck Coupling Route to 97

Starting from orcinol, salicylic acid $\mathbf{1 2 4}$ was prepared according to Bräse's protocol. ${ }^{18}$ The salicylic acid $\mathbf{1 2 4}$ was treated with triflic anhydride and triethylamine to yield the triflate 125 (Scheme 22). Ketone 104 was reacted with Wittig reagent to produce the disubstituted alkene $\mathbf{1 2 6}$ in low yield, a result caused by the volatility of this compound (Scheme 23).
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## Scheme 23



The Heck coupling reaction between triflate $\mathbf{1 2 5}$ and alkene $\mathbf{1 2 6}$ was tried but no coupling product was formed. Due to the low yield of alkene 126, methyl methacrylate was used as the coupling partner for the Heck reaction. While, $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ with triphenylphosphine as ligand in triethylamine resulted in no converstion under microwave

## Scheme 24






conditions, switching to dppb as ligand generated the detriflated benzaldehyde only from the analysis of crude proton NMR. The triflate $\mathbf{1 2 5}$ did react with methyl methacrylate to yield a mixture of two isomeric products in $48 \%$ and $33 \%$ yield (Scheme 24). However, this reaction failed when it was scaled up to 4.3 grams scale, due to the polymerization of methacrylate under the conditions.

### 2.2.8 Preparation of $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$-Hydroxyl Cyclopentenone

Finally, vinylation of $o$-bromo- $\alpha$-methyl cinnamaldehyde was explored, generating excellent yields of the vinylated product. This working protocol was one of the earliest to be explored, since $\mathbf{1 2 9}$ was also the starting material used for the total synthesis of pseudopteroxazole. HWE reaction with triethyl 2-phosphonopropionate yielded the $o$-bromo- $\alpha$-methylcinnamate $\mathbf{1 3 0}$ cleanly with complete $(E)$-selectivity. Reduction with DIBAL and allylic oxidation with manganese(IV) oxide led to the o-bromo- $\alpha$-methylcinnamaldehyde 131 in very good yield. Very gratifyingly, both of the Suzuki and Stille coupling produced the vinylated $\alpha$-methylcinnamaldehyde $\mathbf{1 3 2}$ in good yield (Scheme 25).

Scheme 25


A: $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{CsF}, \mathrm{PhMe}, 90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 17 \mathrm{~h}, 94 \%$
B: $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}, \mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{PrOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}=2: 1,90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 7 \mathrm{~h}, 92 \%$

Having the aldehyde $\mathbf{1 3 2}$ in hand, it was added to a solution of lithiated dithiane solution that was generated from 2-isobutyl-1,3-dithiane with $n$-butyl lithium at $-20{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The crude mixture was hydrolyzed with mercury oxide and boron trifluoride-etherate to yield the hydroxyketone 134 , which was oxidized with IBX to generate $\alpha$-diketone 97 in $83 \%$ yield (Scheme 26). $\alpha$-Diketone 97 was treated with strong non-nucleophilic bases, lithium hexamethyldisilazide, lithium tetramethylpiperidide, and potassium hexamethyldisilazide (Table 3). Up to $71 \%$ yield of the desired product was obtained under optimized conditions. It also seemed that the acidification step during workup may contribute to the higher yield, since the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of the crude product was consistently clean.

## Scheme 26



Table 3
1.2 equiv LiTMP, THF, $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt, $28 \mathrm{~h}, 59 \%$
$\mathbf{1}$
$\mathbf{2}$
$\mathbf{4}$
1.2 equiv LiHMDS, THF, $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt, $21 \mathrm{~h}, 42 \%$
1.5 equiv LiHMDS ( 0.8 M in THF), THF, $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ slowly to rt, 2 days, $71 \%$

### 2.2.9 The Dead End and the Detour

At this stage of synthesis, we were ready to try the key electrocyclization reaction on this $\alpha$-hydroxycyclopentenone 98 .

### 2.2.9.1 The Key Reaction Did Not Go

Trapping the Tius-Nazarov cyclization product with triflic anhydride produced the triflate $\mathbf{1 3 6}$ in an $8 \%$ yield. Next, the triflate $\mathbf{1 3 6}$ was dissolved in acetonitrile and treated with Hünig's base, producing no desired product 135. The crude ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ showed only a mess. Treating $\alpha$-hydroxycyclopentenone $\mathbf{9 8}$ with $\mathrm{PhN}(\mathrm{Tf})_{2}$ and triethylamine in dichloromethane yielded a mess from the crude NMR too. The negative results were not
too surprising, since a $\beta$-substituted $\alpha$-bromocyclopentenone (137) failed to undergo the electrocyclization too, yielding only the elimination product 138. ${ }^{16}$

Scheme 27




### 2.2.9.2 Wacker-type Oxidative Carbocyclization

Based on the above results, we decided to abandon the use of the electrocyclization method to construct the six-membered ring. Instead, we used this opportunity to invent new tactics, since there was no reported literature about this specific type of transformation. This also presents a common occurrence in the total synthesis, which requires discovery and development of new methodologies.

### 2.2.9.2.1 Wacker and Wacker-type Oxidations

Using oxygen as an oxidant, nature evolved oxidase and oxygenase enzymes such as cytochrome P450 for oxidizing small organic molecules. In the world of organic synthesis, the Wacker oxidation uses oxygen as the ultimate oxidant too (Scheme 28). Though there was a review published after we overcame this obstacle, covering all kinds of "addition of metal enolate derivatives to unactivated carbon carbon multi-bonds", ${ }^{20}$ we were specifically interested in a Wacker-type oxidative process for two reasons: the efficiency of Wacker oxidation has been proved for long time, though the mechanism is still under debate, and the process is relatively green and biomimetic, using molecular oxygen as oxidant rather than stoichiometric amount of metals or organic oxidants.

Scheme 28


Oxygenase catalysis: $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \xrightarrow[\text { Metal, } \mathrm{O}_{2}]{$|  Dihydroxylation  |
| :---: |
|  or Epoxdation  |$}$

The mechanism of Wacker oxidation generally is considered to include the activation of the alkene by the Lewis acidic palladium(II). A water molecule then attacks the activated alkene, followed by a facile $\beta$-hydride elimination. The palladium catalyst is regenerated by the copper salt, which is oxidized by oxygen ultimately (Scheme 29).

## Scheme 29



More recently, Wacker-type reactions using palladium(II) as a Lewis acid to activate alkenes and nucleophiles to form Pd- $\pi$-allyl complex or Pd- $\pi$-benzyl complex were developed (Scheme 30). ${ }^{21}$

## Scheme 30

## Wacker-type reactions:





The work of Widenhoefer concerning nucleophilic addition of $\beta$-diketones to "unactivated" double bonds was found to be most similar to the Wacker-type oxidative
alkylation of an $\alpha$-hydroxyenone with an adjacent vinyl group. It provided us a strong reason to try their conditions, based on the similar mechanisms of the two processes. ${ }^{22,23}$ Yang's work on $\beta$-ketoamides was also inspiring (Scheme 31). ${ }^{24}$

Scheme 31
Widenhoefer's intramolecular oxidative alkylation:


Yang's aerobic oxidative cyclization:


### 2.2.9.2.2 Pd(II) Catalyzed Oxidative 6-endo-trig Carbocyclization

We were really excited by the preliminary results on this reaction. With 0.3 equivalent of $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{MeCN})_{2}$, the product to starting material ratio was 0.6 to 1 from the crude proton NMR (Table 4, entry 1). Using THF as solvent enabled the reaction to happen at room temperature (Table 4, entry 2). Further optimization indicated that oxygen gas is superior to air as the oxidant, and prolonged reaction time led quantitative yield of the enol ketone 144 after tautomerization with catalytic amount of silica and TEA (Table 4, entry 6).

Table 4

Entry $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)_{2} \quad \mathrm{Cul}(\mathrm{mol} \%)$ Oxidant Solvent Temp Time yield

| 1 | 30 mol \% |  |  | dioxane | $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 30 min SN | M/P = 1: 0.6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 1 equiv |  |  | THF(0.01M) | rt | over night | 66\% ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| 3 | $(1+2) \mathrm{mol} \%^{\text {a }}$ | 1.5 | $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ | THF (0.05M) | ) rt | 48 h | 87\% ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| 4 | 10 mol \% | 15 | air | THF(0.1M) | rt to $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 17 h | 66\% ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| 5 | 3.6 mol \% | $28^{\text {b }}$ | $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ | DMF(0.05M) |  | 1 week | no rxn |
| 6 | $4.3 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ | 5 | $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ | THF(0.05M) | rt | 1 week | $100 \%{ }^{\text {d }}$ |

${ }^{a} 2 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of catalyst was added one day after the addition of first $1 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of catalyst.
${ }^{b} \mathrm{CuCl}$ was used instead of Cul.
${ }^{c}$ Products were mixture of two epimers.
${ }^{d}$ This is isolated two step yield, after epimerization to enone ketone.

We proposed a simplified mechanism for this reaction (Scheme 32). The Lewis acidic palladium(II) coordinates and activates the vinyl group. Then the adjacent nucleophilic enol attacks the electrophilic vinyl palladium complex. This is followed by $\beta$-hydride elimination, leading to the product 143 , which could be tautomerized to the more stable enol ketone 144 . The catalyst was regenerated with oxidation of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$, which in turn was regenerated by oxygen.

## Scheme 32



### 2.2.10 Attempts for Tandem Reactions

Having a working reaction for the carbocyclization available, we envisioned making this synthetic sequence more efficient and attractive by designing tandem reactions for the key cyclization steps. As shown in Scheme 33, treating the lithiated TBS cyanohydrin 145 with ketene 146 would generate the dienone 147. Based on Tius' results on Nazarov cyclization and our result on Wacker-type oxidative carbocyclization, the benzene-fused [4.3.0] bicyclic compound $\mathbf{1 4 4}$ would be produced by the same catalyst: $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{MeCN})_{2}$.

## Scheme 33

proposed tandem 1,2-addition and Nazarov cyclization:



To try this idea out, the preparation of the TMS-protected cyanohydrin was attempted first, generating $92 \%$ of the crude 148a. However, the product 148a was too labile and decomposed back to the starting material on silica and alumina columns. After passing the crude material through a short pack of silica gel, 148a was deprotonated with LiHMDS in THF at low temperature and trapped with isovaleraldehyde and the corresponding amide, leading to a complex mixture (Scheme 34).

Then, the TBS-protected cyanohydrin $\mathbf{1 4 8}$ was prepared directly from the vinylated cinnamaldehyde $\mathbf{1 3 2}$ with $\mathrm{KCN}, \mathrm{TBSCl}$ and catalytic amount of $\mathrm{ZnI}_{2}$ in $91 \%$ yield (Scheme 35). The dimerization of ketene 146 was too facile. And the attempted reactions for making it yielded only the dimer. Treating the deprotonated TBS-protected cyanohydrin 148 with isovaleraldehyde led to ketone 149 (Scheme 35). Oxidation of ketone $\mathbf{1 4 9}$ to dienone $\mathbf{1 5 0}$ would produce the same starting material for trying the palladium-catalyzed tandem reaction (Scheme 36). However, Saegusa oxidation and IBX oxidation did not yield the desired dienone.

Scheme 34




## Scheme 35



Scheme 36
proposed tandem Nazarov cyclization and Wacker-type oxidative cyclization:


Finally, the ketone 149 was deprotected with TBAF to generate the $\alpha$ hydroxyketone 150, which then was oxidized with pyridinium dichlorochromate or DessMartin periodinane to yield the $\alpha$-diketone 97 in good yields (Scheme 37). ${ }^{25}$

Scheme 37


### 2.2.11 An Interrupted Nazarov Cyclization

The Nazarov cyclization has been developed for decades. It has been applied in the total synthesis of natural products beautifully. Also known is the interrupted Nazarov cyclization, the trapping of the oxocarbenium intermediate with nucleophiles, such as aromatic rings, alkenes, or dienes.

During our study of making the $\alpha$-diketone 97, we discovered a rare stable hydrolysis intermediate of a dithiane, which upon treating with Lewis acids or Brønsted acids, underwent an interesting interrupted Nazarov cyclization.

It is well known that Weinreb's amide gives ketones when reacted with organolithium or Grignard's reagents. $\alpha$-Diketone 97 could theoretically be synthesized from a Weinreb's amide 152 through a dithiane intermediate 151 (Scheme 38).

Scheme 38
the retrosynthesis of diketone from Weinreb's aimde:


Starting from the $o$-bromo- $\alpha$-methacinnamate 130, Stille coupling yielded the ethyl ester $\mathbf{1 5 3}$ in $92 \%$ yield. The ester was converted to Weinreb's amide $\mathbf{1 5 4}$ with $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{O}$ -dimethyl- $N$-hydroxyl amine hydrochloride and isopropyl magnesium chloride in $88 \%$ yield. The lithiated dithiane added to the amide to yield the dithiane $\mathbf{1 5 5}$ in $80 \%$ yield (Scheme 39).

Scheme 39




A: 1.5 equiv tributylvinyltin, $1 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 4 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}, 1.5$ equiv CsF , toluene, $(0.2 \mathrm{M}) 110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 20 \mathrm{~h}, 92 \%$

Many hydrolysis conditions known for converting dithianes to ketones were tried to hydrolyze the dithiane 155. However, either no reaction happened or it gave a mess due to the decomposition of the $\alpha$-diketone (Scheme 40, entry 1 to 4). From TLC, a significant new spot was detected only five minutes after adding NCS (Scheme 40, entry 5). It was estimated to be an intermediate of the hydrolysis of dithiane, based on the analysis of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$. Changing the solvent to methanol and the base to 2,6-lutidine, the methyl sulfinate $\mathbf{1 5 6}$ was isolated in $86 \%$ yield (Scheme 40, entry 6). The structure of $\mathbf{1 5 6}$ was derived from ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$, DEPT135, COSY, high resolution mass spectrum and IR analysis. From HRMS, the observed mass 459.1636u $\left(\mathrm{MNa}^{+}\right)$was consistent with a formula of $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$. The IR showed a strong absorbent peak at $1642 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, indicating a conjugated carbonyl group. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ and DEPT135 showed one ketone's carbonyl group, six quaternary $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ hybridized carbons, five $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$
hybridized CH , one $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ hydridized $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$, five $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$, three aliphatic $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$, and one aliphatic CH (Figure 2 and 3). From ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$, the diastereotopic hydrogens on the carbon next to sulfinate (p2 in Figure 4) were obviously observed based on the splitting pattern common to the diastereotopic hydrogens. Further, COSY showed the coupling between proton b and i1 (Figure 5); v1, v2, and v3 were from the vinyl group (Figure 6); p1, p2, and p3 from the propylene group (not shown here).

Figure 2. ${ }^{13}$ C-NMR of $\mathbf{1 5 6}$


Figure 3. DEPT135 of 156.


Figure 4. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of 156.


Figure 5. COSY showing b and i1.


Figure 6. COSY showing the vinilic protons v1, v2, and v3.


## Scheme 40

|  <br> 155 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reagents | Solvents T | Temperature | Product |
| 1. 2.2 equiv HgO , 2.2 equiv $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | THF/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | rt | SM + mess |
| 2. 5 equiv IBX, 0.1 equiv $\beta$-cyclodextrin | Acetone $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | rt | SM |
| 3. $\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{IPh}$ | $\mathrm{ACN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(9: 1)$ | 1) rt | decomposition |
| 4. NBS | $\mathrm{ACN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(9: 1)$ | 1) rt | SM |
| 5. $\mathrm{NCS}, \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, wet $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ | Acetone | rt | unknown |
| 6. NCS, 2,6-lutidine | MeOH | $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 156 (86\%) |

It was a very rare example of hydrolysis intermediate of dithianes, once again supporting the oxidative hydrolysis mechanism for NCS-mediated deprotection of dithianes. Looking at the structure of the methyl sulfinate, it is likely that this $\alpha$ heteroatom dienone could be a perfect starting material for an interrupted Nazarov cyclization. It would also be catalyzed by milder Lewis acids, since the oxygen of the sulfinate functional group could coordinate with the metal, forcing the dienone in the cisconfiguration to facilitate the electrocyclization. And the adjacent vinyl group, being a nucleophile, could attach the thiocarbenium intermediate to generate the benzene fused [4.3.0] bicycle.

The initial results were quite promising, giving the desired product in up to $53 \%$ yield (Scheme 41 and Experimental Section). And we proposed a mechanism for this transformation as shown in Scheme 42.

## Scheme 41



Scheme 42




We then tried to optimize this interrupted Nazarov cyclization process. Numerous Lewis acids and Brønsted acids were screened. However, the yields were consistently low, being around $20 \%$ typically (See the Experimental Section for details). One of the byproducts isolated was determined to be the dithiane 162, a reduced product of the sulfoxide intermediate 161 . This indicated there should be some auto-redox reaction happening. Being curious to see if this byproduct 162 could be transformed to a useful intermediate for the synthesis of natural product hamigeran B, a short sequence of
deoxygenation was executed on 162. Reduction by LAH led to the secondary alcohol 163 with complete diastereoselectivity, with hydride coming from the convex face. Mesylation of the secondary alcohol 163 , however, led to an unexpected product 164 by that time (Scheme 43). A literature research gave us another example of this type of reaction. ${ }^{26}$ It is possible that the configuration of $\mathbf{1 6 4}$, having the hydrogen, sulfur and mesyl group aligned antiparallel to each other, made the elimination of the mesyl group and the concomitant migration of sulfur a rather facile process.

## Scheme 43



### 2.2.12 The Dead Ends

Starting from commercially available 3,3-dimethylaniline, the diketone $\mathbf{1 4 3}$ and its tautomer 144 with the core carbon structure of hamigeran $B$, were obtained in twelve to fourteen steps depending on which route was used. Now, it is the stage for the end game of the total synthesis, converting the diketone to the natural product through functional group manipulations. Obviously, the two carbonyl group needs to be reduced;
the benzylic double bond needs to be oxidized into a diketone. The stereochemistry of C6, the carbon bearing the isopropyl group, need to be inverted.

Taking advantage of the lability of the $\alpha$-hydrogen of ketones, the stereochemistry of C6 was destroyed by epimerizing the diketone 143 to enone ketone 144 with silica and amine base. Treatment with triflic anhydride and triethylamine yielded the triflate $\mathbf{1 6 5}$ in $83 \%$ yield. Reduction with formic acid by palladium(0) catalysis led to the enone $\mathbf{1 6 6}$ in 86\% yield (Scheme 44).

Scheme 44


With enone in hand 166, it was very tempting to do a conjugate reduction to set the C6 stereochemistry by kinetic control with hydride attacking from the less sterically hindered convex face. However, Wilkinson's catalyst and copper hydride reduction yielded only the thermodynamic product with the exo-isopropyl group 167 (Table 5).

## Table 5



Given the difficulty of setting up the correct stereochemistry of C6 by conjugate reduction, we turned our attention to making Tius' TBS-protected cyclopentadiene $\mathbf{3 1}$ for a formal total synthesis purpose. To that end, the enone 166 needs to be oxidized to diol 171, protected with TBS group to $\mathbf{1 7 0}$, reduced to allylic alcohol $\mathbf{1 6 9}$, mesylated and eliminated to the cyclopentadiene $\mathbf{3 1}$ (Scheme 45).

Scheme 45


The dihydroxylation with osmium(IV) oxide and NMO generated the diol 171 chemoselectively. ${ }^{27}$ The protection of the diol $\mathbf{1 7 1}$ turned out to be rather tricky. Using TBSCl as silylation reagent under different conditions resulted in no conversion. Applying TBSOTf as the silylation reagent with 2,6-lutidine yielded TBS-protected hemiketal 172 as the only product, because of the proximity of the benzylic hydroxyl group and the carbonyl group (Scheme 46). The structure of $\mathbf{1 7 2}$ was identified from analysis of H-NMR, 13C-NMR, DEPT135, HMQC and COSY. Efforts to protect the diol 171 with other protecting groups, such as acetal, dimethylsilyl group did not produce satisfying result.

Scheme 46



L-selectride reduces simple enones in a 1,4-manner, and reduces sterically hindered enones in a 1,2-manner. L-selectride reduced the enone $\mathbf{1 6 6}$ cleanly to generate the allylic alcohol 173, the relative stereochemistry of which was determined through 2-D NMR analysis of the corresponding acetate (176) (Scheme 48). From the NOESY spectrum, the hydrogen on $\mathrm{C} 15(\delta 5.64)$ showed correlation with hydrogens on C 16 ( $\delta$
1.15), indicating the cis-relationship between them (Figure 2). Both of the two possible diastereomers of the allylic alcohol could be utilized for the setting up of C6 stereogenic center. Many allylic formats can be reduced by palladium(0) to alkene via $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$-type fashion with inverstion of stereochemistry. The other allylic alcohol diastereomer could be reduced via OH -directed hydrogenation conditions for the construction of C6 stereochemistry.

For the formate formation, acetic formic anhydride was used to generate the formate $\mathbf{1 7 4}$ in $87 \%$ yield. However, the palladium(0) mediated reduction did not do anything to the starting material 174. Interestingly, palladium on carbon led to the oxidized product 166 in $67 \%$ yield (Scheme 47).

Scheme 47



Scheme 48


Figure 7


### 2.3 Concluding Remarks

In summary, the core structure of hamigeran B was constructed efficiently, using Tius-Nazarov cyclization and Wacker-type oxidative carbocyclization or an interrupted Nazarov cyclization. Instead of converting to the known intermediates for synthesis of hamigeran $B$ through long sequence, we explored any efficient way of setting the stereochemistry of C6.
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### 2.5 Experimental Section

## General Information:

All air and moisture sensitive reactions were carried out in flame-dried glassware under an argon or nitrogen atmosphere. Reactive liquid reagents (LHMDS, etc.) were measured and transferred by gastight syringes through rubber septa. Tetrahydrofuran (THF) was freshly distilled over sodium benzophenone kytyl. Toluene was distilled from $\mathrm{CaH}_{2}$. The reaction mixture was concentrated by using a rotary evaporator attached to a water aspirator. Residue solvents were usually removed under reduced pressure using vacuum pump (approximately 1 mm Hg ).

Flash chromatographic separations were carried out on silica gel (230-400 mesh) with ACS reagent grade solvents. Analytical thin layer chromatography was performed on glass-backed silica gel plates with F254 indicator. Compounds were visualized under UV light or by developing in iodine, vanillin, phosphomolybdic acid solution or with potassium permanganate solution followed by heating in a hot plate to approximately $350^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Melting points were determined with a melting point apparatus.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were recorded in Fourier transform mode at 250,300 or 500 MHz , respectively, as $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solutions with tetramethylsilane $(\delta=0 \mathrm{ppm})$ as the internal standard. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded on the same instruments at $62.5,75$ or 125 MHz , respectively, with $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}(\delta=77 \mathrm{ppm})$ as the internal reference. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectra were recorded on the same instruments at 101 MHz , respectively, with $85 \% \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ ( $\delta=0$ $\mathrm{ppm})$ as the external standard. Chemical shifts ( $\delta$ ) were reported in parts per million (ppm). Multiplicities were reported as s (singlet), b (broad), d (doublet), t (triplet), q (quartet), $m$ (multiplet), and dd (doublet of doublet), etc. In ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of
diastereomeric mixtures, the signals for individual isomers were reported when possible. Infrared spectra were recorded on an FT-IR spectrometer. Optical rotations were recorded on a polarimeter with sodium D line at the temperatures as indicated in the experimental for specific compounds. High resolution mass spectra were obtained on a magnetic sector instrument with a resolution greater than 10,000.


112: To a solution of $111(1.38 \mathrm{~g}, 8.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{DCM}(17 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.5 \mathrm{M})$ was added TBSCl $(1.57 \mathrm{~g}, 10.4 \mathrm{mmol})$, DMAP $(0.1 \mathrm{~g}, 0.9 \mathrm{mmol})$, and TEA $(1.76 \mathrm{~g}, 17.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ at rt sequentially. After 13 hours at rt , it was quenched with 10 mL water, washed with 10 mL brine, dried with $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, concentrated under reduced pressure at rt , and purified by FCC with $2-5 \% \mathrm{EA} / \mathrm{Hex}$ on silica gel to get $112(1.28 \mathrm{~g}, 54 \%)$ as a colorless oil. IR (neat): $3428,2962,2929,2856,1720,1642,1250 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 5.84(\mathrm{~d}, 1$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=10 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.19(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.87-2.80(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.31(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.01$ $(\mathrm{d}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.96(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 165.2,138.9$, 129.7, $60.8,25.8,25.1,22.2,18.6,14.2,-4.4$; HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{SiNa}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$ 295.1700; Found: 295.1697.


114: To a solution of $\mathbf{1 1 3}(446 \mathrm{mg}, 2.69 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( $8 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.34 \mathrm{M}$ ) was added $n \mathrm{BuLi}$ (1.4 mL, 1.9 M in THF, 2.69 mmol ) at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ slowly. After 1 hour, $112(245 \mathrm{mg}, 0.896$ mmol ) was added slowly. It was quenched after stirring at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 hours with 10 mL sat. $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$, extracted with $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ EA, washed with 10 mL brine, dried with $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, concentrated under reduced pressure to get 495 mg crude yellow oil. Then it was purified by FCC with $50 \%$ EA/Hex to get $\mathbf{1 1 4}(151 \mathrm{mg}, 43 \%)$ as colorless oil. IR (neat): $3469,2958,1675,1622,1250,1025 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 5.80(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}$ $=9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.16-4.07(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.76(\mathrm{dq}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=22.5,7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.95(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.41(\mathrm{dd}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}$ $=7,18 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.31(\mathrm{dt}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.05(\mathrm{dd}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.5,9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.95(\mathrm{~d}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=$ $0.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.18(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.14(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 193.2(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $146.9(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 133.3,62.6(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 62.4(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 40.2,39.2,25.9$, 25.6, $22.1(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 18.8,16.4(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 16.36(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 12.5(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}),-4.0,-4.2$; HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{PSiNa}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$415.2040; Found: 415.2040.


115: To a solution of $111(309 \mathrm{mg}, 1.95 \mathrm{mmol})$ and propane-1,3-dithiol ( $211 \mathrm{mg}, 1.95$ mmol ) in DCM ( $10 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.2 \mathrm{M}$ ) was added trifluoroborane etherate ( $80 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 48 \%, 0.3$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. It was stirred at rt for 5 hours. Then, it was quenched with sat. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, extracted with DCM, dried with $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, concentrated under reduced pressure, and purified by FCC with 5\% EA/Hex to get $\mathbf{1 1 5}$ as a colorless oil ( $402 \mathrm{mg}, 83 \%$ ). IR (neat): 2958, 2925, 1716, 1209, 1119, $1025 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 4.24(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}$ $=7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.26(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.16-2.11(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.00(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.86$
$(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.33(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.94(\mathrm{~d}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ $\delta 171.2,61.7,53.0,16.9,27.9,25.1,24.7,23.6,14.0 ;$ HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{Na}$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$271.0797; Found: 271.0791.


116: To a solution of $\mathbf{1 1 3}(287 \mathrm{mg}, 1.73 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( $2 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.86 \mathrm{M}$ ) was added $n \mathrm{BuLi}$ $(0.95 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.9 \mathrm{M}$ in THF, 1.8 mmol$)$ at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ slowly. After 1 hour, $\mathbf{1 1 5}(140 \mathrm{mg}, 0.564$ mmol ) was added slowly. It was quenched after stirring at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 hours with 2 mL sat. $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$, extracted with $3 \times 2 \mathrm{~mL}$ EA, washed with 4 mL brine, dried with $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, concentrated under reduced pressure. Then it was purified by FCC with $50 \%$ EA/Hex to get 116 (200 mg, $96 \%$ ) as colorless oil. IR (neat): 2954, 1704, 1254, $1021 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 4.28-4.11(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.95(\mathrm{dq}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=25,7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.36(\mathrm{dt}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=3$, $14 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.79(\mathrm{dt}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=2.5,14 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.61(\mathrm{tt}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=3,15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.16$ (heptet, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=$ $6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.06-2.01(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.91(\mathrm{dd}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7,18 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.34(\mathrm{dt}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5,7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.05$ $(\mathrm{d}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 199.6(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=3.75 \mathrm{~Hz}), 63.7(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 62.9(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 62.1(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 44.8,40.5,39.5,27.8(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 25.3$, 25.2, 25.1, 24.1, $16.4(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 16.3(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 16.0(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$; HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{PS}_{2} \mathrm{Na}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$391.1137; Found: 391.1127.


To a solution of lactone $106(422 \mathrm{mg}, 2.37 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{DCM}(10 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.2 \mathrm{M})$ was added DIBAL (4.74 mL, 1 M in Toluene, 4.74 mmol ) at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 9 hours, it was quenched with ethyl acetate. Then it was poured to a solution of Wittig reagent ( 4.74 mmol ) in THF at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was stirred at rt overnight, quenched with 50 mL water, and washed with sat. NH4Cl. Then it was purified by FCC with $25 \%$ EA/Hex after concentration under reduced pressure to get $\mathbf{1 0 5}(94 \mathrm{mg}, 22 \%)$ as colorless wax with melting point of $58-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (neat): 3293, 3011, 2913, 1605, 1458, 1405, 1295, 1033, $907,837 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 6.89(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.81(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=12,18 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $6.68(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.64(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=2,18 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.50(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=2,11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.71(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.83(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.35(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.73(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 157$, $139,138,130,123,121,119,111,63,56,22 ;$ HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Na}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$ 379.1880; Found: 379.1881.


To a solution of (2-iodo-3-methoxy-5-methylphenyl)methanol ( $530 \mathrm{mg}, 1.92 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(9: 1)(10 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.2 \mathrm{M})$ was added $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.038 \mathrm{mmol}, 2 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, triphenylphosphine ( $26 \mathrm{mg}, 0.115 \mathrm{mmol}, 6 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), potassium vinyl fluoroborate ( 260 $\mathrm{mg}, 1.92 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(1.88 \mathrm{~g}, 5.76 \mathrm{mmol})$ at rt . After stirring at $90{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16.5
hours, the top yellow organic layer was separated. The bottom aqueous layer with white solid was extracted with ethyl ether. Together, it was washed with brine, concentrated under reduced pressure, and purified by FCC with $25 \%$ EA/Hex to get $\mathbf{1 0 5}(310 \mathrm{mg}$, $91 \%)$.


119: To a solution of $\mathbf{1 0 5}(92 \mathrm{mg}, 0.516 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DCM ( $10 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.05 \mathrm{M}$ ) was added $\mathrm{CBr} 4(205 \mathrm{mg}, 0.619 \mathrm{mmol})$ and triphenylphosphine $(162 \mathrm{mg}, 0.619 \mathrm{mmol})$ at rt . The colorless solution was stirred for 1 hour at rt and turned to coffee color solution. Then it was concentrated under reduced pressure, and purified directly by FCC with $25 \%$ EA/Hex to get $\mathbf{1 1 9}(100 \mathrm{mg}, 81 \%)$ as white solid which melted at $73-74^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (neat): 2933, 2835, 1630, 1564, 1462, 1328, 1278, $927,845 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta$ $6.84(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.81(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=12,18 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.66(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.79(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=2,18 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $5.58(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=2,12 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.57(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.33(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $125 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 158,138,136,130,124,123.6,120,112,56,33,21$; HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{BrONa}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$263.0042; Found: 263.0044.


103: To a solution of $\mathbf{1 1 9}(56 \mathrm{mg}, 0.23 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added trimethylphosphite ( $1 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.05$ $\mathrm{g}, 8.47 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and refluxed at $115^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. Then it was concentrated under reduced pressure and purified by FCC with EA to get $103(57 \mathrm{mg}, 90 \%)$ as colorless oil. IR
(neat): $3007,2953,2852,1605,1569,1462,1405,1250,1054 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $500 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 6.83(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.72(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=12,18 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.61(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.62(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=$ $2.5,18 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.54(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=2,11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.68(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=0.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.65$ $(\mathrm{d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=0.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.29(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=22 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.33(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ $\delta 157.5(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 137.7(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 131.1(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=1.25 \mathrm{~Hz}), 129.8(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 124.6(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 123.6(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 119.9,110.3(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 55.4,52.7(\mathrm{~d}$, $\mathrm{J}=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 30.4,29.3,21.5$; HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{PNa}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} 321.1226$; Found: 321.1231 .


148a: To a solution of $\mathbf{1 3 2}(2.61 \mathrm{~g}, 12.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dichloromethane ( $24 \mathrm{ml}, 0.5 \mathrm{M}$ ) was added TMSCN ( $1.20 \mathrm{~g}, 12.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{ZnI}_{2}(1 \mathrm{mg}$, cat.). The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 18 hours. Then another 0.6 g of TMSCN was added to the reaction mixture. After total reaction time of three days, the mixture was filtered through a well packed silic plug, and rinsed with DCM till the eluent became colorless. Then it was concentrated under reduced pressure to get yellow oil $(3.49 \mathrm{~g}, 92 \%$ yield based on crude mass). Then it was purified on aluminum oxide (activated, basic, Brockmann I, standard grade, $\sim 150$ mesh, $58 \AA$ ), it decomposed back to the starting material 132. It was passed through another silic plug quickly for further reactions. IR (neat): 2958, 1603, 1459, $1255,1159,1023,845 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 6.72(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=12,18 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $6.70(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.65(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.62(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.56(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=2,18 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.43(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=2$,
$11 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.95(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.83(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.34(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.85(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.25(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 157.5,137.6,135.5,132.2,130.6,129.5,123.1,122.6,119.5,118.6$, $110.7,67.0,55.4,21.5,13.4,-0.3,-0.5$.


148: To a solution of $\mathbf{1 3 2}(1.84 \mathrm{~g}, 8.52 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetonitrile ( $43 \mathrm{ml}, 0.2 \mathrm{M}$ ) was added $\operatorname{TBSCl}(1.92 \mathrm{~g}, 12.8 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{NaCN}(3.34 \mathrm{~g}, 68.2 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{ZnI}_{2}(27 \mathrm{mg}, 0.08 \mathrm{mmol})$. The yellow suspension was stirred at rt for 1 day, and quenched with 40 mL water, extracted with $3 \times 40 \mathrm{~mL}$ EA, washed with 40 mL brine, dried with anhydrous MgSO . Then, it was concentrated under reduced pressure. Flash chromatography purification with $0-5 \%$ EA/Hexane yielded the product 148 ( $2.77 \mathrm{~g}, 91 \%$ ). The pink band was collected, with the following yellow band discarded. IR (neat): 2955, 2858, 1603, 1462, $1255,1100,840,781 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 6.72(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=12,18 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $6.70(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.66(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.62(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.55(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=2,17.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.42(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=$ 2, 12 Hz ), 4.95 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.35(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.85(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.95(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$, $0.23(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.18(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 157.5,137.6,135.6,132.3$, $130.6,129.3,123.2,122.6,119.6,118.7,110.8,67.3,55.5,25.5,21.6,18.2,13.5,-5.2,-$ 5.22.


149: To a solution of $\mathbf{1 4 8}(1.2 \mathrm{~g}, 3.35 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( $16.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.2 \mathrm{M}$ ) was added LiHMDS ( $4.47 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.9 \mathrm{M}$ in THF, 4.02 mmol ) slowly at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The color turned red upon the addition of LiHMDS. After 10 min at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, isovaleraldehyde $(0.346 \mathrm{~g}, 4.02$ mmol) was added neat. The color of the solution turned yellow upon finishing adding isovaleradehyde at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Immediately, it was quenched with saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ and raised to rt . It was then extracted with ethyl ether, washed with brine, dried with $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, concentrated under reduced pressure, and purified by FCC (5-10\% EA/Hex) to yield 149 $(1.2 \mathrm{~g}, 89 \%)$. IR (neat): $2954,2860,1683,1601,1458,1258,1099,1046,833,776 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 7.65(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.81(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=11.5,17.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.70(\mathrm{~d}, 1$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.49(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=2,11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.43(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=2,18 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.90(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{J}=3.5,10 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.86(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.37(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.93(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.89-1.84(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.66(\mathrm{~m}, 1$ H), $1.48(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.95(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.94(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.90(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.06(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 0.04(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 203.2,157.4,140.3,137.8,135.3$, $131.0,123.8,122.4,120.5,111.3,74.1,55.6,44.8,25.8,24.4,23.6,21.7,21.4,18.3,13.7$, $-4.5,-5.2$.


150: To a solution of $\mathbf{1 4 9}(150 \mathrm{mg}, 0.36 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( $1 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.36 \mathrm{M}$ ) was added TBAF ( 0.43 mL 1 M solution in THF, 0.43 mmol ) at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 20 min , it was quenched with sat. NaHCO3, extracted with EA, washed with brine, dried with MgSO 4 , concentrated under reduced pressure, and purified by FCC with 5\% EA/Hex to get 150 ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, \mathbf{9 2 \%}$ ).

IR (neat): $3471,2954,2920,1661,1601,1563,1464,1049 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500\right.$ $\mathrm{MHz}) \delta 7.48(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.82(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=11.5,18 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.74(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.72(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.52$ $(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=1.5,11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.38(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=2,17.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.95(\mathrm{ddd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=2,7,9.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 3.86(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.56(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.38(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.01(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 1.61-1.56(m, 1 H), 1.41-1.35(m, 1 H$), 1.02(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.95(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 2.4 .1,157.4,141.7,138.0,134.4,133.8,130.9,124.3,122.4,121.0$, $111.7,70.9,55.6,45.4,25.0,23.7,21.7,21.3,13.5$.


172: To a solution of diol ( $29 \mathrm{mg}, 0.09 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dichloromethane ( $2 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.045 \mathrm{M}$ ), was added 2, 6-lutidine ( $52 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.45 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and TBSOTf ( $63 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.27 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) consecutively at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After TLC showed complete consumption of starting material, it was quenched with saturated ammonium chloride aqueous solution and extracted with dichloromethane. Then it was washed with brine, dried with $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, concentrated under reduced pressure, and purified by flash chromatography (1:20 ethyl acetate in hexane) to get the silyl ether as a colorless oil ( $36 \mathrm{mg}, 73 \%$ ) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 6.49(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.41(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.34(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.33(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.76(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.30(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.31$ (heptet, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $2.28(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.16(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.14(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.01(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{J}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.91(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.19(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.14(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.07(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.06(\mathrm{~s}, 3$ $\mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 155.3,154.4,140.2,138.4,126.8,124.2,120.1$,
$115.6,109.5,81.2,77.9,57.4,56.2,55.4,26.3,26.0,25.9,21.8,20.8,20.3,18.6,17.9$, 15.5, -2.5, -3.4, -4.7, -4.8.


4,5-dihydroxy-6-methoxy-3a,8-dimethyl-1-(propan-2-yl)- 3a,4,5,9b-tetrahydro-3H-cyclopenta[a]naphthalen-3-one (171): To a solution of enone ( $20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.07 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 1 Ml acetone/water (4: 1), was added $2.5 \% \mathrm{OsO}_{4}$ in 2-methylpropanol ( $44 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.0035$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ and $60 \% \mathrm{NMO}$ in water ( $35 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.33 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at rt . After 24 hours, 1 mL water was added, followed by 1 mL saturated sodium thiolsulfate. The mixture was extracted with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 3 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), washed with 2 mL brine, and dried with $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. After purification by flash chromatography ( $25 \%$ to $50 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexane), 12 mg (54\%) white solid was obtained: $\mathrm{mp} 155-156{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (neat): 3420 , 2962, 2929, 1675, $1609,1462,1090 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 6.70(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.63(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.90$ (s, 1 H$), 5.17$ (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 5.166 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.81 (s, 3 H ), 3.76 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.68 (dd, 1 $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=2.5,13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.61($ heptet, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.07(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.44(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.28(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.00(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 215.7$, 188.2, 157.7, 139.7, 136.1, 124.8, 129.0, 121.7, 110.3, 79.2, 65.6, 56.9, 55.6, 45.2, 28.7, 24.9, 22.0, 21.9, 20.8; HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Na}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$339.1567; Found: 339.1568.


6-methoxy-3a,8-dimethyl-1-(propan-2-yl)-3a,9b-dihydro-3H-
cyclopenta[a]naphthalen-3-one (166): To a solution of enol triflate ( $132 \mathrm{mg}, 0.307$ mmol ) in 3 mL DMF ( 0.1 M ), was added palladium (II) acetate ( $7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), triphenylphosphine ( $16 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), triethylamine $(0.17 \mathrm{~mL})$, and formic acid ( 56 $\mu \mathrm{L}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at rt . The reaction was stirred at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 hours, and quenched with 20 mL water and 20 mL diethyl ether at rt . Then the mixture was extracted with diethyl ether ( $3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), washed with 50 mL saturated ammonium chloride aqueous solution, 50 mL saturated sodium bicarbonate aqueous solution and 50 mL water. After drying with sodium sulfate and concentrated under reduced pressure, it was purified by flash chromatography ( $10 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexane) to get a white solid ( $75 \mathrm{mg}, 86 \%$ ). mp $116-118^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (neat): 2966, 1704, 1687, 1605, 1458, $1381 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500\right.$ $\mathrm{MHz}) \delta 6.73(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=10 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.72(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.62(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.99(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.50$ $(\mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=10 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.48$ (heptet, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $1.23(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.21(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.83(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125\right.$ $\mathrm{MHz}) \delta 210.7,185.6,155.4,138.1,132.0,129.9,124.4,122.8,119.0,118.4,110.7,55.5$, 53.7, 52.3, 28.7, 22.4, 21.9, 21.3, 20.4; HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Na}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} 305.1512$; Found: 305.1508.


173: To a solution of $\mathbf{1 6 6}(42 \mathrm{mg}, 0.149 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(1.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.1 \mathrm{M})$ was added Lselectride $(0.179 \mathrm{~mL}, 1 \mathrm{M}$ in THF, 0.179 mmol$)$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ slowly. It was quenched with 4 N NaOH and $30 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ after 30 min at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Then it was extracted with DCM, washed with brine, dried with $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, concentrated and purified by FCC with $25 \% \mathrm{EA} / \mathrm{Hex}$ to get the allylic alcohol 173 ( $40 \mathrm{mg}, 95 \%$ ). IR (neat): 3346, 2954, 2917, 2860, 1605, 1569, $1454,1324,1127,1017,821 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 6.81(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=10.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 6.62(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.58(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.85(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.43(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.65$ $(\mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.44(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.35(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.92$ (heptet, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 1.57(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.00(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.73(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125\right.$ $\mathrm{MHz)} \delta 155,152,137,133,129,124,123,119,110,86,55.4,55,50,27,23,22,21.8$, 21; HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Na}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} 307.1668$; Found: 307.1683.


174: To a solution of $\mathbf{1 7 3}(18 \mathrm{mg}, 0.063 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{DCM}(1 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.06 \mathrm{M})$ was added DMAP ( $15 \mathrm{mg}, 0.123 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), formic acetic anhydride ( $11 \mathrm{mg}, 0.125 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). It was concentrated under reduced pressure after 19 hours at rt, and purified by FCC with $10 \%$

EA/Hex to get 174 ( $17.5 \mathrm{mg}, 87 \%$ ). IR (neat): 2959, 2922, 1725, 1463, $1174 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 8.24(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.78(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=10 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.63(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.59(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.85(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=10 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.76(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.48(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.35(\mathrm{~s}, 3$ H), $5.45(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.94$ (heptet, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.17(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.01(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $0.74(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 161.2,154.9,153.6,137.4$, $132.7,128.8,122.9,120.8,118.94,118.9,110.4,86.6,55.4,54.7,49.2,27.2,22.4,22.2$, 21.8, 21.1.



176: To a solution of $\mathbf{1 7 3}(3.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.012 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DCM was added $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10.8 \mathrm{mg}, 1.06$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ and DMAP ( $3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.025 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at rt . It was concentrated under reduced pressure after 11 hours at rt, and purified by FCC with $10 \%$ EA/Hex to get the acetate 176. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 6.77(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.63(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.59(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.86$ $(\mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=10 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.64(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.44(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=1,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.50-3.46$ (m, 1 H), 2.35 (s, 3 H ), 2.16 (s, 3 H ), 1.92 (heptet, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 1.15 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.00 (d, 3 $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.73(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;$ DEPT135 $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right)\left(\mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \delta 129.2$, $123.0,121.3,118.8,110.4,86.8,63.4,55.5,54.7,27.2,22.4,22.2,21.8,21.3,21.2$.

(3a,9b)-6-methoxy-3a,8-dimethyl-3-oxo-1-(propan-2-yl)-3a,9b-dihydro-3H-
cyclopenta $[a]$ naphthalen-2-yl trifluoromethanesulfonate (165): 2-hydroxy-6-methoxy-3a,8-dimethyl-1-(propan-2-yl)-3a,9b-dihydro-3H-cyclopenta[a]naphthalen-3one ( $114 \mathrm{mg}, 0.382 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 4 mL dichloromethane ( 0.1 M ) was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ by ice/water bath. To the solution was added triethylamine ( $106 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.764 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Then triflic anhydride ( $77 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.458 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwisely at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, during which time the solution turned dark. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm up to rt by removing the cooling bath after stirring for 10 minutes at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 1.5 hours, the reaction mixture was concentrated under aspirator vacuum to get dark slow flow oil, which was directly purified by flash chromatography (10\% ethyl acetate in hexane, between yellow band and red band) to get a colorless wax ( $136 \mathrm{mg}, 83 \%$ ): IR (neat): 2974, 2938, 1732, 1417, 1209, 1139, $992 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 6.82(\mathrm{~d}, 1$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.71(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.67(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.43(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.83(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 3.76$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.75 (heptet, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $2.40(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.24(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.15(\mathrm{~d}, 3$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.96(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 119.9,168.1$, $156.6,142.5,138.9,129.8,128.2,123.4,119.9,119.1,118.5(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=319 \mathrm{~Hz}), 111.6,55.5$, $50.23,50.15,28.4,21.9,19.92,19.89,19.0 ;$ HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{SNa}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$ 453.0954; Found: 453.0956.


## 2-hydroxy-6-methoxy-3a,8-dimethyl-1-(propan-2-yl)-3a,9b-dihydro-3H-

cyclopenta[a]naphthalen-3-one (144): 4-(2-ethenyl-3-methoxy-5-methylphenyl)-2-hydroxy-3-methyl-5-(propan-2-yl)cyclopent-2-en-1-one (134 mg, 0.440 mmol ) in 10 mL THF was added bis(acetonitrile)palladium(II) chloride ( $1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.004 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), cuprous iodide ( $2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0105 \mathrm{mmol}, 2 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) sequentially at rt . Then, nitrogen balloon was changed to oxygen balloon. After stirring for 10 minutes at rt , yellow solution turned red. After 36 hours at rt , the reaction mixture was filtered through a Celite plug, and rinsed with dichloromethane ( $2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). After removing the solvent under reduced pressure on rotvapor, 146 mg of red semisolid was obtained. H-NMR showed that it was a mixture of the diketone and its enol tautomer in three to one ratio. It was further purified by flash chromatography with $10 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexane to get a red solid powder ( $124 \mathrm{mg}, 93 \%$ ). The pure enol tautomer was obtained quantitatively by treating the red solution of mixture of the two isomers in THF with catalytic amount of TEA and silica gel, and stirring for 5 to 7 hours. The disappearance of the red color is a sign of completion of this tautomerization process. mp 195-198 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (neat): 3322, 2970, 2921, $1691,1646,1458,1401,1311,1029 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 6.74(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}$ $=10 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.68(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.62(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.43(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=10 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.36(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 3.58 (s, 1 H), 2.54 (heptet, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 2.39 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.26 (d, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 1.23 (s, 3 H), $0.90(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 204.9$, 155.4, 148.9, 146.4,
$138.1,132.6,129.1,123.4,118.8,118.6,110.7,55.5,49.5,48.8,27.5,21.9,20.2,20.0$, 19.9; HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Na}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$321.1461; Found: 321.1459.


## 4-(2-ethenyl-3-methoxy-5-methylphenyl)-2-hydroxy-3-methyl-5-(propan-2-

yl)cyclopent-2-en-1-one (98): (1E)-1-(2-ethenyl-3-methoxy-5-methylphenyl)-2,6-dimethylhept-1-ene-3,4-dione ( $224 \mathrm{mg}, 0.746 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 10 mL THF was dropwise added LiHMDS ( 1 mL 0.9 M in THF, 0.9 mmol ) at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. During the addition of LiHMDS, the yellow solution turned golden color gradually. The cooling bath was removed after 10 minutes. The reaction was quenched by adding 10 mL saturated aqueous ammonium chloride solution at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ after stirring for 12 hours at rt . It was extracted with ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), washed with 20 mL brine, dried with $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated under reduced pressure. After purification by flash chromatography ( $25 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexane), a colorless oil was obtained. (130 mg, 58\%) IR (neat): 3326, 2954, 1699, 1650, $1462,1401,1115 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 6.78(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=12.5,16.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $6.57(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.38(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.61(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=11 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.46(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=2,18 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.12(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.32(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.28(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.22-2.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.77(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{~d}$, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.88(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 204.9,157.1$, $149.1,146.5,140.8,138.5,131.6,125.0,120.4,119.2,109.5,60.1,55.5,43.0,29.4,21.6$, 19.7, 18.5, 12.6; HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Na}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$323.1618; Found: 323.1614.

(1E)-1-(2-ethenyl-3-methoxy-5-methylphenyl)-2,6-dimethylhept-1-ene-3,4-dione
(97): (1E)-1-(2-ethenyl-3-methoxy-5-methylphenyl)-3-hydroxy-2,6-dimethylhept-1-en-4one ( $1.25 \mathrm{~g}, 4.13 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 25 mL anhydrous ethyl acetate was added IBX ( $5.78 \mathrm{~g}, 20.6$ mmol ) at rt at once. It was refluxed at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 hours and cooled to rt , when the colorless solution turned yellow. Then, it was filtered thrugh a packed Celite plug, and rinsed with 100 mL ethyl acetate. After concentration under reduced pressure and purification by flash chromatography (5\% ethyl acetate in hexane, collect the yellow band), a yellow oil was obtained. (1.03 g, 83\%) IR (neat): 2962, 2929, 1708, 1654, 1458 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 7.54(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.81(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=11.5,18 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.77$ (s, 1 H$), 6.72(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.53(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=1.5,11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.38(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=1.5,17.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.67(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.37(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.34-2.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.01(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{J}=1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.99(\mathrm{~d}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 204.9$, 197.1, 157.4, 147.7, 137.9, 134.0, 132.6, 130.5, 124.5, 122.3, 121.4, 112.0, 55.6, 48.2, 23.8, 22.6, 21.7, 12.3; HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Na}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$323.1618; Found: 323.1628.

(1E)-1-(2-ethenyl-3-methoxy-5-methylphenyl)-3-hydroxy-2,6-dimethylhept-1-en-4one (134): To a vigorously stirred suspension of $\mathrm{HgO}(5 \mathrm{~g}, 23 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (3.5 $\mathrm{mL}, 28 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $15 \%$ THF in water $(120 \mathrm{~mL})$ at rt , was added crude dithiane in 20 mL THF slowly. After stirring for 20 minutes at rt (only trace amount of red HgO left and a voluminous amount of white suspension formed), 100 mL diethyl ether and 50 mL brine was added. Then it was filtered though a well packed Celite, rinsed with 500 mL diethyl ether, separated and washed with saturated sodium bicarbonate $(2 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$, brine ( 100 mL ). after drying with sodium sulfate, and concentrated under reduced pressure, it was purified by flash chromatography ( $10 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexane) to get viscous oil ( 1.25 g , $56 \%$ ): IR (neat): $3465,2958,2868,1708,1597,1560,1454,1095 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $500 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 6.80(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=12,18 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.74(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.69(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.67(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.68$ $(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=2.5,18 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.48(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=2,11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.69(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.05(\mathrm{~d}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.87(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.51-2.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.37(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.26$ (heptet, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 1.58(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.99(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.97(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 210.3,157.6,137.6,136.4,134.6,132.0,131.0,123.0,122.6,119.4$, $110.6,83.6,55.4,46.5,24.5,22.6,22.5,21.6,12.3$; HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Na}$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} 325.1774$; Found: 325.1770 .

(E)-1-(2-isobutyl-1,3-dithian-2-yl)-3-(3-methoxy-5-methyl-2-vinylphenyl)-2-
methylprop-2-en-1-ol (133): To a solution of dithiane ( $1.56 \mathrm{~g}, 8.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 20 mL THF, was added 2.4 M nBuLi at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ slowly. After it was stirred at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 hours, the aldehyde ( $1.6 \mathrm{~g}, 7.41 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 5 mL THF was added dropwisely, during which time the colorless solution turned dark. After 1 hour at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, it was raised to rt and quenched with 20 mL saturated ammonium chloride solution. Then it was washed with 10 mL brine, dried with $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated under reduced pressure to get viscous oil which went to the next step as crude: IR (neat): 3436, 2949, 1601, 1560, 1454, 1270, 907 , $833,731 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 6.80(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=11.5,18 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.68(\mathrm{~s}, 1$ H), $6.65(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.63(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.76(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=2,17.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.40(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=2.5,12$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 4.68(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.14(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.16-3.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.68-2.62$ (m, 2 H), $2.34(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.16-2.09(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.87(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.94-1.83(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.52(\mathrm{dd}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5,15 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.04(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.01(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $125 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 157.7,137.8,137.2,134.6,131.2,130.4,123.0,122.7,119.0,110.2,75.4$, $60.4,55.4,43.2,26.6,25.6,25.5,24.9,24.2,21.6,17.5$; HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{Na}$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$415.1736; Found: 415.1733.

(E)-1-(2-isobutyl-1,3-dithian-2-yl)-3-(3-methoxy-5-methyl-2-vinylphenyl)-2-
methylprop-2-en-1-one (155): To a solution of dithiane (2.68g, 15.2 mmol ) in THF (30 $\mathrm{mL}, 0.5 \mathrm{M}$ ), was added $n$-buyl lithium ( $6 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.5 \mathrm{M}$ in THF, 15 mmol ) slowly over 10 minutes. After stirring for 3.5 hours at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, weinreb's amide $(4.19 \mathrm{~g}, 15.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 30 mL THF was added slowly, at which time the colorless solution turned to dark. After stirring at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 minutes (gradually turned to orange color), it was quenched with saturated ammonium chloride aqueous solution at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Then it was raised to rt and stirred for 10 minutes. 40 mL brine and 40 mL diethyl ether was added. It was then extracted with diethyl ether ( 3 x 50 mL ), washed with brine ( 100 mL ), and dried with $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. After removing the solvents under reduced pressure, it was purified by flash chromatography ( $5 \%$ to $10 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexane) to get pale yellow oil ( $5.31 \mathrm{~g}, 89 \%$ ). IR (neat): 2958, 2921, 1658, 1597, 1560, 1458, $1201 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta}$ $7.98(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.78(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=11.5,17.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.68(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.64(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.57(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{J}=2,17.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.45(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=2,12 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.14(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=2.5,12 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $3.12(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=2.5,11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.74(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=3.5,5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.71(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=3.5,5$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 2.36(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.28(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.09-2.02(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.99(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=1 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $1.93-1.84(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.96(\mathrm{~d}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 199.9$, $157.4,138.9,137.6,135.7,135.1,130.7,123.3,122.1,120.1,110.9,61.0,55.4,47.9$,
28.0, 25.3, 24.6, 23.8, 21.6, 16.4; HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{Na}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} 413.1579$;

Found: 413.1578.


156: To a solution of $155(5.31 \mathrm{~g}, 13.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ in methanol ( $35 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.4 \mathrm{M}$ ) was added 2,6-lutidine ( $9.38 \mathrm{~g}, 68 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\operatorname{NCS}(3.6 \mathrm{~g}, 2.69 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 7 min , the milky solution turned a yellow homogeneous solution. Then it was quenched with sat. $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$, brine, and extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} 3 \times 35 \mathrm{~mL}$. After washing with 1 N HCl , washing with brine, and drying with $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, it was concentrated under reduced pressure. FCC with $25 \%$ EA/Hex yielded 156 ( $5.1 \mathrm{~g}, 86 \%$ ). IR (neat): 2962, 1642, 1454, 1234, 1127, $992 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 7.45(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.78(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=11,17.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $6.73(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.70(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.15(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.45-5.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $3.74(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.05(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.91-2.79(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.76(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.01(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=$ $1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.96(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.01(\mathrm{~d}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{CNMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta$ $196.5,157.4,149.8,143.2,137.9,136.6,135.1,131.7,130.8,123.9,122.3,120.6,111.5$, $55.6,55.2,54.5,31.3,29.4,22.0,21.7,21.6,13.8$; HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{Na}$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$459.1634; Found: 459.1636.

(2E)-3-(2-ethenyl-3-methoxy-5-methylphenyl)-2-methylprop-2-enal (132):
Stille coupling: CsF ( $1.8 \mathrm{~g}, 12 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added in one portion into a solution of bromoaldehyde ( $2.17 \mathrm{~g}, 8.05 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), vinyltributyltin ( $2.81 \mathrm{~g}, 8.86 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), bistriphenyl phosphine palladium (II) chloride ( $56 \mathrm{mg}, 0.08 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and triphenyl phosphine ( 73 mg , $0.32 \mathrm{mmol})$ in toluene $(50 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.16 \mathrm{M})$. Then the mixture was stirred at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 17 hours, during which time the yellow solution turned dark. It was cooled down to rt and filtered through a well packed Celite and rinsed with 100 mL ethyl acetate to get rid of the black solids. After concentrated under reduced pressure, it was purified by flashed chromatography (pure hexane, then $2 \%$ to $5 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexane) to get the yellow oil (1.63 g, 94\%). IR (neat): 3003, 2958, 2831, 2709, 1683, 1622, 1597, 1458, 1201, 1017 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 9.63(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=11.5$, $17.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.79(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.74(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.52(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=2,11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.41(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=2$, $17.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.86(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.38(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.95(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125\right.$ $\mathrm{MHz}) \delta 195.7,157.4,150.4,138.5,137.8,134.1,130.4,124.2,122.1,121.1,112.0,55.6$, 21.6, 10.8; HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Na}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$239.1042; Found: 239.1045 .

## Suzuki coupling to make 132:

To a solution of bromoaldehyde ( $1.04 \mathrm{~g}, 3.87 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 20 mL propanol was added vinyl pinacol borate $(0.90 \mathrm{~g}, 5.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ and purged with $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ for 10 minutes at rt . The solution
was treated with palladium (II) acetate $(8.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.039 \mathrm{mmol})$, triphenylphosphine ( 30 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.11 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), sodium carbonate ( $8 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.725 \mathrm{M}, 5.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and purged with $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ for 10 minutes. Then the mixture was raised to $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and stirred under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ for 7 hours. TCL showed complete consumption of bromoaldehyde. Then, it was cooled to rt, diluted with ethyl acetate ( 20 mL ), filtered through 2.5 g Florisil on top of a Celite plug ( 1 cm depth), and rinsed with ethyl acetate $(2 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The two layers were separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$, washed with saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$, brine $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$, concentrated under reduced pressure, and purified by flash chromatography ( $10 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexane) to get a yellow oil ( 769 mg , 92\%).

## Oxidation by $\mathrm{MnO}_{2}$ to make 132:

To a solution of the alcohol ( $470 \mathrm{mg}, 2.15 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{DCM}(10 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.2 \mathrm{M})$ was added $\mathrm{MnO}_{2}(1.87 \mathrm{~g}, 21.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ at rt in one portion. It was stirred at rt for 12 hour and filtered through Celite. After concentration under reduced pressure, it was purified by flash chromatography ( $5 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexane) to get the aldehyde ( $414 \mathrm{mg}, 89 \%$ ).


3-methoxy-5-methyl-2-vinylbenzaldehyde (102): It was synthesized from 2-iodo-3-methoxy-5-methylbenzaldehyde, or 2-bromo-3-methoxy-5-methylbenzaldehyde through Stille or Suzuki coupling similar to the above procedures in yields from $51 \%$ to $82 \%$ (Table 2) as a white wax. IR (neat): $3019,2860,1679,1597,1278,1193,1136,1078,996$
$\mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 10.2(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=11,18$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 6.90(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.71(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=1.5,11 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.31(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=1.8,18 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.87(\mathrm{~s}, 3$ H), $2.40(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 193,157,139,135,129,128,124,120$, 116, 56, 22; HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Na}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$199.0730; Found: 199.1727.

(2E)-3-(2-bromo-3-methoxy-5-methylphenyl)-2-methylprop-2-enal (131): To a solution of alcohol ( $470 \mathrm{mg}, 2.15 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DCM ( $10 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.2 \mathrm{M}$ ) was added manganese oxide $(1.87 \mathrm{~g}, 21.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ at rt . The mixture was stirred for 2 days at rt , filtered through Celite to get rid of solid, and purified by flash chromatography ( $10 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexane) to get colorless crystal ( $414 \mathrm{mg}, 89 \%$ ). mp $101-102^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (neat): 2917, 2848, $1679,1569,1311,1197,1017,727 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 7.45(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.26(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.82(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.74(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.92(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.37(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.93(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=1$ $\mathrm{Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 195.4,155.5,138.7,137.2,124.4,123.4,110.9$, 110.0, 68.0, 56.1, 21.3, 15.0 HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{BrO}_{2} \mathrm{Na}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$290.9991; Found: 290.9989.

(2E)-3-(2-bromo-3-methoxy-5-methylphenyl)-2-methylprop-2-en-1-ol (131a): To a solution of the bromoester ( $5.64 \mathrm{~g}, 18 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in THF ( $200 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.09 \mathrm{M}$ ), was added DIBAL ( $54 \mathrm{~mL}, 1 \mathrm{M}$ in toluene, 54 mmol ) slowly at $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After stirring for 2.5 hours at -30 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, it was raised to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and added 2.16 mL water slowly, 0.2 mL 4 N sodium hydroxide aqueous solution, 5.4 mL water sequentially at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Then it was raised to rt and stirred for 15 minutes at rt , added 10 g anhydrous magnesium sulfate, stirred for 15 minutes, and filtered through Celite. After concentration under reduced pressure, it was purified by flash chromatography ( $25 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexane) to get the yellow oil ( $4.69 \mathrm{~g}, 96 \%$ ). IR (neat): $3379,2938,2856,1569,1315,1242,1090,1168,911,829,731 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 6.69(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.60(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.50(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.21(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $3.86(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.49(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.30(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $125 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 155.5,138.7,137.2,124.4,123.4,110.9,110.0,68.0,56.1,21.3,15.0$; HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{BrO}_{2} \mathrm{Na}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$293.0148; Found: 293.0163.


## Ethyl (2E)-3-(2-ethenyl-3-methoxy-5-methylphenyl)-2-methylprop-2-enoate (153):

To a solution of bromo ester ( $5.06 \mathrm{~g}, 16.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), vinyltributyltin ( $7.71 \mathrm{~g}, 24.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), bistriphenyl phosphine palladium (II) chloride ( $171 \mathrm{mg}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and triphenyl phosphine ( $145 \mathrm{mg}, 0.64 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in toluene ( $80 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.2 \mathrm{M}$ ), was added CsF ( $3.70 \mathrm{~g}, 24.3$ mmol). Then the mixture was stirred at $110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 hours, during which time the
yellow solution turned to a grayish suspension. It was cooled down to rt and filtered through a short silica plug and rinsed with 200 mL diethyl ether. After concentrated under reduced pressure, it was purified by flashed chromatography ( $5 \%$ to $10 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexane) to get the yellow oil ( $3.86 \mathrm{~g}, 92 \%$ ).IR (neat): 3085, 2979, 2958, 1704, 1597, 1560, 1454, 1242, $1115 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 7.73(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.79$ $(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=11.5,17.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.68(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.67(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.52(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=1.5,18 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $5.46(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=1.5,11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.26(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.35(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.96$ (s, 3 H$), 1.34(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 168.5$, 157.5, 139.7, $137.6,135.6,130.5,128.6,123.5,122.3,120.1,111.2,60.7,55.5,21.6,14.3,14.0 ;$ HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Na}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} 283.1305$; Found: 283.1305.

(2E)-3-(2-ethenyl-3-methoxy-5-methylphenyl)- $N$-methoxy- $N$,2-dimethylprop-2-
enamide (154): To a well mixed suspension of ethyl ester (7.18 g, 27.6 mmol ) and N methoxymethanamine hydrochloride ( $5.40 \mathrm{~g}, 55.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( $55 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.5 \mathrm{M}$ ) was added isopropyl magnesium chloride ( $55.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 2 \mathrm{M}$ in diethyl ether) over 1 hour at -20 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ by the aid of slow addition pump, resulting a yellow solution with white solid floating at the bottom. The temperature was allowed to rise to $-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ slowly over 2 hours, and quenched with saturated ammonium chloride aqueous solution at $-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, forming voluminous amount of white salt. After stirring at rt for 30 minutes, it was extracted with
diethyl ether ( 3 x 100 mL ), washed with brine ( 200 mL ), dried with $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated under reduced pressure. After purification by flash chromatography (50\% ethyl acetate in hexane), the Weinreb's amide was obtained as a colorless viscous oil ( $6.75 \mathrm{~g}, 80 \%$ ): IR (neat): 2962, 2938, 1650, 1560, 1454, 1368, 1291, 1201, 1103, 996, $911 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 6.86(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.77(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=12,18 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.70$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.67(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.65(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=3.5,18 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.45(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=3.5,12 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.85$ (s, 3 H ), $3.71(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.29(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.35(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.97(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 172.5,157.6,137.5,135.6,132.2,132.16,130.6,123.2,122.5,119.8$, $110.8,61.2,55.5,33.6,21.6,15.5 ;$ HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{Na}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$298.1414; Found: 298.1413.


## (2E)-3-(2-ethenyl-3-methoxy-5-methylphenyl)-2-methylprop-2-en-1-ol:

To a solution of the ester ( $540 \mathrm{mg}, 2.07 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DCM ( $6 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.3 \mathrm{M}$ ), was added DIBAL ( $6.22 \mathrm{~mL}, 1 \mathrm{M}$ in toluene, 6.22 mmol ) slowly at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After stirring for 1 hour at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, it was quenched with $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4} 7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ till no bubble was released. Then it was filtered through Celite, rinsed with 50 mL ethyl acetate, and concentrated under reduced pressure. After purification by flash chromatography ( $25 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexane), the alcohol was obtained (448 mg, 99\%). IR (neat): 3354, 3015, 2913, 2852, 1605, 1564, 1454, 1303, $1156,1095,1005 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 6.75(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=12,18 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.63$
(s, 2 H$), 6.52(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.68(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=2.5,18 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.39(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=2,11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.18$ $(\mathrm{d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.83(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.33(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.73(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125\right.$ $\mathrm{MHz}) \delta 157.6,137.4,137.3,137.2,131.0,125.2,123.0,122.6,118.9,110.2,68.5,55.4$, 21.6, 15.1; HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Na}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$241.1199; Found: 241.1198 .


Table 6

| Catalyst,additive | Solvent(concentration) | Temperature, time, | yield(A to B ratio) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AcOH | MeOH | rt | SM |
| $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{ACN})_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | Acetone $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | rt | decomposed |
| HCl | Acetone/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | rt | decomposed |
| $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OTf})$ benzene, $\mathrm{LiClO}_{4}$ | DCM | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{rt}, 2 \mathrm{~h} ; \\ & \text { reflux, } 4.5 \mathrm{~h} \end{aligned}$ | (quick test) 5:7 |
| $2 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OTf})$ benzene <br> 2 equiv. $\mathrm{LiClO}_{4}$ | DCE (0.05 M ) | rt, 45 min ; <br> $42^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 45 \mathrm{~min}$; <br> $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 7$ hours | SM gone; <br> 3 new compounds; $53 \%(1: 3.8)$ |
| 7 mol\% Cu(OTf).benzene, 1 equiv. $\mathrm{LiClO}_{4}$ | DCE(0.1M) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { rt, 1day; } \\ & 35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 14 \mathrm{~h} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | trace amount of P ; $35 \%(1: 5)$ |
| $12 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Sc}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}, 2$ equiv. $\mathrm{LiClO}_{4}$ | DCE(0.025M) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{rt}, 15 \mathrm{~min} ; \\ & 50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0.5 \mathrm{~h} \end{aligned}$ | SM gone after 15 min 7\% |
| $10 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Sc}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ | DCE (0.1M) | rt, 1 h | no rxn |
| $10 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Sc}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}, 1$ equiv. $\mathrm{LiClO}_{4}$ | DCE(0.1M) | $\mathrm{rt}, 24 \mathrm{~h}$ <br> spot to spot to spot | $24 \%(1: 1.8)$ <br> SM gone after 15 min |


| $10 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OTf})_{2}, 1$ equiv. $\mathrm{LiClO}_{4}, 20 \mathrm{~mol} \% 2,6$-lutidine | $\mathrm{DCM}(0.1 \mathrm{M})$ | reflux | unknown |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $10 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OTf})_{2}, 2$ equiv. $\mathrm{LiClO}_{4}$ | DCM ( 0.05 M ) | rt, 1.5 days | 10\% |
| $2 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OTf})$ benzene, <br> 2 equiv. $\mathrm{LiClO}_{4}$ : <br> Added $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OTf})_{2}$ | DCE (0.05 M ) | Rt to $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 19$ hours; rt, 5 days | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No rxn; } \\ & 10 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| $10 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OTf})_{2}$ | DCE (0.05 M ) | $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 20$ hours | 25\% |
| $2 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OTf})_{2}$ | DCE (0.05 M) | $\mathrm{Rt}, 20 \mathrm{~min}$; <br> $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 6$ hours | 28\% |
| $2 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OTf})$ benzene, <br> 1 equiv. $\mathrm{LiClO}_{4}$ |  | Rt to $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 19\% for 2 steps |
| $10 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{ACN})_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 1$ equiv. TEA | DCE | $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2$ days | No rxn |
| $10 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{AgSbF}_{6}$ | DCM | rt, 2 days | Nornn |
| $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ <br> Dichloro(pentamethylcyclop entadienyl)iridium(III) dimer | DCM | Reflux, 7 hours | No rxn |
| 1 equiv. $\mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{OiPr})_{4}$ | $\mathrm{DCM}(0.2 \mathrm{M})$ | $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Norxn |
| 4 equiv. BF3.Et20 | DCM $(0.2 \mathrm{M})$ | $-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 4$ days | 16\% (1:0.3) |
| 4 equiv. $\mathrm{BF} 3 . \mathrm{Et} 20$ | DCM (0.2 M) | $-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 11$ hours | 22\% |
| $10 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Hg}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | $\mathrm{DCM}(0.2 \mathrm{M})$ | $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, hours | \% |


| $\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | DCM | Reflux, 30 h | No rnn |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | DCE $(0.05 \mathrm{M})$ | $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h} ;$ | No rxn |
|  |  | $48^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 23 \mathrm{~h}$ | $22 \%$ |
| $\mathrm{Added} 2 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OTf}) \cdot$ benzene and |  |  |  |
| 2 equiv. $\mathrm{LiClO}_{4}$ |  |  |  |



162: To a solution of $\mathbf{1 5 6}(3.6 \mathrm{~g}, 8.24 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{DCM}(55 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.15 \mathrm{M})$ at $-35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was
added trifluoroborane etherate $(3.5 \mathrm{~g}, 24.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ dropwise. The solution turned red.
After stirring at $-35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 min , it was raised to rt and stirred for 1 hour. Then, it was
cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and quenched with 50 mL sat. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$. The mixture was stirred for 30 min at rt . Then, it was washed with $2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ brine, dried with $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and concentrated under reduced pressure to get reddish oil. The crude $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ showed the ratio of 143/144/162 to be $1: 0.28: 0.25$. After purification by FCC with $10 \%$ EA/Hex, $23 \%$ of 143 and 144 were isolated together with $4 \%$ of less polar 162. IR (neat): 2958, 2933, $1720,1605,1278,1086 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 6.87(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $6.75(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.60(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.44(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.02(\mathrm{dt}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=2.5,13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.82$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.31(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=12 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.24(\mathrm{dt}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=2.514 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.56(\mathrm{dt}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=14,3$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 2.48(\mathrm{dt}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=13.5,3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.34(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.22-2.16(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.15(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.15-$ $2.11(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.88(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.24(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.17(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.81(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 210.5,155.3,138.0,134.7,127.5,123.6,120.4$, $117.6,110.4,55.4,54.3,52.6,45.6,27.1,26.7,25.5,25.1,23.2,21.9,21.8,21.3$; HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{Na}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$411.1427; Found: 411.1419.


163: To a solution of $\mathbf{1 6 2}(30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.077 \mathrm{mmol})$ in Et2O was added LAH ( $10 \mathrm{mg}, 0.26$ mmol ) at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 10 min , it was filtered through a silica plug, rinsed with Et2O, concentrated under reduced pressure to get the crude white solid product. It was purified by FCC with $25 \%$ EA/Hex to get analytically pure $163(24 \mathrm{mg}, 80 \%)$ as a white solid. Melting point is $143-146{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (neat): $3461,2917,1462,1274 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $500 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 6.77(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=10 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.67(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.56(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.85(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=10,1$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 4.46(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=12 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.44(\mathrm{dt}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=3.5,13 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.08(\mathrm{ddd}, 1 \mathrm{H}$,
$\mathrm{J}=2.5,11.5,14 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.91(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=12 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.84(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=1.5,12 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.70(\mathrm{dt}, 1$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=14,4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.56(\mathrm{dt}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=13.5,3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.32(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.19-2.11(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.10-$ $2.04(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.91-1.82(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.25(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.65(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7 \mathrm{~Hz}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 155.1,137.3,136.2,127.9,123.5,119.2,118.5,110.2,94.4$, $62.6,58.7,55.4,47.2,46.9,29.3,27.7,25.6,24.2,23.3,23.0,21.8,20.4$; HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{Na}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$413.1579; Found: 413.1577.

164: To a solution of $\mathbf{1 6 3}(24 \mathrm{mg}, 0.062 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{DCM}(1 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.06 \mathrm{M})$ was added TEA $(12.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.123 \mathrm{mmol})$ and mesyl chloride $(10 \mathrm{mg}, 0.092 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Then it was stirred at rt for 1 hour and quenched with 2 mL sat. NH 4 Cl , extracted with $2 \times 2 \mathrm{Ml} \mathrm{DCM}$, washed with brine, dried with Na 2 SO 4 , concentrated to get a white solid crude product. It was purified by FCC to get pure $164(17 \mathrm{mg}, 74 \%)$ with a melting point of $168-170{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (neat): 2950, 1601, 1471, 1274, $1086 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 6.64(\mathrm{~d}, 1$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=10 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.62(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.57(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.52(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.19(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(\mathrm{~s}$, 3 H ), 3.73 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.30 (ddd, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4.5,11.5,15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 3.09 (ddd, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4.5,11,15$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 2.76(\mathrm{ddd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=3.5,5,15 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.64(\mathrm{dt}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=15,4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.49($ heptet, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=$ $7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.34(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.19-2.04(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.53(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.12(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.91(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 0.81(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 154.7,142.0,137.2,136.6$, 133.7, 129.9, 124.4, 119.4, 118.4, 110.5, 61.5, 55.6, 55.5, 48.5, 34.5, 30.7, 28.9, 28.6, 21.8, 20.3, 18.9, 18.6; HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{OS}_{2} \mathrm{Na}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$395.1474; Found: 395.1472.

## APPENDIX

Selected ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR Spectra
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CA $-\perp-2 N B$ roduct of iodination-white solic-1H NME


CAI－bromoaldehyde－colorless crystal－1H NMR
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$\angle 9.8 历 \tau$
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CAI-IV-7-2-Yellow oil-1H NMR
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1.0 \cdot 0-
$$

$$
58^{\circ} 0 \mathrm{~T}
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\begin{aligned}
& 69 \cdot 2 Z \cdots \\
& 65 \cdot 2 Z-
\end{aligned}
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ZG'TE
CAI-IV-7-Pale Yellow Oil-13C NMR


$6 \varepsilon \cdot \angle G \mathbb{L}$
$99^{\circ} 56 \mathrm{~L} \longrightarrow$



|  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


CAI-V-98-Yellow oil-1H NMR

|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |



n

| $\boxed{79^{\circ}}$ |
| :---: |
| $0 \varepsilon^{\prime} \varepsilon$ |

n

$\infty$

の

으
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LO L6T $\qquad$
$06^{\circ}$ ゥ0て $\qquad$


| Current | Data Parameters |
| :---: | :---: |
| NAME | CAI-IV-22-4 |
| EXPNO | 1 |
| PROCNO | 1 |
| F2 - Acqu | disition Parameters |
| Date_ | 20080921 |
| Time | 23.45 |
| INSTRUM | DRX500 |
| PROBHD | $5 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{CPTCI} 1 \mathrm{H}-$ |
| PULPROG | zg30 |
| TD | 65536 |
| SOLVENT | CDC13 |
| NS | 8 |
| DS | 2 |
| SWH | 10330.578 Hz |
| FIDRES | 0.157632 Hz |
| AQ | 3.1719923 sec |
| RG | 14.3 |
| DW | 48.400 usec |
| DE | 6.00 usec |
| TE | 300.0 K |
| D1 | 1.00000000 sec |
| MCREST | 0.00000000 sec |
| MCWRK | 0.01500000 sec |

$\begin{array}{lr}=======\text { CHANNEL } \mathrm{f} 12======= \\ \text { NUC1 } & 1 \mathrm{H} \\ \text { P1 } & 8 \mathrm{usec} \\ \text { PL1 } & 8.30 \mathrm{~dB} \\ \text { PFO1 } & 500.1335009 \mathrm{MHz}\end{array}$




CAI-IV-35-white solid-1H NMR
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$58.80=$
$05.60 \longrightarrow$
45.95


CAI-IV-36-2-White solid-1H NMR



$80^{\circ} \cdot{ }^{-}$


13 CNMR


13 CNMR



$0 \%$ 「5sT
29.58! $\qquad$

も OLC
CAI－VII－89－DIOL－1H NMR

|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 氙心 |  |  |
| 唇 |  | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ |
| 嵒： |  | 炭 |
| $\mathrm{m}^{6}$ | 7 |  |
| $\stackrel{\text { ä }}{\text { a }}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |






13C NMR

CAI-III-120-P-1H NMR



$86^{\circ} \mathrm{VT}$
$6 Z^{\circ} \mathrm{t} \tau$


पZWN DET




Left1-H NMR





| Current Lata Paramezers <br> NAME CAI-VIII-28-2 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| Expno | - 1 |
| Procmo | 1 |
| F2 - Acquisitior. Parameters |  |
| Date_ |  |
| Time | 13.42 |
| INSTRUM | DRX500 |
| PROBHD | 5 mm CPTCI 1H- |
| PULPROG | zg30 |
| TD | 65536 |
| SoLVENT | CDC13 |
| NS | 8 |
| DS | 2 |
| SWH | 10330.578 Hz |
| FIDRES | 0.157632 Hz |
| AQ | 3.1719923 sec |
| RG | 10.1 |
| DW | 43.400 usec |
| DE | 6.10 usec |
| TE | 300.0 |
| L1 | 1.00000000 sec |
| MCREST | 0.00000060 sec |
| MCWRK | $0.0-500000 \mathrm{sec}$ |
| ======== CHANNEL f1 == |  |
| NUC: | 1H |
| F1 | 8.00 usec |
| fL1 | 4.30 dB |
| SFO1 | 500.2335069 MHz |
| F2 -- Processing parameters |  |
| SI | 32768 |
| SF | $500 .: 300176 \mathrm{MHz}$ |
| WDW |  |
| SSB | 0 |
| L.B | 0.30 Hz |
| GB |  |
| FC | 1.40 |

$000^{\circ} 0-$

CAI-VIII-28-Yellow oil-1H NMR



$\qquad$
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\end{aligned}
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$000 \cdot 0-1$

 CAI-VII-94-3 1H NMR

13C NMR

Zす。 LGT
$98 \cdot 86 T$


$000 \cdot 0$

૬85* $\tau$
CAI-V-Recovered aldehyde-white wax-1H NMR

$-$


\&S. TZ

$0 \nabla^{\circ} \angle S T$

CAI-V--67-Colorless oil-1H NMR







CAI-V-49-P-Colorless oil-1H NMR


$9 \varepsilon \cdot \nabla-\square$

$\varepsilon 8 \cdot 09$

$0 L \cdot \cdot 9 L$

$5 Z \cdot L L$
$\qquad$


13C NMR


## CAI-V-53-P


$\qquad$
$98^{\circ} 97$
$88^{\circ} 971$

$9 \tau \cdot \varepsilon 6 \tau$
$0 乙 \cdot \varepsilon 6 \tau$


$\angle \sigma^{\circ}$ LZ
$99^{*}$ 乙と $\qquad$

६๑•૬૬
$\underset{\mathrm{SZ} \cdot \mathrm{LL}}{\mathrm{SL} \cdot 9 \mathrm{LL}} \mathrm{OL}$


13C NMR






|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | ＂19－天家 |  |
| － |  | －${ }^{\text {c }}$ | \％ |
| － |  |  | $\triangle$ |
| 込 | －$\quad \rightarrow \mathrm{m}$－${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{O} 0^{\circ}$ | T | \％ |
|  | －E |  |  |
| $\mathbb{H}_{4}$ | $\cdots$ | 3 |  |
|  | 暏 惰 |  |  |
| zeo |  | －${ }^{\circ}$ |  |
| 3）包甾兔 |  |  |  |



## CAI-VIII-27-White solid-1H NMR



$\begin{array}{llll}11 & 10 & 9 & 8\end{array}$

| Current Lata Parameters |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| NAME | CAI-VIII-27-a |
| EXPNO | 2 |
| PROCNO | 1 |
| F2 - Accuisition Parameters |  |
| Date_ | 2010 C518 |
| Time | 11.19 |
| INSTRIM | DRX500 |
| PROBHD | 5 ram CFTCI 1H- |
| PULPROG | zgpg 30 |
| TD | 71424 |
| SOLVENT | CDC13 |
| NS | 117 |
| DS | 4 |
| SWH | 35211.270 Hz |
| F-JRES | 0.492989 Hz |
| AQ | 1.0142708 sec |
| RG | 4096 |
| DW | 14.200 usec |
| DE | 35.00 usec |
| TE | 300.0 K |
| D- | 2.00000000 sec |
| dil | 0.03000000 sec |
| DELTA | 1.89999998 sec |
| MCREST | 0.00000000 sec |
| MCWRK | 0.01500000 sec |
| ======== CHANNEL f1 ======= |  |
| NuC1 | 13C |
| P1 | 12.00 usec |
| PL1 | 0.30 dB |
| SFO1 | 125.770 .6224 MHz |
| ======== CHANNEL f2 = |  |
| CPDPRG2 | waitz16 |
| NUC2 | 1H |
| PCPJ2 | 80.00 usec |
| PL2 | 5.00 dB |
| PL12 | 22.00 dB |
| PL13 | 27.90 dB |
| SFO2 | 500.1320005 MHz |
| F2 - Frocessing parame=ers |  |
| SI | 6553万 |
| S3 | 125.7577939 MHz |
| WDW | EM |
| SSB | 1 |
| L3 | $\therefore .05 \mathrm{~Hz}$ |
| G3 | J |
| PC | $-.05$ |





$5 L^{\circ} 9 L$
$00 \cdot \mathrm{LL}$
$90^{\circ} \mathrm{LL} \longrightarrow$ —,
$6 \varepsilon \cdot 16$

$\varepsilon \tau \cdot \varsigma \subseteq T$ $\qquad$

CAI－VIII－30－1－white needles

|  |  |  | 边 N N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ज口न斤 | む゙mo ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | ＂11 ${ }^{11} 880$ |  |
| － |  |  | 郎口－ |
| d |  | －$\quad \stackrel{\text { m }}{7}$ | $\stackrel{\text { ²m }}{\text { ¢ }}$ |
| 0 |  | 岗 | 안 |
| O | － | ${ }_{8}$ |  |
|  |  | d |  |
|  | \％${ }_{4}$ |  | 出 |
|  |  |  |  |
| 㭡品 |  |  |  |

（ CAI－VIII－30－1－white needles

$\qquad$
$\qquad$

## 

$67.87=$
87.95
$99.94=$
97.19

تL.9L


$$
-
$$

$$
6 \sigma \cdot 0 I \tau
$$



モL. EST ——

## VITA

Zhengxin Cai was born at Huabei Oilfield, Hejian city, Hebei province in China in the winter of 1982. He went to Tianjin University in 2001, and got his B.S. degree from School of Pharmaceutical Science and Technology, Tianjin University in 2005.

He went to University of Missouri at Columbia in 2005. After a trip to New York City, he joined the Harmata group to study the art of total synthesis in 2006. In 2010, he decided to expand his passion in total synthesis to the field of molecular imaging. In 2011, after he got his Ph.D. degree, he moved to Pittsburgh to join Carolyn Anderson's group as a postdoctoral associate.

