## Importance and Implementation of Selected Middle Level Programmatic Practices (We Tend to Implement that Which Is Important to Us)

|  | Very Important |  | Full Implementation |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Highly <br> Successful | National <br> Sample | Highly <br> Successful | National <br> Sample |
| Interdisciplinary teams of 2-5 teachers sharing <br> common students, common planning time, housed in <br> close proximity | 96 | 77 | 81 | 55 |
| Exploratory course offerings which provide <br> required (not elective) curricular opportunities for all <br> students | 78 | 72 | 75 | 66 |
| Advisor-advisee program regularly scheduled for <br> 15 minutes or more during each classroom day | 61 | 48 | 47 | 32 |
| Co-curricular program separate from regular <br> graded courses, but occurring during the school day, <br> designed to provide students with the opportunity to <br> pursue leadership roles, special interests, and <br> socialization | 56 | 42 | 43 | 26 |
| Intramural activities offered for all students during <br> or immediately after the regular classroom day | 60 | 48 | 47 | 37 |

* Importance: Little/No, Somewhat, Very *Implementation: None, Partial, Full
- All Highly Successful principals value these common middle level programmatic characteristics significantly more.
- All Highly Successful schools implement these common middle level programmatic characteristics significantly more.


## School Schedule Serving Most Students

|  | Highly Successful | National Sample |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Daily Disciplinary <br> Schedule (6, 7, 8 periods daily) | 23 | 46 |
| Daily Interdisciplinary <br> Schedule (6, 7, 8 periods <br> implemented as blocks of time) | 55 | 38 |
| Alternating-day Disciplinary <br> Schedule (3 or 4 periods daily) | 14 | 11 |
| Alternating-day <br> Interdisciplinary Schedule (3 or <br> 4 periods implemented as <br> blocks of time) | 7 | 4 |
| Self-contained Classroom <br> Schedule (one teacher for all <br> core classes) | 1 | 1 |

- Non-traditional schedules significantly more common in Highly Successful schools.


## Schools with One or More Interdisciplinary Teams by Grade Level

|  | Highly Successful | National Sample |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anywhere in the School | 95 | 79 |
|  |  |  |
| At Grade 5 | 90 | 59 |
| At Grade 6 | 96 | 79 |
| At Grade 7 | 95 | 76 |
| At Grade 8 | 94 | 69 |
| At Grade 9 | 100 | 22 |

- Highly Successful schools are significantly more likely to have interdisciplinary teaming somewhere in the school.
- Teaming is significantly more prevalent in Highly Successful schools at each grade level.


## Characteristics of Interdisciplinary Teams

|  | Highly <br> Successful | National <br> Sample |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Team has at least One Common Planning Time Daily | 93 | 89 |
| Team works together >4 hrs per week during planning times | 35 | 22 |
| Classrooms of Team Teachers are Adjacent | 45 | 26 |
| Team has designated Team Leader | 86 | 71 |
| Students Taught Core Classes only By Team Members | 79 | 83 |
| Students Assigned to Teams Heterogeneously | 100 | 93 |

- Teams in Highly Successful schools slightly more likely to have common planning times.
- Teams in Highly Successful schools significantly more likely to plan $>4$ hours per week.
- Classrooms of teams in Highly Successful schools significantly more likely to be adjacent.
- Students having all core instruction within same team slightly more common in national sample.
- Students assigned heterogeneously to teams slightly more common in Highly Successful schools.


## Curriculum Design

|  | Highly <br> Successful | National <br> Sample |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Discipline Centered (most instruction <br> in departmentalized settings) | 25 | 38 |
| Topic Centered (content linked <br> between disciplines but instruction <br> mostly departmentalized e.g. "Civil <br> War") | 33 | 27 |
| Theme Centered (interdisciplinary <br> themes; instruction is truly <br> interdisciplinary e.g. "Changes") | 27 | 21 |
| Student Centered (teachers and <br> students identify themes/units. <br> Instruction almost entirely in <br> interdisciplinary teams) | 15 | 14 |

- Three-fourths of Highly Successful schools design curriculum in formats that support interdisciplinary learning.


## Organizational Format for Delivery of Core Curriculum

|  | Highly Successful | National Sample |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Language Arts <br> Grade 7 | Language Arts <br> Grade 7 |
| Self-contained <br> Classroom | 7 | 8 |
| Interdisciplinary <br> Teaming | 78 | 48 |
| Disciplinary <br> Teaming | 4 | 7 |
| Departmentalized <br> Instruction | 11 | 35 |

- Language Arts presented as typical of core content areas of Language Arts, Math, Science, and Social Studies. Grade 7 presented as typical of middle level grades.
- Principals reported actual percentages taught in these formats...these are the averages of the percents reported for each school.
- Students in Highly Successful schools significantly more likely to receive instruction in the core content areas via interdisciplinary teaming than in typical schools.


## Organizational Format for Delivery of Exploratory (Non-Core) Curriculum

|  | Highly Successful |  | National Sample |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Grade 7 |  | Grade 7 |  |
|  | Required Elective | Required Elective |  |  |
| Self-contained <br> Classroom | 6 | 9 | 10 | 12 |
| Interdisciplinary <br> Teaming | 35 | 22 | 15 | 17 |
| Disciplinary <br> Teaming | 2 | 1 | 6 | 5 |
| Departmentalized <br> Instruction | 33 | 46 | 63 | 64 |

- Grade 7 presented as typical of middle level grades. Principals reported actual percentages taught in these formats...these are the averages of the percents reported for each school.
- Required exploratory (non-core) courses delivered equally in teaming and departmentalized approaches in the Highly Successful middle level schools;
- Elective exploratory (non-core) courses delivered most often in departmental formats.


## Grouping of Students by Academic Ability

|  | Highly <br> Successful | National <br> Sample |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| No form of grouping by academic ability | 17 | 15 |
| Students grouped into specific classes by <br> academic ability (e.g., reading or math) | 61 | 72 |
| Students not grouped into specific classes by <br> academic ability but teachers group within <br> classes | 23 | 13 |

- Grouping by academic ability into specific classes less common in Highly Successful schools.
- Grouping by academic ability by teachers within the teacher's classrooms more common in Highly Successful schools.


## Gifted and Talented Programs

|  | Highly <br> Successful | National <br> Sample |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| No gifted/talented program | 9 | 16 |
| Released time for special classes | 49 | 45 |
| Heterogeneous classes with individualized assignments | 46 | 35 |
| Clusters of gifted/talented students in heterogeneous classes, with <br> individualized assignments | 36 | 26 |
| After school, evening, or weekend programs | 24 | 22 |
| Summer programs | 8 | 6 |
| Cooperative program with another school/organization | 8 |  |

- Students in Highly Successful schools are more likely to have access to G/T programs.
- All of the varied forms of delivery of G/T programs were more common in Highly Successful schools.


## Multi-Year Instruction (Looping)

|  | Highly <br> Successful | National <br> Sample |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Multi-year Looping Practiced in Some Format | 20 | 15 |
| Multi-year Looping Not Practiced | 80 | 85 |
| Formats of Looping if Practiced: | 8 | 4 |
| All grade levels, all students involved | 10 | 5 |
| All grade levels, only some students at each grade level <br> involved | 2 | 6 |
| Some grade levels only, all students at those levels involved |  |  |

- Looping more common in Highly Successful Schools
- Looping all students in the school twice as common in Highly Successful schools.
- Looping some students in each grade level most common form of looping.


## Educational Technology

| Type of Educational Technology | Used by Teachers |  | Used by Students |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Highly <br> Successful | National <br> Sample | Highly <br> Successful | National <br> Sample |
| No educational technology used in our school | 0 | $<1$ | 0 | 1 |
| Internet support of instruction (internet used to <br> obtain information for reports and projects) | 90 | 91 | 88 | 90 |
| Computer-assisted instruction | 83 | 89 | 84 | 91 |
| Electronic mail | 83 | 81 | 64 | 64 |
| Media-enhanced project demonstrations | 79 | 74 | 87 | 81 |
| Educational television channels/programs | 74 | 68 | 71 | 69 |
| Computer-managed instruction | 59 | 56 | 58 | 61 |
| Web pages for courses | 64 | 47 | N/A | N/A |
| Internet-based instruction (web-based <br> coursework) | 45 | 37 | 44 | 38 |
| Interactive video (within school) | 31 | 26 | 28 | 26 |
| Media-enhanced exit performances | 24 | 18 | 35 | 24 |
| Distance education (remote access combining <br> several technologies) | 17 | 17 | 14 | 16 |
| Interactive video (remote access) | 10 | 9 | 13 | 8 |

- Nearly every school uses technology in some form.
- Highly Successful and Typical schools use Internet equally to obtain learning information.
- Electronic mail used similarly in Highly Successful and Typical schools.
- Web pages for courses used more frequently in Highly Successful schools.
- Media-enhanced exit performances much more common in Highly Successful schools.

Assessment Practices

|  | Highly Successful | National Sample |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Student Projects | 19 | 11 |
| Essay Tests | 13 | 12 |
| Demonstrations to Peers | 11 | 8 |
| Portfolios | 10 | 7 |
| Mastery Checklists | 7 | 4 |
| Demonstrations to Adults | 6 | 4 |
| Multiple-Choice Tests | 16 | 22 |
| Short-Answer Tests | 14 | 13 |
| Fill-in-the-Blank Tests | 9 | 10 |
| Matching Tests | 7 | 9 |

- Authentic, higher-order, engaged, demonstration forms of assessment more common in Highly Successful schools.
- Traditional forms of assessment more common in National Sample schools.


## Percent of Students Retained in Past Year by Grade

|  | Grade 5 |  | Grade 6 |  | Grade 7 |  | Grade 8 |  | Grade 9 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% Retained | HS | NS | HS | NS | HS | NS | HS | NS | HS | NS |
| 0 \% | 73 | 64 | 61 | 44 | 51 | 39 | 63 | 43 | 75 | 38 |
| 1-2 \% | 20 | 28 | 30 | 39 | 40 | 39 | 23 | 39 | 25 | 43 |
| 3-4 \% | 7 | 2 | 4 | 7 | 4 | 10 | 6 | 8 |  | 10 |
| 5-10 \% |  | 5 | 6 | 9 | 5 | 10 | 6 | 8 |  | 8 |
| >10\% |  | 2 |  | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |

HS: Highly Successful
NS: National Sample

- At every grade, Highly Successful middle level schools retain significantly fewer students.
- Students are more likely to be retained at grade 7 in Highly Successful schools than in other grades.
- Students in the National Sample of schools are more likely to be retained in grades 7 or 9.


## Elementary to Middle Level Transition Practices

|  | Highly <br> Successful | National <br> Sample |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Middle level counselors/administrators actively solicit <br> information about incoming elementary students who may be at <br> risk academically or socially | 98 | 81 |
| Incoming students come to middle school in spring of prior <br> year for orientation activities | 89 | 81 |
| Activities or events specifically designed for parents of <br> incoming students are implemented | 89 | 74 |
| Middle level counselors go to sending school to meet/work with <br> incoming students | 86 | 72 |
| When incoming students come to middle level school for <br> orientation, current students play significant roles in the process | 77 | 58 |
| Current middle level students go to the sending school to <br> meet/orient incoming students | 64 | 43 |
| Incoming students come to middle level school in the fall <br> without older students present for orientation activities | 44 | 37 |
| When incoming students come to middle level school for <br> orientation, counselors conduct orientation process without <br> significant assistance from teachers or students | 19 | 26 |

- Highly Successful schools are more likely to implement transition programs into the Middle Level grades.
- Highly Successful schools also more likely to implement transition programs out of Middle Level grades.


## Comparison of Co-curricular Programs and Intramural Sports For Grade 7

|  | Grade 7 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Highly <br> Successful | National <br> Sample |
| Student Government | 91 | 86 |
| Student Clubs | 84 | 71 |
| Music Groups | 77 | 58 |
| Service Clubs | 76 | 58 |
| Drama | 74 | 54 |
| Publications | 71 | 55 |
| Mini Courses | 33 | 20 |
| Honor Society | 33 | 33 |
|  |  |  |
| Intramurals Sports | 70 | 54 |

- Highly Successful schools significantly more likely to have Co-curricular and Intramural programs for their students.


## Interscholastic Sports in Grade 7

|  | Highly Successful |  | National Sample |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Grade 7 Boys | Grade 7 Girls | Grade 7 Boys | Grade 7 Girls |
| No Interscholastic <br> Sports | 15 | 14 | 14 | 14 |
| Have Interscholastic <br> Sports | 85 | 86 | 86 | 86 |

- No difference in the presence of interscholastic sports in Highly Successful and National Sample schools.


## At What Grades Should More Emphasis be Placed on Intramurals than on Interscholastic Sports?

|  | Highly <br> Successful | National <br> Sample |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Grades 5-6-7-8-9 (All Middle Level Grades) | 37 | 39 |
| Grades 5-6-7-8 (Grades 8 and below) | 11 | 6 |
| Grades 5-6-7 (Grades 7 and below) | 12 | 15 |
| Grades 5-6 (Grades 6 and below) | 39 | 36 |
| Grade 5 (Grade 5 only) | 0 | 4 |

- Very little differences in the thinking of principals in Highly Successful and Typical Schools about what grades to begin to emphasize Intramural Programs more than Interscholastic Sports.
- Nearly half of the principals believe Intramurals should be emphasized more than interscholastic sports at grades 8 and below.

