Effects of Timber Harvest on Amphibian Populations: Understanding Mechanisms from Forest Experiments


Harvesting timber is a common form of land use that has the potential to cause declines in amphibian populations. It is essential to understand the behavior and fate of individuals and the resulting consequences for vital rates (birth, death, immigration, emigration) under different forest management conditions. We report on experimental studies conducted in three regions of the United States to identify mechanisms of responses by pond-breeding amphibians to timber harvest treatments. Our studies demonstrate that life stages related to oviposition and larval performance in the aquatic stage are sometimes affected positively by clearcutting, whereas effects on juvenile and adult terrestrial stages are mostly negative. Partial harvest treatments produced both positive and weaker negative responses than clearcut treatments. Mitigating the detrimental effects of canopy removal, higher surface temperature, and loss of soil-litter moisture in terrestrial habitats surrounding breeding ponds is critical to maintaining viable amphibian populations in managed forested landscapes.
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yield insights on declines from other types of land use. Specifically, clearcutting is a form of canopy loss that shares several, but certainly not all, features of agricultural, industrial, and urban development. Understanding mechanisms may help land managers to mitigate some of the most detrimental practices to protect particular species of interest.

We focused on amphibians for several reasons. First, because most amphibian species possess a complex life cycle (distinct aquatic larval and terrestrial juvenile-adult phases), the loss or alteration of either aquatic or terrestrial habitats by timber extraction can negatively affect their vital rates. Further, although aquatic habitats are necessary for reproduction, juveniles and adults of most species spend the majority of their lives in terrestrial environments (Semlitsch 1998, Semlitsch and Bodie 2003). They possess small home ranges and have relatively limited dispersal capacity, compared with mammals or birds. Also, limited physiological mechanisms to prevent water loss necessitate the use of relatively cool, moist forested habitats, in conjunction with the use of underground refuges or coarse woody debris (CWD) to maintain high moisture levels. Thus, we assume that removal of the forest canopy or CWD would expose amphibians to warmer and drier microclimates (Ash 1995, Harpole and Haas 1999) that could eventually lead to lower survival (Todd and Rothermel 2006) or higher evacuation of habitats (Semlitsch et al. 2008). Thus, amphibians can be useful bioindicators of environmental change because they are sensitive to habitat alteration associated with timber extraction (Welsh and Droege 2001), they play a major role in forest food webs as both predators of invertebrates and prey of larger vertebrates (Davic and Welsh 2004), and they make up a significant portion of animal biomass available to other trophic levels (Gibbons et al. 2006, Peterman et al. 2008).

In this article, we summarize our published results on the effects of timber harvest treatments on pond-breeding amphibian populations during the first four years following experimental harvests. Our synthesis includes comparisons of (a) forest management treatments, (b) three regions of the United States, (c) nine species, (d) life history stages, and (e) multiple response variables affecting both behavioral and demographic traits. Our approach to understanding timber harvest effects was a collaborative effort that allowed simultaneous and standardized experimental studies across regions to generate results that were comparable and had strong management implications for pond-breeding amphibians.

Experimental and regional approaches

Although it is unlikely that we can assign precise differences to given factors, identifying similarities in responses across such a wide region would produce robust implications for forest management. Previous studies conducted in different regions and years on various species demonstrate that responses, especially abundance, differ greatly but are often confounded with many other factors, thereby introducing ambiguity in understanding declines. We selected widely separated sites in Maine, Missouri, and South Carolina to incorporate large differences in climate, amphibian species diversity, forest type, and topography (figure 1).

In the New England Province physiographic region, arrays were located in the Dwight B. Demeritt Forest and the Penobscot Experimental Forest near Orono, Maine. These study sites consisted of mature (at least 60 years old) mixed-deciduous and coniferous stands (Patrick et al. 2006). We established each of the arrays around a central breeding pond that was approximately 10 meters (m) in diameter (area 80 m²) and 0.5 to 0.75 m deep, and constructed by mechanically enlarging existing pools from December 2003 to March 2004. Species used for experimental studies included the spotted salamander (Ambystoma maculatum), northern leopard frog (Rana pipiens), and wood frog (Rana sylvatica).

On the upper Ozark Plateau physiographic region, we located arrays within the Daniel Boone Conservation Area (1424.5 hectares [ha]) in Warren County, Missouri. We situated arrays in mature (80 to 100 years old) second-growth oak (Quercus spp.) and hickory (Carya spp.) overstory, with varying amounts of sugar maple (Acer saccharum) in the understory (Semlitsch et al. 2008). Each array was centered on a small breeding pond (high-water area 160 to 330 m²). These ponds, originally built for other wildlife, are between 27 and 47 years old and have naturally colonized breeding populations of up to 14 species of amphibians (Hocking et al. 2008). Species used for experimental studies included the spotted salamander, American toad (Bufo americanus), gray treefrog (Hyla versicolor), and wood frog.

In the upper Coastal Plain physiographic region of the southeastern United States, we located arrays on the US Department of Energy’s Savannah River Site in Barnwell County, South Carolina. These areas are second-growth forests composed predominantly of mature (> 30 years old) loblolly pine (Pinus taeda; Rothermel and Luhring 2005). Each array was centered on a natural, isolated, seasonal depression wetland (high-water area 1100 to 1300 m²) identified as a “Carolina bay” (Sharitz 2003). Species used for experimental studies included the marbled salamander (Ambystoma opacum), mole salamander (Ambystoma talpoideum), and southern toad (Bufo terrestris).

We established four replicate experimental forest arrays in each region (12 total). Replicates in each region were spaced 0.3 to 3.0 kilometers apart. Each array was centered on an amphibian breeding pond and consisted of a circle of mature forest divided into four quadrants, each containing a different treatment (figure 1). The size of the experimental arrays encompassed 95% of the estimated core terrestrial habitat needed by breeding populations of six species of salamanders, including species we studied (Semlitsch 1998).

To delineate the treatments around each pond, a circular area with a radius of 164 m from the pond edge was divided into four equal quadrants (approximately 2.1 ha each in Maine and Missouri; about 4.0 ha in South Carolina; figure 2). In each array, the control treatment (unmanipulated quadrant) was randomly assigned to one quadrant, two clearcut treatments were randomly assigned to the two adjacent quadrants, and
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the partial treatment was fixed to the quadrant opposite the control (figure 2). We designed one clearcut treatment to test the potential for retaining CWD to mitigate the negative effects of clearcutting on amphibians (clearcut retained). Coarse woody debris benefits amphibians by providing moisture-retaining refugia (e.g., Herbeck and Larsen 1999, McKenny et al. 2006). The second clearcut treatment retained little to no CWD (clearcut removed) and was representative of whole-tree harvest, the practice followed in industrial timber management in the southeastern United States, which is analogous to the clearing of forests for agricultural, urban, or industrial development. The partial-cut treatment was designed to simulate regional management that either thinned forests of unmarketable trees (Missouri) or reduced canopy closure by select harvests of some marketable trees (Maine and South Carolina). The partial-cut treatments across all regions resulted in canopy reduction of...
about 50% to 60%. Treatments were applied in Maine from November 2003 to April 2004, in Missouri from March 2004 to January 2005, and in South Carolina from February to March 2004.

A range of experiments was conducted in replicate arrays that included large-scale comparisons among treatment quadrants (2 to 4 ha each) using drift fence and pitfall trap captures and radiotelemetry of free-ranging individuals across timescales of seasons and years. Other experiments were conducted across timescales of seasons, weeks, or days and at small scales using terrestrial enclosures (3 × 3 m pen or a cage 15 centimeters in diameter) and aquatic mesocosms (1000-liter cattle tank or 200-liter wading pool) within treatment quadrants.

We included only response variables found to be statistically significant in the source study and those testing two or more of the four timber harvest treatments from our design (see the appendix at http://hdl.handle.net/10355/1365). Although other responses have been tested and some were found to be statistically nonsignificant, our purpose was to highlight those effects that have been shown to clearly contribute to demographic responses in amphibians. To standardize response variables, we calculated relative effect size (percentage) for each variable by subtracting the mean value of each treatment by the mean value of the control, dividing the result by the mean value of the control, and then multiplying by 100. The resulting value was then assigned a positive or negative sign depending on whether the effect would be expected to have beneficial (i.e., positive) or harmful (i.e., negative) consequences for population growth.

**Forest treatment effects on amphibians**

Our studies generated 33 statistically significant effects of timber harvest treatments on a broad range of pond-breeding amphibian responses, some positive and some negative (see the appendix; http://hdl.handle.net/10355/1365). The average net effect of timber harvest treatments relative to the control for all 33 responses was negative (figure 3a, 3b). The partial harvest treatment had the smallest effect size (−7.2%), followed by the clearcut-removed (−18.9%) and clearcut-retained (−32.2%) treatments. If we adjust the overall effect size to determine where the greatest negative effects occur by removing all positive responses, the partial harvest treatment still has the smallest effect size (−37.3%) followed by the clearcut-retained (−61.8%) and the clearcut-removed (−62.4%) treatments.

When positive and negative effects are examined separately, the positive effects in clearcut treatments were mostly associated with reproductive behavior at experimental breeding ponds or with aquatic larval growth and development (figure 4). For example, gray treefrogs had much greater male calling activity and

**Figure 3. Overview of the (a) mean effect size of all amphibian responses for each forest management treatment relative to the unharvested control, and (b) adjusted mean effect size to determine where the greatest negative effects occur (removing positive effects).**

**Figure 4. Overview of the mean effect sizes for each larval aquatic or reproductive behavioral response variables (see appendix at http://hdl.handle.net/10355/1365 for the source and details of each response variable).**

Oviposition by females in experimental ponds in clearcuts relative to ponds in partial or control forests. Similarly, northern leopard frogs had greater tadpole survival, and gray treefrog tadpoles had faster development, in clearcut experimental ponds relative to ponds in control forests. The exception was the wood frog, which had smaller mass at metamorphosis in all treatments, especially clearcuts, compared with controls (figure 4). Some terrestrial responses (27%, 7 of 26) were also positive, but only in the partial harvest treatments (figure 5) and never in clearcuts. For example, habitat use and juvenile survival for wood frogs was positive in the partial harvest relative to control treatments. Furthermore, emigration and immigration of southern toads, water loss for mole salamanders, and adult survival for marbled salamanders and spotted salamanders were all positive in the partial harvest relative to control treatments (figure 5). Among the various traits measured, the negative effects of clearcut treatments were most prevalent for behavioral traits (e.g., migration, capture rate, distance moved, relocations) and the vital rate of survival (juvenile and adult), and had the greatest effect (–112%) on the physiological trait of water loss (figure 5).

Regional effects appeared to be strongest in South Carolina, with effect sizes increasing sharply between the control and both clearcut treatments, from –69% in clearcut-retained to –127% in the clearcut-removed treatments. Maine displayed intermediate effects, from –50% to –63%. Missouri showed the smallest effects, from 19% to –50% across treatments, most likely because the gray treefrog was not a primary study species in the other regions, and gray treefrogs displayed significant benefits from using clearcut treatments for reproduction and larval performance. Seven of the nine species we studied displayed similar and negative effects of harvest treatments, especially clearcuts (figure 5).

Ecological consequences and mechanisms
Our research has demonstrated strong and consistent effects of timber harvest on pond-breeding amphibians across three regions, nine species, and a broad range of physiological, behavioral, and demographic responses. Because of the coordination and standardization of our study, our results allow strong inferences about mechanisms to be made across multiple regions of the eastern United States for many pond-breeding species. Focusing on the mechanisms of decline using experimental approaches at several scales avoids potential problems with detection probability and pseudoreplication that can limit inferences resulting from studies of abundance or occupancy alone (reviewed by Kroll 2009). Further, our results help clarify ambiguities of previous studies by showing that when species are exposed to the same treatments, they differ in their responses to timber harvest—some species show positive breeding and larval performance while effects on juvenile and adult terrestrial stages of most species were largely negative.

The most consistent negative effects occurred in both of our clearcut treatments, which was not surprising given that clearcutting alters the fundamental structure of forests by removing the canopy and exposing the forest floor to more sunlight and wind, leading to a warmer, drier surface microclimate (Keenan and Kimmins 1993, Chen et al. 1999, Zheng et al. 2000), eventually reducing leaf litter (Hughes and Fahey 1994, Ash 1995) and food resources (Seastedt and Crossley 1981). In some regions, clearcutting may also result in soil compaction and disturbance to the soil profile during the course of timber extraction and postharvest site preparations, such as burning. We documented lethal surface soil temperatures at our clearcut sites—more than 40 degrees Celsius (°C) in Missouri (Harper 2007) and more than 43°C in South
the three species studied showed strong behavioral avoidance (Rittenhouse and Semlitsch 2006, Semlitsch et al. 2008). In South Carolina, none of the species showed any increase in use of CWD after clearcutting, and individuals settled for short periods (Patrick et al. 2006, McKenny et al. 2006), and determine whether some species, including select harvest, has little or no effect on amphibians (Pough et al. 1987). We also showed that such microhabitat conditions lead to rapid water loss and high mortality in small-scale caging studies (Rothermel and Luhring 2005, Rittenhouse et al. 2008, Todd et al. 2008) and most likely provide the direct mechanism for reduced abundance demonstrated in many previous studies.

Behavioral studies show that both juvenile and adult amphibians often avoid entering clearcuts when given a choice (Rittenhouse and Semlitsch 2006, Patrick et al. 2008, Todd et al. 2009; but see Graeter et al. 2008). Using smaller-scale mechanistic studies, we found that retention of CWD helped reduce water loss and increase the survival of juveniles over short periods, especially in slash piles (Rittenhouse et al. 2008). Studying net movement between adjacent control and clearcut treatment quadrants after clearcutting, we also found that clearcut quadrants had high mortality rates (Harpole and Haas 1999). However, our enclosure survival studies show strong negative effects, but also that the natural heterogeneity of habitats can produce variation in survival rates equal to or greater than those observed in the clearcut treatments in some regions (Harper 2007). In Missouri, for example, we found that juveniles constrained by cages in control quadrants on south-facing slopes with thin soil had lower survival than juveniles constrained in clearcuts on north-facing slopes. Further, radiotelemetry monitoring revealed that temporal variation in habitat quality also affected amphibian habitat choice across large-scale arrays (Patrick et al. 2008), and survival rates (Rittenhouse et al. 2009). In dry years, individuals suffered higher mortality, but they were more likely to use relatively shady and cool sites that were less common and more isolated. Thus, retaining CWD and limiting the amount of timber harvest on high-quality north-slope habitats may provide refuges for amphibians within a landscape that supports timber harvest.

Effects of the partial-harvest treatment were less consistent, but 7 out of 26 responses of juvenile or adult traits were positive (figure 5). The positive effects may have been caused by the enhanced productivity of the herbaceous or shrub vegetation on the forest floor (Zheng et al. 2000). We suggest that the herbaceous-shrub layer may have created a favorable microclimate usually missing from the closed, dense canopy of mature forests, or it may have enhanced the production of invertebrate food resources. In support of this idea, Ross and colleagues (2000) found a strong rise in the percentage of ground cover (< 1-m high) when tree basal area in Pennsylvania forest stands was reduced. But, they also found that abundance levels of 12 species of salamanders (including four pond-breeding species) dropped at tree basal areas below 15 to 20 m² per ha (or approximately 50% to 60% canopy cover; deMaynadier and Houlanah 2008). Thus, small increases in light levels reaching the forest floor and creating a favorable microclimate may partially ameliorate the negative effects of selectively removing trees. Still, the remaining negative effects of partial-tree harvest were presumably attributable to some of the same changes in microclimate and soil compaction that characterize clearcuts, albeit to a lesser degree. Our results are also consistent with another experimental study done in Virginia showing that three partial harvest treatments also had significantly reduced terrestrial salamander abundance (Harpole and Haas 1999). However, other studies have concluded that partial harvest of timber, including select harvest, has little or no effect on amphibians (e.g., Pough et al. 1987, Grialou et al. 2000, Ross et al. 2000, McKenny et al. 2006).
We found that timber-harvest effects were consistent across a wide range of demographic and behavioral variables measured across all three regions of the United States. Mechanistically, exposure of amphibians to high temperatures and low moisture in the terrestrial environment amplifies water loss, one of the most critical problems facing amphibians (see Jorgensen 1997). The largest effect size we measured in a single treatment was for water loss in the South Carolina clearcut treatment for mole salamanders (Rothermel and Luhring 2005). Summertime air temperatures in South Carolina are higher than in the other regions (Maine and Missouri), and soil moisture may also be substantially reduced during summer months because the extremely sandy, well-drained Coastal Plain soils do not retain moisture between rainfalls. Further, because all the basic needs of amphibians (e.g., food, shelter) usually require movement overland, every aspect of their lives in the terrestrial environment is affected by water loss. Water loss was rapid in clearcut treatments and on ridgetops in forest controls—anywhere there was greater exposure to sunlight or wind—and this led to increased mortality unless individuals burrowed underground, used CWD, or emigrated to moist ravines (e.g., Missouri) or forested wetlands (e.g., Maine). Individuals usually moved during rain events in Missouri or South Carolina, but in Maine, surface moisture appeared less limiting. As a direct result of water loss limiting amphibian activity, growth rate and survival were reduced whenever the terrestrial microhabitat was warm and dry, most dramatically in the clearcuts (Todd and Rothermel 2006) and to a lesser extent in the partial treatment. We would expect the same reductions in survival to occur with other forms of land use (e.g., agriculture, powerline rights-of-way, road-effect zone) that lower the quality of the microclimate for movement, foraging, and growth, as happens with clearcutting (e.g., Semlitsch et al. 2007).

We did find that two of nine species benefited from clearcuts, but only for reproduction and larval development in aquatic habitats within clearcuts. The gray treefrog is probably representative of an early successional or edge species that prefers habitat that is periodically disturbed and has an open canopy, at least for reproduction. During the nonbreeding season and for overwintering, adults clearly prefer forested habitats (Johnson et al. 2007, 2008). We hypothesize that treefrogs select pools in clearcut treatments over forested treatments for mating and oviposition (Hocking and Semlitsch 2007) because they evolved to breed in new ponds created by uprooted trees within forested habitats (Putz 1983, Ulanova 2000), or in new pools created by other disturbances. These uprooted trees leave holes in the ground that fill with water (Ulanova 2000) and are initially free of predators and competitors; they also receive more sunlight, which increases the water temperature and periphyton productivity, to the benefit of herbivorous tadpoles (Skelly et al. 2005). In Maine, northern leopard frogs also benefited from enhanced larval development in clearcuts (Blomquist and Hunter 2009). Thus, we predict that other species requiring early successional or open habitats for reproduction (e.g., chorus frogs, narrow-mouthed toads, spadefoot toads) would benefit from the presence of breeding pools in clearcuts (e.g., use of skidder ruts; Cromer et al. 2002). Hossack and Corn (2007) reported that disturbance created by wildfire can also benefit some pond-breeding amphibians, especially if fire creates or mimics early successional habitats. This does not mean that all stages of these species would benefit from clearcut or early successional habitat. These stage-specific and carryover effects need to be studied more thoroughly. In some cases we know that adults of species such as the gray treefrog require forested habitat at other times, and will not venture far into clearcuts (< 50 m; Hocking and Semlitsch 2007). Thus, the larval aquatic stage presumably benefits from the presence of pools in small clearcuts, but juvenile and adult treefrogs must find suitable forested habitat nearby (Johnson et al. 2007, 2008). Hossack and Corn (2007) found that toads (Bufo boreas) increased the use of wetlands up to two to three years after wildfires, and suggested that this species was adapted to disturbance created by fire. However, some species, such as the wood frog in Maine, benefited little from breeding pools in clearcuts; these most likely represent species with a strongly forest-dependent life history (Blomquist and Hunter 2009). Knowing the life history requirements of species at all stages is therefore critical for predicting timber-harvest effects or disturbance effects in general. Finally, it is important to note that the presumed benefits of clearcutting to early life stages may not enhance population persistence if timber harvest causes a reduction in postmetamorphic survival (Biek et al. 2002, Taylor et al. 2006, Harper et al. 2008).

Although our study did not differentiate among all possible mechanisms of decline in abundance after harvest, we have strong support for two hypotheses explaining declines. The mortality hypothesis assumes that abundance in clearcuts declines as a result of mortality from lack of refuge or food, from desiccation, and from an inability to evacuate. We found that a large portion of the population dies if they stay in clearcut areas, especially small juveniles (Rothermel and Luhring 2005, Todd and Rothermel 2006, Harper 2007, Patrick et al. 2008, Todd et al. 2008). The evacuation hypothesis assumes that individuals leave clearcuts in response to increasing temperature and reduced moisture and food, and select more suitable habitat in nearby forests. We have found that a portion of the breeding population in Missouri evacuates from clearcuts into neighboring forest habitats, especially adult spotted and ringed (Ambystoma annulatum) salamanders (8.7% to 35.0%; Semlitsch et al. 2008).

A third hypothesis, the retreat hypothesis, assumes that individuals remain in the clearcuts but move to more suitable underground habitat where individuals survive for limited periods on minimal resources and energy stores, reduce activity, and reemerge as forest succession proceeds. We did not find out in our study, however, whether any of the individuals remaining in clearcut plots retreated underground for some period, as hypothesized for the more fossorial species of woodland salamanders (genus Plethodon; Petranka et al.
1994), nor do we know the long-term fate of individuals that evacuate clearcuts (Reichenbach and Sattler 2007).

Implications for amphibian conservation and timber harvest

One goal of this synthesis is to articulate some generalities about timber harvest effects on pond-breeding amphibians, specifically, mechanisms that could result in population decline. Current evidence indicates that the negative effects of clearcutting are pervasive and more or less consistent across regions as diverse as the northeastern, midwestern, and southeastern United States. Clearcutting is directly implicated in the loss of suitable habitat and in the reduction of population size through mechanisms such as reduced terrestrial survival or evacuation by resident amphibians. Consequently, we propose that these effects may extend through much of eastern North America. Moreover, because studies in other regions of North America that address the effects of forest loss on amphibians have demonstrated declines in abundance and richness (e.g., Dupuis et al. 1995, Adams and Bury 2002, Karraaker and Welsh 2006, Olson et al. 2007; but see Kroll 2009), it is likely that our research on mechanisms are more broadly applicable to other regions and species not explicitly included in our experiments.

We encourage forest management that enhances the conservation of sensitive species and has a goal of long-term sustainability. Habitat management guidelines for amphibians are available and can be incorporated into harvest operations (Calhoun and deMaynadier 2004, deMaynadier and Houlanah 2008, PARC [www.parcplace.org/habitat_management_guide.html]). In general, harvest operations should consider zones of protection around breeding ponds and the adjacent upland habitats to conserve local populations and enhance connectivity at the landscape level (deMaynadier and Houlanah 2008). Clearcutting or timber harvests that remove more than 40% to 50% of the canopy should be minimized or eliminated in areas in which amphibian diversity or abundance is known to be high, or in which sensitive species of conservation concern are known to occur (e.g., in the southeastern United States, flatwoods salamander, Ambystoma cingulatum). Additionally, the locations of timber removal should consider topographical features known to promote amphibian persistence (e.g., ravines, north-facing slopes, and uplands within a radius of 150 m from breeding ponds; Semlitsch and Bodie 2003).

The size of clearcut plots is also important. Our clearcut treatment sites were relatively small (approximately 2.1 ha each in Maine and Missouri, and approximately 4.0 ha in South Carolina), but even at this size it was not certain whether all individuals, especially juveniles, could readily escape to adjacent forests. McKenny and colleagues (2006) found little reduction in abundance of terrestrial Plethodon salamanders in treatments using small (0.05 ha) group harvests. However, Renken and colleagues (2004) found significant reductions in the abundance of several species of amphibians within 5-ha clearcut plots in the Ozark hills of Missouri. It is realistic to assume that clearcuts larger than 2 to 4 ha and representative of industrial timber management in the southeastern United States or boreal Canada would have stronger negative effects on amphibian abundance and longer recovery times for populations. It has also been noted that small clearcuts surrounded by large areas of high-quality habitat rather than low-quality habitat (habitat with human development, agriculture, grazing, or high road density, e.g.) allow better connectivity to source habitats, minimize nest parasites and edge predators, and promote diversity of birds (Faaborg 2002). We agree that landscape composition is critical when considering timber-harvest options for amphibians, primarily to ensure complementation of aquatic breeding and adult terrestrial habitats, connectivity to source habitats essential for recolonization, and suitable forested habitat for evacuation from clearcuts (Semlitsch et al. 2008).

On the basis of our findings for the partial treatment, and those of others using selection-harvest methods (summarized by deMaynadier and Houlanah 2008), removal of a portion of the canopy (50% or less) appears to minimize negative effects on amphibian populations. Also, because many trees remain in selection-harvest plots, it is likely that microclimates recover more quickly than in large clearcuts, and thereby longer-term effects are minimized (Ash 1997). However, we acknowledge that more total area is disturbed (by roads and skidder trails) when using selection-harvest relative to the same amount of timber extracted from a clearcut. This results in a potential trade-off between small, intense disturbances versus large, diffuse disturbances. Further, because the effects of partial harvest treatments in our study were more similar to those in the control treatment than to the clearcut treatments, some species that benefit from some open canopy or early-successional habitat for reproduction may be reduced or excluded (e.g., chorus frogs, toads). These early-successional species would most likely benefit from more group-selection cuts that open small patches of forest (Skelly et al. 2005) while avoiding some of the negative effects of larger clearcuts. It also appears that partially thinned forests support a greater abundance of small snakes than do unharvested control forests or clearcuts (Todd and Andrews 2008), reinforcing the benefits of selection-harvest methods beyond amphibians alone.

Because amphibians often reside on or in the soil, future research might use field experiments to investigate the effects of soil compaction during logging, and the effects of other postharvest site preparation methods (e.g., burning, herbicide application, plowing) as well as their seasonal timing and potential alternatives. As we mentioned, experiments to determine thresholds for the volume and density of retained CWD and the percentage of forest canopy removal will also be critical for understanding mitigation of timber harvest and the limit of sustainable harvest. To fully understand the recovery of amphibian populations, we hope that future studies will link successional changes in vegetation structure to microclimate, food resources, and vital rates. As we have shown, coordinated studies explicitly designed to focus on the
mechanisms of decline will be most useful in understanding how to reverse declines and conserve amphibian biodiversity.
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