This study looked at the reportage of Citizens United and NFIB v. Sebelius from the New York times, the USA Today and The Washington Post. Through the analysis of the sample, more than 80 articles in total, the researcher found that each of the news outlets from the sample provided adequate coverage of the decisions and the prior litigation leading to those decisions. The study concluded that research into accuracy should look at accuracy cumulatively, and also with consideration for the difficult and confusing nature of Supreme Court decisions.

This paper also found conflict to be a theme in Sebelius and made recommendations for future research.