CLINICAL INQUIRIES

Stephen Hulkower, MD; Benjamin A. Aiken, MD, **MSPH**

Mountain Area Health Education Center. Asheville, NC

Sue Stigleman, MLS Mountain Area Health Education Center, Asheville, NC

DEPUTY EDITOR

Rick Guthmann, MD University of Illinois at Chicago and Illinois Masonic Family Practice Residency Program

What is the best beta-blocker for systolic heart failure?

EVIDENCE-BASED ANSWER

THREE BETA-BLOCKERS—CARVE-DILOL, METOPROLOL SUCCINATE, AND BISOPROLOL—reduce mortality equally (by about 30% over one year) in patients with Class III or IV systolic heart failure.

Insufficient evidence exists comparing equipotent doses of these medications head-to-head to recommend any one over the others (strength of recommendation [SOR]: A, systematic review/meta-analysis).

Evidence summary

A 2013 network meta-analysis compared beta-blockers with placebo or standard treatment by analyzing 21 randomized trials with a total of 23,122 patients. Investigators found that beta-blockers as a class significantly reduced mortality after a median of 12 months (odds ratio=0.71, 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.64-0.80; number needed to treat [NNT]=23).

They also compared atenolol, bisoprolol, bucindolol, carvedilol, metoprolol, and nebivolol with each other and found no significant difference in risk of death, sudden cardiac death, death resulting from pump failure, or tolerability.

Three drugs are more effective and tolerable than others

A 2013 stratified subset meta-analysis used data from landmark randomized controlled trials (RCTs) that evaluated beta-blockers vs placebo in patients with systolic heart failure to compare metoprolol succinate (MERIT-HF) vs placebo with bisoprolol (CIBIS-II), carvedilol (COPERNICUS), and nebivolol (SENIORS-SHF) vs placebo (TABLE).2

Three of the drugs-bisoprolol, carvedilol, and metoprolol succinate-showed similar reductions relative to placebo in allcause mortality, hospitalization for heart failure, and tolerability. Investigators concluded

that the 3 drugs have comparable efficacy and tolerability, whereas nebivolol is less effective and tolerable.

Carvedilol vs beta-1-selective beta-blockers

Another 2013 meta-analysis of 8 RCTs with 4563 adult patients 18 years or older with systolic heart failure compared carvedilol with the beta-1-selective beta-blockers atenolol, bisoprolol, nebivolol, and metoprolol.3 Investigators found that carvedilol significantly reduced all-cause mortality (relative risk=0.85; 95% CI, 0.78-0.93; NNT=23) compared with beta-1-selective beta-blockers.

However, 4 trials (including COMET, N=3029) compared carvedilol with shortacting metoprolol tartrate, which may have skewed results in favor of carvedilol. Moreover, 2 trials comparing carvedilol with bisoprolol and 2 trials comparing carvedilol with nebivolol found no significant difference in all-cause mortality.3

Recommendations

The 2010 Heart Failure Society of America Comprehensive Heart Failure Practice Guideline notes that the marked beneficial effects of beta blockade with carvedilol, bisoprolol, and controlled- or extendedrelease metoprolol have been well-dem-

TABLE

How metoprolol succinate vs placebo compares with other beta-blockers vs placebo²

Comparison	Randomized controlled trials	RRR*
Bisoprolol	CIBIS-II (N=2647; 95% CI,19-46; P<.0001; NNT=23)	34%
VS	vs	VS
Metoprolol succinate	MERIT-HF (N=2002; 95% CI, 24-56; P<.0001; NNT=16)	42%
Carvedilol	COPERNICUS (N=2289; 95% CI,19-48; P=.0014; NNT=14)	35%
VS	vs	VS
Metoprolol succinate	MERIT-HF (N=795; 95% CI, 11-58; <i>P</i> =.0086; NNT=14)	39%
Nebivolol	SENIORS-SHF (N=1359; NS; NNT=63)	16%
VS	vs	VS
Metoprolol succinate	MERIT-HF (N=985; 95% CI, 2-53; P=.038; NNT=21)	32%

CI, confidence interval; EF, ejection fraction; CIBIS-II, Cardiac Insufficiency Bisoprolol Study II (EF<35%); COPERNICUS, Carvedilol Prospective Randomized Cumulative Survival trial (EF<25%); MERIT-HF, Metoprolol CR/XL Randomized Intervention Trial in Congestive Heart Failure (EF<40%); NNT, number needed to treat; NS, not significant; RRR, relative risk reduction; SENIORS-SHF, Study of the Effects of Nebivolol Intervention on Outcomes and Rehospitalization in Seniors with Heart

onstrated in large-scale clinical trials of symptomatic patients with Class II to IV heart failure and reduced left ventricular ejection fraction.⁴

The 2013 American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association heart failure guideline recommends the

use of one of the 3 beta-blockers proven to reduce mortality (bisoprolol, carvedilol, or sustained-release metoprolol succinate) for all patients with current or previous symptoms of heart failure with reduced ejection fraction, unless contraindicated, to reduce morbidity and mortality.⁵

References

- Chatterjee S, Biondi-Zoccai G, Abbate A, et al. Benefits of β blockers in patients with heart failure and reduced ejection fraction: network meta-analysis. *BMJ*. 2013;346: f55.
- Wikstrand J, Wedel H, Castagno D, et al. The large-scale placebo-controlled beta-blocker studies in systolic heart failure revisited: results from CIBIS-II, COPERNICUS and SENIORS-SHF compared with stratified subsets from MERIT-HF. J Intern Med. 2014;275:134-143.
- 3. DiNicolantonio JJ, Lavie CJ, Fares H, et al. Meta-analysis of carvedilol versus beta 1 selective beta-blockers (ateno-
- lol, bisoprolol, metoprolol, and nebivolol). Am J Cardiol. 2013;111:765-769.
- Heart Failure Society of America. Executive summary: HFSA 2010 Comprehensive Heart Failure Practice Guideline. J Cardiac Failure. 2010;16:475-539.
- 5. Yancy CW, Jessup M, Bozkurt B, et al; American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. 2013 ACCF/AHA guideline for the management of heart failure: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on practice guidelines. Circulation. 2013;128:e240-e327.

Carvedilol, metoprolol succinate, and bisoprolol all reduce mortality by about 30% over one year in patients with Class III or IV systolic heart failure.

WE WANT TO HEAR FROM YOU!

Have a comment on an article, editorial, or department? You can send it by:

- 1. E-MAIL: jfp.eic@gmail.com
- 2. FAX: 973-206-9251 or
- 3. MAIL: The Journal of Family Practice, 7 Century Drive, Suite 302, Parsippany, NJ 07054

LETTERS SHOULD BE 200 WORDS OR LESS. THEY WILL BE EDITED PRIOR TO PUBLICATION.



^{*} Relative risk reduction of annual mortality rates from placebo to beta-blocker arms.