

Water Quality

FARM•A•SYST

Farmstead Assessment System

Worksheet #3

Published by University Extension, University of Missouri-System

Assessing the Risk of Groundwater Contamination from Fertilizer Storage and Handling

Why should I be concerned?

Fertilizers play a vital role in agriculture. Over the years, they have increased farm production dramatically. Commercial fertilizer is, however, a major source of nitrate. Nitrate-nitrogen levels exceeding the public health standard of 10 milligrams per liter (mg/l; equivalent to parts per million for water measure) have been found in many drinking-water wells. The other major components of commercial fertilizer, phosphorus and potassium generally are not a groundwater-contamination concern.

Nitrate levels in drinking water above federal and state drinking-water standards of 10 mg/l nitrate-nitrogen can pose a risk to some infants. Infants younger than 6 months of age are susceptible to health problems from high nitrate-nitrogen levels, including the condition known as methemoglobinemia (blue baby syndrome). Nitrate also can affect adults, but the evidence is much less certain.

Young livestock are particularly susceptible to health problems from high nitrate-nitrogen levels.

Although livestock may be able to tolerate several times the 10 mg/l nitrate-nitrogen level, levels of 20-40 mg/l may prove harmful, especially in combination with high levels (1,000 ppm) of nitrate-nitrogen from feed sources.

Handling fertilizers can affect groundwater by allowing materials containing nitrogen to seep through the ground after a leak or spill. Other potential farmstead sources of nitrate are septic systems, livestock yards, livestock-waste storage facilities and silage storage.

Your drinking water is least likely to be contami-

nated if you follow appropriate management procedures or dispose of wastes at an **off the farm site**. However, proper offsite disposal practices are essential to avoid risking contamination that could affect the water supplies and health of others.

The goal of Farm•A•Syst is to help you protect the groundwater that supplies your drinking water.

How will this worksheet help me protect my drinking water?

- It will take you step by step through your fertilizer handling, storage and disposal practices.
- It will rank your activities according to how they might affect the groundwater that provides your drinking-water supplies.
- It will provide you with easy-to-understand rankings that will help you analyze the "risk level" of your fertilizer handling, storage and disposal practices.
- It will help you determine which of your practices are reasonably safe and effective and which practices might require modification to better protect your drinking water.

How do I complete the worksheet?

Follow the direction at the top of the chart on the next page. It should take you about 15-30 minutes to complete this worksheet and figure out your ranking.

Fertilizer Storage and Handling: Assessing Drinking-Water Contamination Risk

1. Use a pencil. You may want to make changes.
2. For each category listed on the left that is appropriate to your farmstead, read across to the right and circle the statement that **best** describes conditions on your farmstead. (Skip and leave blank any categories that don't apply to your farmstead.)
3. Then look above the description you circled to find your "rank number" (4, 3, 2 or 1) and enter that number in the blank under "your rank."
4. Directions on overall scoring appear at the end of the worksheet.
5. Allow about 15-30 minutes to complete the worksheet and figure out your risk ranking for well-management practices.

	LOW RISK (rank 4)	LOW-MOD RISK (rank 3)	MOD-HIGH RISK (rank 2)	HIGH RISK (rank 1)	YOUR RANK
LOCATION					
Location of storage area in relation to well	300 feet or more downslope from well	150-300 feet downslope from well.*	50-150 feet downslope from well.*	Within 50 feet or upslope from well.*	_____
Dry formulation	None stored at any time.	Less than 1 ton.	*Between 1 and 3 tons.	*More than 3 tons.	
Amount stored					
Type of storage	Covered on impermeable surface (such as concrete or asphalt). Spills are collected.	Covered on clay soil. Spills are collected.	Partial cover on loamy soils. Spills not collected.	No cover on sandy soils. Spills not collected.	_____
Liquid formulation	None stored at any time.	Less than 55 gallons.	*Between 55 and 500 gallons.	*More than 500 gallons.	
Amount stored					
Type of storage	Concrete or other impermeable secondary containment does not allow spill to contaminate soil.	Clay-lined secondary containment. Most of spill can be recovered.	Somewhat permeable soils (loam). No secondary containment. Most of spill cannot be recovered.	Permeable soil (sand). No secondary containment. Spills contaminate soil.	_____
Containers	Original containers clearly labeled. No holes, tears or weak seams. Lids tight.	Original containers old. Labels partially missing or hard to read.	Containers old but patched. Metal containers showing signs of rusting.	Containers have holes or tears that allow fertilizers to leak. No labels.	_____
Security	Fenced or locked area separate from all other activities, or locks on valves. 150 or more feet	Fenced area separate from most other activities. 100 to 150 feet downs-	Open to activities that could damage containers or spill fertilizer. 50 to 100 feet down-	Open access to theft, vandalism and children. Within 50 feet downs-	_____
MIXING AND LOADING PRACTICES					
Location of well in relation to mixing/loading area with no curbed and impermeable containment area	downslope from well.	lope.	slope,* or 100 to 500 feet upslope.	lope,* or 100 feet upslope.	_____
	Concrete mixing/load-	*Concrete pad with	Concrete pad with	No mixing/loading	_____
ADDITIONAL MIXING AND LOADING PRACTICES FOR LIQUID FERTILIZER					
Mixing and loading pad (spill containment)	ing pad with curb keeps spills contained. Sump allows collection and transfer to storage.	curb keeps spills contained. No sump.	some cracks keeps some spills contained. No curb or sump.	pad. Permeable soil (sand). Spills soak into ground.	_____
Water source	Separate water tank.	Hydrant away from well.	Hydrant near well.	Directly obtained from well.	_____
Backflow prevention on water supply	Anti-backflow device installed, or 6-inch air gap maintained above sprayer tank.	Anti-backflow device installed. Hose in tank above waterline.	No anti-backflow device. Hose in tank above waterline.	No anti-backflow device. Hose in tank below water line.	_____
Filling supervision	Constant.	-	Frequent.	Seldom or never.	_____
Handling system	Closed system for all liquid-product transfers.	Closed system for most liquids. Some liquids hand poured. Sprayer fill port easy to reach.	All liquids hand poured. Sprayer fill port easy to reach.	All liquids hand poured. Sprayer fill port hard to reach.	_____
Sprayer cleaning and rinsate (rinse water) disposal	Sprayer washed out in field. Rinsate used in next load and applied to labeled crop.	Sprayer washed out on pad at farmstead. Rinsate used in next load and applied to labeled crop.	Sprayer washed out at farmstead. Rinsate sprayed less than 100 feet from well.	Sprayer washed out at farmstead. Rinsate dumped at farmstead or in nearby field.	_____

Extra bold type: Although these practices are legal for fertilizers in Missouri, they are illegal for pesticides. Therefore, if the same area is used for both pesticide and fertilizer handling, these conditions are illegal.

*If facility stores more than 5,000 pounds of dry material or more than 500 gallons of liquid, a facility permit must be obtained from the Missouri Department of Natural Resources through an approved engineer.

Use this TOTAL to calculate risk ranking on back page of worksheet

TOTAL:

What do I do with these rankings?

Step 1

Begin by determining your overall fertilizer-management risk ranking. Total the rankings for the categories you completed, and divide by the number of categories you ranked:

_____ divided by _____ equals	<input type="text"/>
<small>total of rankings</small>	<small># of categories ranked</small>
<small>*Carry your answer out to one decimal place.</small>	<small>risk ranking*</small>

3.6-4=low risk

2.6-3.5=low to moderate risk

1.6-2.5=moderate to high risk

1-1.5=high risk

This ranking gives you an idea of how your fertilizer-management practices as a whole might be affecting your drinking water. This ranking should serve only as a general guide, not a precise diagnosis. Because it represents an averaging of many individual rankings, it can mask any individual rankings (such as 1's or 2's) that should be of concern. (See Step 2.)

Enter your boxed fertilizer-management risk ranking on page 1 of Worksheet #9. Later you will compare this risk ranking with other farmstead-man-

agement rankings. Worksheet #8 will help you identify your farmstead's site conditions (soil type, soil depth and bedrock characteristics), and Worksheet #9 will show you how these site conditions affect your risk rankings.

Step 2

Look over your rankings for individual activities:

- **Low-risk** practices (4's): ideal; should be your goal despite cost and effort
- **Low- to moderate-risk** practices (3's): provide reasonable groundwater protection
- **Moderate- to high-risk** practices (2's): inadequate protection in many circumstances
- **High-risk** practices (1's): inadequate; pose a high risk of polluting groundwater

Regardless of your overall risk ranking, any individual rankings of "1" require immediate attention. Some concerns you can take care of right away; others could be major — or costly — projects, requiring planning and prioritizing before you take action.

Find any activities that you identified as 1's, and list them under "High-Risk Activities" in Worksheet #9.

Step 3

Read Fact Sheet #3, *Improving Fertilizer Storage and Handling*, and consider how you might modify your farmstead practices to better protect your drinking water.

Glossary

Fertilizer storage and handling

These terms may help you make more accurate assessments when completing Worksheet #3. They also may help clarify some of the terms used in Fact Sheet #3.

Air gap: An air space (open space) between the hose and water level, representing one way to prevent backflow of liquids into a well or water supply.

Anti-backflow (anti-backsiphoning) device: A check valve or other mechanical device to prevent the unwanted reverse flow of liquids back down a water supply pipe into a well.

Backflow: The unwanted flow of liquids in a piping system.

Backflow-prevention device: (see anti-backflow device).

Backsiphonage: Backflow caused by formation of a vacuum in a water-supply pipe.

Closed handling system: A system that transfers

pesticides or fertilizers directly from storage container to applicator equipment (through a hose, for example) so humans and the environment are never inadvertently exposed to the chemicals.

Cross-connection: A link or channel between pipes, wells, fixtures or tanks carrying contaminated water and those carrying potable (safe for drinking) water. Contaminated water, if at higher pressure, enters the potable water system.

Milligrams per liter (mg/l): The weight of a substance measured in milligrams contained in 1 liter. It is equivalent to 1 part per million in water measure.

Parts per million (ppm): A measurement of concentration of one unit of material dispersed in one million units of another.

Rinsate: Rinse water from pesticide or fertilizer tank cleaning.

Secondary containment: Impermeable floor and walls around a chemical storage area that minimize the amount of chemical seeping into the ground from a spill or leak.



The Missouri Farmstead Assessment System is a cooperative project of University Extension; College of Agriculture, Food and Natural Resources; and the Natural Resources Conservation Service.

The National Farmstead Assessment Program provided support for development of the Missouri program. These materials are adapted from the

Wisconsin and Minnesota prototype versions of Farm•A•Syst.

This material is based upon work supported by the Extension Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, under special project number 91-EHUA-1-0055 and 91-EWQI-1-9271.

Adapted for Missouri from material prepared by Susan Jones, U.S. E.P.A., Region V, Water Division, and University of Wisconsin Cooperative Extension.

University Extension Farm•A•Syst team members: Joe Lear, Regional Agricultural Engineering Specialist and Chief Editor; Beverly Maltsberger, Regional Community Development Specialist; Robert Kelly and Charles Shay, Regional Agricultural Engineering Specialists; Thomas Yonke, Program Director, Agriculture and Natural Resources; Jerry Carpenter, State Water Quality Specialist; and Bob Broz, Water Quality Associate.

Technical review provided by August Timpe, Missouri Department of Natural Resources; Charles Fulhage, Department of Agricultural Engineering, University of Missouri–Columbia; U.S. E.P.A. Region VII, Environmental Sciences Division; and Missouri Natural Resources Conservation Service.

This publication is available from your University Extension centers or from Extension Publications, University of Missouri, 2800 Maguire Blvd., Columbia, MO 65211, phone 1-800-292-0969.



■ Issued in furtherance of Cooperative Extension Work Acts of May 8 and June 30, 1914, in cooperation with the United States Department of Agriculture. Ronald J. Turner, Director, Cooperative Extension, University of Missouri and Lincoln University, Columbia, MO 65211. ■ University Extension does not discriminate on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, religion, age, disability or status as a Vietnam era veteran in employment or programs. ■ If you have special needs as addressed by the Americans with Disabilities Act and need this publication in an alternative format, write ADA Officer, Extension and Agricultural Information, 1-98 Agriculture Building, Columbia, MO 65211, or call (314) 882-8237. Reasonable efforts will be made to accommodate your special needs.