Let them eat nuts—this snack is safe for diverticulosis patients

Nuts have many health benefits, and we now know there’s no reason for patients at risk of diverticulitis to avoid them.

**Practice changer**

Tell patients with diverticular disease that they can eat nuts, corn, and popcorn without fear. There is no truth to the long-held belief that these foods increase the risk of complications.

Strength of recommendation:

B: A large cohort study


**ILLUSTRATIVE CASE**

John M, a 50-year-old patient, recently had a routine colonoscopy and was diagnosed with diverticulosis. Concerned because a friend has had multiple bouts of diverticulitis and a partial colectomy, John schedules an appointment to discuss management of diverticulosis. His friend has told John he’ll have to follow a strict diet and avoid nuts, corn, and popcorn altogether. Anxious to avoid the complications his friend has experienced, John turns to you for dietary advice. Would you know what dietary advice is best?

**Nuts or no nuts? In search of evidence**

Biological mechanisms responsible for the development of diverticular complications are poorly understood. But luminal trauma has been suggested as a contributory factor and nuts, corn, popcorn, and seeds have long been viewed as likely culprits. Thus, physicians have historically advised patients with diverticulosis to avoid these foods.

That recommendation, however, had little evidence to support it. Until the study by Strate et al that we report on here, no studies had assessed the consumption of nuts, corn, popcorn, or seeds as a risk factor for diverticulitis or diverticular...
Conversely, there was a growing body of evidence that a diet rich in nuts may provide protection against many common disorders, including coronary heart disease, diabetes, colon and prostate cancers, and gall bladder disease. The research by Strate et al settles the matter, but it also provides an interesting twist.

**STUDY SUMMARY**

**Nuts and popcorn linked to lower risk**

The Strate trial is part of the Health Professionals Follow-up Study, a prospective cohort study that followed male health professionals in the United States from 1986 to 2004. A counterpart to the all-female Nurses’ Health Study, this long-running study allowed investigators to evaluate the relationship between nutritional factors and the incidence of serious illnesses such as cancer, heart disease, and other vascular diseases. The study population was comprised of 47,228 men between the ages of 40 and 75 years who completed periodic self-administered medical and dietary questionnaires.

At baseline, all the men were free of diverticulosis or related complications, as well as cancer and inflammatory bowel disease. During the 18 years of follow-up, 801 incident cases of diverticulitis and 383 cases of diverticular bleeding occurred. After analyzing the data, the researchers reported that, not only was the consumption of nuts and/or popcorn not associated with an increased risk of diverticulitis, it had a protective effect. The hazard ratios for men with the highest intake of nuts and/or popcorn (at least twice a week) compared with men with the lowest intake (less than once a month) were 0.80 (95% confidence interval [CI], 0.63–1.01) for nuts and 0.72 (95% CI, 0.56–0.92) for popcorn. The researchers found no association, positive or negative, between corn consumption and diverticulitis, nor between nut, corn, or popcorn consumption and diverticular bleeding or the development of uncomplicated diverticulosis.

**WHAT’S NEW?**

**A long-standing belief gets debunked**

This study—the first to examine the relationship between a diet rich in nuts, corn, or popcorn and diverticular disease—showed that these foods did not increase the risk of developing diverticulosis, diverticulitis, or diverticular bleeding. Moreover, it found an association between a diet rich in nuts or popcorn and a decreased risk of diverticulitis. Although we can’t characterize this as a cause-and-effect relationship based on this study, the evidence convinces us that, at the least, nuts, corn, and popcorn do not increase the risk of diverticular disease. We think we can confidently tell patients to enjoy these foods.

**CAVEATS**

**Protective effect of nuts is tough to explain**

As with all cohort studies, there is a possibility of unmeasured confounding variables which, in this case, could account...
for the protective effect of nuts and popcorn suggested by the decreased risk of diverticulitis. Although this was a large and carefully conducted prospective cohort study involving health professionals, we are not aware of any proven pathophysiological mechanism by which nuts, corn, and popcorn may either increase or decrease the risk of diverticular disease or its complications.

A randomized controlled trial addressing this dietary issue is unlikely—and probably unnecessary. This study provides the strongest evidence on this topic by far. We think many patients have been unnecessarily deprived of these foods.

The study did not enroll young patients or women. However, diverticulosis is rare before the age of 40. And, because no clear sex difference has been observed in diverticular disease, we see no reason why this evidence should not apply equally to female patients.

Also of note: This study did not assess total seed intake, per se. Rather, it assessed the consumption of strawberries and blueberries, the source of the seeds. The researchers found no association between strawberries and blueberries and diverticular complications, and we see no reason to tell patients to avoid them.

**CHALLENGES TO IMPLEMENTATION**

**There are no obstacles to implementation**

Dietary advice is an integral part of primary care practice. We see no challenges to implementing this practice changer, which should come as welcome news to patients at risk of diverticular disease or its complications.
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