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Consumers Becoming More Particular

Fruit growers are becoming increasingly aware of the fact that the consumers’, and therefore the dealers’, demand for fruit is considerably more discriminating now than formerly. Appreciable differences exist at present in the price between a good and a poor product. There is no profit in growing low grade fruit, but invariably a loss.

It has been estimated, and on good evidence, that four or five times as many bushels of cull apples, for example, have to be sold to obtain the same gross income as 1 bushel of U. S. No. 1 apples. According to a federal authority, cull apples are the growers’ No. 1 enemy against successful apple production. The same thing may be said of peaches and most other fruits.

In addition to good color and satisfactory flavor, the consumer looks for desirable size in purchasing peaches and apples. The proportion of the edible part to the stone or core of a small fruit is much less than that of a large one. Moreover the eating quality is usually poorer.

Says a prominent Eastern fruit specialist: “Small apples are a nuisance to pick, to run over the grader, and to pack, and they may be the hardest to sell and frequently bring little return or a loss. Fruit men in the Appalachian area claim that the growers, if they continue to produce this kind of fruit, would be ahead to ignore small apples, less than 2 1/4 inches, at harvest rather than burden the crews and market in trying to dispose of them. Also, it cannot be overlooked that these small unwanted apples create a drain on the trees that tends to reduce yielding capacity the following year.”

In most states where apples and peaches are grown commercially to any extent, official grades have been established for these fruits to distinguish clearly between good and poor produce. The Missouri Apple Merchandising Law was put into effect in 1939. It has been amended several times and is being enforced now throughout the state as the “Missouri-U. S. Grades for Apples.” In compliance with this law, fruit not meeting any of the specified grades has to be marked “Culls” in well-proportioned letters of at least three-fourths of an inch in height.

Considering this situation, it is highly desirable (a) to determine the various major factors that cause a fruit to become a cull, and (b) to ascertain to what extent orchard practices may be so directed as to reduce as much as possible the percentage of cull fruit in the crop. The discussion presented here will be limited to apples and peaches—our chief tree fruits. Disease and insect control will be left out of consideration, since this subject has been fully treated in other publications and is fairly well understood by all commercial growers.
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CAUSES OF CULL APPLES

Some years ago the Missouri Agricultural Experiment Station made a survey in representative counties of the southwestern and northwestern fruit growing sections of the state of the major causes responsible for defects of apples designated as culls. The number of fruit examined during the two-year period was close to 100,000. The results are presented in summary form in Figure 1.

It will be observed that lack of size and poor color were the two outstanding factors which caused apples to be thrown out as culls. In fact, they accounted for more than one-third of all the defective fruit. While this was so in 1928 and 1929, which were years of ample rainfall and good weather for growth, it is quite certain that, because of recurring drought and other disturbances during the past few years, the same two causes have been at least as important since that time. An improvement in size and color in conjunction with better control of diseases and insect pests should go a long way in reduction of culls.

Nothing much can be done about the direct effects of weather causing a certain amount of injury to fruit, such as that from frost, hail, limb rub or sunscald. Though varying from year to year and place to place, these factors account for a good proportion of the defective specimens in our survey, about 15 percent of cull apples. In fruit growing, as in the raising of a good many other crops, one has to take a chance with the weather.

A great deal of “mechanical injury” to fruit, which caused over 8 percent of the culls (Fig. 1), may be largely eliminated by exercising greater care in harvesting and packing fruit. The use of the right kind of containers, and more experienced and careful harvesting crews, should help to reduce this unnecessary loss to growers. The majority of apples thrown out of commercial grades because of mechanical injury were of No. 1 quality in all other respects. Some very fine fruits often are half ruined during the picking and packing operations.

Table 1—Average percentages of culls graded out due to various causes in 1928 and 1929 in the southwestern and northwestern apple growing districts of Missouri.

---

SIZE AND COLOR AS VARIETY CHARACTERISTICS

There are distinct varietal differences in respect to size and color of fruits. Everyone knows that normally the Jonathan apple, for example, is not as large as the Delicious and that Grimes and Winesap varieties are smaller than Golden Delicious and Stayman. The same is true of peach varieties. The Hale peach usually runs larger in size and the Champion smaller than Elberta.

If the trade demands large fruit, paying a premium for it, then it is a matter of wisdom to grow varieties that attain the desirable size. Naturally, this should be done only when such varieties are otherwise desirable and acceptable to the customers.

To change greatly the inherent average size of a variety, though possible, is usually not economical. Even under the most ideal growing conditions, the tree has to be exceptionally vigorous and the crop moderately small to accomplish this.

As in size, there are hereditary varietal differences in color. Some apples, like Jonathan and King David, have considerably more red color than, say, Rome or York. The Red Bird and Halehaven peaches are generally more attractively blushed than the Salwey or Elberta. There are, of course, a number of apples and peaches that do not have a blush color, and therefore there are no specific “color requirements” for the higher grades of these fruits, the foundation color being either green or yellow.

The red color of fruit is enhanced greatly by exposure to bright sunlight. Generally, it is developed more extensively when the crop is grown in mountainous and continental parts of the country and in years of abundant sunny weather.

Experiments indicate that the invisible or ultraviolet light rays are most effective in coloring of apples and some other fruits. These rays are most prevalent at higher elevations and on very clear days. Red color is developed but little or is completely absent in localities where, and in years when, cloudy weather is prevalent during the growing season. Fruits in the interior of thick trees are usually off color because of shading.

Color development is subject also to the chemical composition of the fruit. The higher the sugar content the more color an apple will have. In summer varieties the sugar concentration reaches a maximum in summer, at which time color also will develop. In winter varieties, this will take place in autumn. The cool nights that are thought to be associated with coloring of winter apples probably have little to do with color development, excepting that during a period when the night temperature is low the sky is clear and the days are apt to be sunny. It is the bright sunlight and the increased sugar content of the fruit that result in extensive color production.

During the past few years, many so-called “red bud sports” of the apple have been discovered, propagated, and planted. They are “strains” within the same variety, differing from the parent plant only in that they develop more extensive and sometimes brighter red color. They will attain an attractive appearance earlier in the fall and hence may be picked before the parent variety is ready to be harvested.

Some of the more outstanding red bud sports of the apple, with the parent variety from which they originated, are: Delicious—Starking and Richared; Jonathan—Jonared and Blackjon; Rome—Red Rome and Gallia Beauty; Stayman—Stamared and Blaxtayman; Duchess—Red Duchess and Double Red Duchess; York—Yorking and Yorkared (Fig. 2). No red bud sports have been established for peaches. The color is not nearly as important a market requirement with this fruit as with apples.

To obtain red and blushed fruit of the highest possible color, growers are now planting extensively the red bud sports of certain varieties. But even these will attain the most attractive color under conditions of prolonged sunlight during the ripening period.

While apple and peach bud sports of increased size have been announced occasionally, to the writer’s knowledge none have been placed on the market for commercial planting.

Figure 2—Selection of red bud sports provides a means of improving color of apples. Right—Common Stayman apple; left—a red bud sport of Stayman. Both of same stage of maturity.
EFFECTS OF DROUGHT

Being grown outdoors, fruit trees are exposed to all extremes of weather. Rainfall, sunlight and temperature are of the greatest influence on fruit size and color. When, because of prolonged drought, the soil moisture is so low that the trees are about to wilt or are wilting, though this may not be very conspicuous because of the stiffness of the leaves, fruit growth will be retarded.

Such conditions, for example, were experienced in the Central States during the growing periods of 1930, 1934 and 1936 (Table 1) and again during the more recent drought of 1952 and 1953 (Table 2). When the rainfall is extremely low, the depth of the soil and its water holding capacity are of great importance.

Soils differ greatly in the amount of moisture they can retain without being water-logged. The finer the soil particles, as in the case of fine loam and clay soils, the greater the moisture holding capacity. The number of inches of available water per foot of soil depth in loam is several times that in sandy soil, and clay will hold 2 to 3 times as much moisture as a loam (Table 3).

Not only the character of the soil but also its depth is of paramount importance in years of drought. Trees on our deep loess soils will not suffer readily from lack of moisture due to deep rooting. But orchards have been planted in our state on soils only 3 to 5 feet deep. The total water available to fruit trees growing on such relatively shallow soil, at best, will be only 8 to 12 inches in the root zone. This is entirely insufficient during a prolonged period of scanty rainfall if it occurs in summer and/or early fall. Irrigation then becomes a pressing necessity.

In districts where fruit is grown under irrigation, it has been observed repeatedly that during the last period of development of the fruit, which in peaches averages about 30 days and in winter apples, 60 days or longer, lack of soil moisture affects unfavorably the final size and retards color production.

Irrigation tests with peaches, conducted by A. D. Hibbard during the dry year of 1953 at the Campbell Experimental Field in southeastern Missouri, showed that two applications, in June and July, totaling 6 inches of water increased appreciably both size and color of the fruit. Peaches from the irrigated plots were 1⁄4 to 1⁄2 inch larger in diameter than fruit from adjoining non-irrigated plots. Ripening was more even and the crop was ready for harvest about one week earlier from trees receiving the additional water. Moreover, the fruit was of a better color and sweeter to the taste, which increased their appearance and market value.

Results of irrigation of apples in the same year (1953) by Fred Vollenwider in the southwestern part of the state were equally revealing. These particular trees are growing on soil only 4 feet deep with a total water holding capacity of about 10 inches in the root zone. It was estimated that during the hot, dry weather mature apple trees required an inch of water every 4 or 5 days. Hence, without fairly frequent rains, the available moisture in the soil soon ran out.

By using supplemental irrigation of 21 to 22 inches of water, from June until early October, the average size of the fruit was increased by 1⁄4 inch, with an estimated volume increase of the crop of about 30 percent, and quality was improved.

**TABLE 3 -- RELATION BETWEEN SOIL TYPE AND AVAILABLE WATER IN THE SOIL**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Soil Type</th>
<th>Moisture Holding Capacity in Percent of Dry Soil</th>
<th>Permanent Wilting Percentage</th>
<th>Inches Available Water Per Foot of Depth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sand</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>4.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sandy loam</td>
<td>2.83</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>9.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fine sandy loam</td>
<td>4.79</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>16.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clay</td>
<td>9.09</td>
<td>2.83</td>
<td>34.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*John H. MacGillivray and L. D. Doneen.

---

**TABLE 1 -- RAINFALL, IN INCHES, DURING THE GROWING PERIOD (MARCH TO AUGUST) AT COLUMBIA, MISSOURI, 1928-1940.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>March</th>
<th>April</th>
<th>May</th>
<th>June</th>
<th>July</th>
<th>August</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1928</td>
<td>27.12</td>
<td>31.15</td>
<td>11.24</td>
<td>23.53</td>
<td>20.98</td>
<td>18.35</td>
<td>14.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1929</td>
<td>27.12</td>
<td>31.15</td>
<td>11.24</td>
<td>23.53</td>
<td>20.98</td>
<td>18.35</td>
<td>14.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1930</td>
<td>27.12</td>
<td>31.15</td>
<td>11.24</td>
<td>23.53</td>
<td>20.98</td>
<td>18.35</td>
<td>14.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1931</td>
<td>27.12</td>
<td>31.15</td>
<td>11.24</td>
<td>23.53</td>
<td>20.98</td>
<td>18.35</td>
<td>14.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1932</td>
<td>27.12</td>
<td>31.15</td>
<td>11.24</td>
<td>23.53</td>
<td>20.98</td>
<td>18.35</td>
<td>14.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1933</td>
<td>27.12</td>
<td>31.15</td>
<td>11.24</td>
<td>23.53</td>
<td>20.98</td>
<td>18.35</td>
<td>14.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1934</td>
<td>27.12</td>
<td>31.15</td>
<td>11.24</td>
<td>23.53</td>
<td>20.98</td>
<td>18.35</td>
<td>14.71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE 2 -- RAINFALL, IN INCHES, DURING THE GROWING PERIOD AT COLUMBIA, ST. JOSEPH AND SPRINGFIELD, MISSOURI, 1951-1953.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>March</th>
<th>April</th>
<th>May</th>
<th>June</th>
<th>July</th>
<th>August</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1951</td>
<td>4.02</td>
<td>2.32</td>
<td>3.02</td>
<td>7.36</td>
<td>4.19</td>
<td>6.05</td>
<td>27.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1952</td>
<td>3.39</td>
<td>2.77</td>
<td>3.31</td>
<td>4.48</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>7.04</td>
<td>22.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1953</td>
<td>3.66</td>
<td>2.86</td>
<td>2.66</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>2.68</td>
<td>2.82</td>
<td>15.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1951</td>
<td>3.26</td>
<td>4.34</td>
<td>3.05</td>
<td>13.73</td>
<td>5.72</td>
<td>9.83</td>
<td>39.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1952</td>
<td>3.10</td>
<td>2.78</td>
<td>2.88</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>7.04</td>
<td>19.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1953</td>
<td>3.76</td>
<td>2.86</td>
<td>2.71</td>
<td>2.61</td>
<td>2.28</td>
<td>.88</td>
<td>15.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1951</td>
<td>2.91</td>
<td>4.78</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>8.85</td>
<td>6.15</td>
<td>3.47</td>
<td>27.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1952</td>
<td>3.41</td>
<td>3.05</td>
<td>2.44</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>6.95</td>
<td>18.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1953</td>
<td>3.98</td>
<td>5.83</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>.33</td>
<td>.84</td>
<td>16.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
This is the way apple sizes (diameters) stack up, in relation to average number per bushel.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diameter of Fruit</th>
<th>Ave. Number per Bushel</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2 inches</td>
<td>256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 1/4 &quot;</td>
<td>216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 1/2 &quot;</td>
<td>163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 3/4 &quot;</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 &quot;</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 1/2 &quot;</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It is evident that more than twice as many 2 to 2 1/4-inch apples are required to fill a bushel, compared with 3-inch apples.

Of no small importance is the fact that, after two years of drought, the irrigated trees in the Vollenwider orchard at the time of writing (1954) look extremely vigorous, have excellent foliage, and promise to be productive in succeeding years.

Drought reduces not only the supply of moisture but also that of sugar going to the fruit. With extreme water shortage, the leaves do not function properly. The small openings or pores on the under side of the leaves become closed and carbon dioxide from the air cannot enter the leaf, which is necessary for synthesis of carbohydrates (sugars).

It has been determined that 300 to 1000 pounds of water are used for each pound of dry matter produced by fruit trees and that at least 10 times as much moisture is lost by transpiration through the leaves as by direct evaporation from the ground.

In years of insufficient rainfall, irrigation would be highly desirable in some orchards for the proper growth of the trees and the fruit. When mature, apples and peaches contain about 85 percent water. Moreover, for proper functioning of the leaves, tremendous amounts of water are required continuously. For fully grown trees, this quantity has been estimated to be 10 to 15 tons per growing season. Whether it would be practical and profitable to irrigate an orchard is for the grower to decide.

Frequently, drought is accompanied by bright sunlight and high temperature. When the heat is abnormally high, the color of apples and to some extent of other fruits may be "bleached" on the most exposed side, resulting in what is known as "sun scalding." In extreme cases conspicuous spots of yellowish red or bronzed yellow color may appear where the surface should have been bright red. Though the flesh below the skin usually is not injured, the appearance of the fruit is undesirable.

**VIGOR OF TREE AND SIZE OF FRUIT**

Only vigorous trees can yield good crops of large fruit regularly. The tree, more specifically the leaves, may be considered the equipment or machinery that produces the raw materials that go into making the fruit. If this equipment is reduced or devitalized, then crop production will be curtailed.

Young, vigorous trees usually produce fruit that is relatively large in size, while older, weakened trees form medium to small sized fruit. The foliage of a tree varies directly with vigor or the amount of growth the tree is making. With most apple varieties, a terminal shoot growth of 6 to 12 inches is desirable. Good growth and annual production of quality fruit are associated.

If the crop is more than an average one for particular trees, as is often the case in Missouri in a year of good bloom, then the fruit may not reach a normal or desirable size. Certain orchard practices may then be put into operation, as will be discussed further on, that will help to make the fruit larger. Whether it is desirable or profitable to do this has to be left to the judgment of the grower.

Rich and deep soil, above all, is the most important requirement for the production of vigorous fruit trees. If it is not available, then vigor may be maintained only by especially good soil management, particularly ample soil fertilization. The natural soil deficiencies have to be corrected by the grower. This is often done successfully, but always at considerable expense.
No fertilizer has been found to be effective in maintenance of desirable growth and yield of fruit trees as one containing nitrogen in readily available form. Though many fruit growers believe that nitrogenous fertilizers are of benefit only for increasing the fruit set, they likewise stimulate vegetative development and increase the size of fruit (Fig. 3). When twig growth is meager (less than 6 inches in the case of most apple varieties) and the foliage is sparse and of a pale green color, then application of nitrogen will lead to increased twig extension and the development of more and larger leaves with a healthy green color.

Within certain limits, a luxuriant foliage is conducive to the proper growth of fruit.

It should be understood, however, that a too liberal use of nitrogenous fertilizer will have a tendency to delay the ripening of fruit, which in extreme cases may be 2 to 3 weeks. This may or may not be desirable from a marketing point of view. And because of the increased foliage as a result of fertilization, there may be more shading of the fruit and consequently less development of red color. It is evident that while nitrogen is of great benefit for production of a fruit crop, it has to be used with judgment.

LEAVES IN RELATION TO FRUIT DEVELOPMENT

Leaves are the organs in which almost all the materials that make up the fruit, excepting water, are formed in the presence of light. Other things being normal, the larger the leaf area the more food materials will be received by the fruit, although a certain proportion may come from reserves in the tree. A fruit, like an apple or peach contains, when fully developed, about 10 to 14 percent of sugar and other so-called carbohydrates, some nitrogen, and, in small amounts, a number of ingredients that, like nitrogen, come from the soil.

The volume or weight of fruit that can be produced per tree depends chiefly on the amount of foliage that is available for the developing fruit crop. It has been demonstrated repeatedly that a certain number of large leaves is required for the formation of an apple or peach of full size, color and quality. To obtain apples of commercially desirable size, 30 to 40 leaves are needed per fruit, depending on the variety (Fig. 4). When a tree is carrying a heavy crop, this number is often much lower and, as a result, the fruit is not able to reach full development. Counts made on heavily bearing King David and Jonathan trees at Columbia, Mo., showed less than 20 leaves per fruit. These apples were conspicuously small when harvested.

The fruit has the first call on the food resources of the tree, especially the carbohydrates formed in the leaves. If the leaf area exceeds the requirements of the fruit crop, then the surplus of food substances will go to the vegetative parts of the tree. The increase in circumference of the trunk, limbs and spurs will be greater, and more flower buds will be formed for the next year. The reverse will be true when there is a reduced foliage in proportion to the amount of fruit that the tree carries, or when the leaves are severely injured or destroyed early in the season by insects or diseases.

The number of leaves that a tree may produce varies almost directly with its amount of shoot growth.

If the tree is vigorous it will grow more and will have an ample foliage. The maintenance of good growth conditions, 10 or more inches of annual twig extension, by proper soil management or fertilization and pruning, will assure a good leaf area for the production of a satisfactory crop. By the time all the drops have occurred, and the final set has been established, the leaf area for that season also has been produced. The size of the fruit now can be increased only by adjusting the fruit number to the existing quantity of leaves by fruit thinning.

Figure 4—Relation of leaf number (10, 20, 30, and 50) to size of apples. About 30 to 40 or more leaves are required for the development of a large apple and for the support of the tree itself.
INFLUENCE OF PRUNING ON FRUIT SIZE AND COLOR

Pruning of bearing trees is not to be judged by the amount of branches cut but by its effect on quantity and quality of fruit produced. The relation of pruning to size and color of fruit is largely an indirect one, through its effect on the tree. Pruning, however, can influence the fruit crop directly and considerably by eliminating those branches on which, because of position or weakness, undesirably small and off-colored fruits are borne, and by invigorating the remaining ones (Fig. 5).

The discussion will be limited largely to the relation of pruning to the supply of moisture, nitrogen and carbohydrates—the materials that often limit fruit development. Mention will be made briefly of the effects of pruning on fruit color.

Pruning as a means of conserving moisture in the orchard is of considerable value in years when the rainfall is much below normal or when the subsoil moisture is depleted, as it now is in the western parts of the Central States because of recurrent droughts. Trees that have been pruned properly will have a reduced top in proportion to the root system. Therefore, what water is available will last longer. One should be aware of the fact that a tree uses a prodigious quantity of water during the growing season. When it is suffering from lack of moisture, vegetative growth will be reduced, the leaves will be small, and the fruit crop limited and of poor quality.

In regions of arid climate, deciduous trees are pruned more heavily than in Missouri. For the past few years we have had hot and dry weather during the summer months, which has been quite similar to that existing normally in some of our western states. It would be logical, therefore, that pruning be more severe during periods of drought. Precautions should be taken in all cases, however, not to expose the trunk and limbs on the west and southwest sides to such an extent that there be danger from sunscald injury.

Is pruning conducive to an increase in the carbohydrates or sugar supply, which goes into the making of every fruit? Fruits are eaten largely for their sugar content.

Pruning will increase the sugar supply only to a limited extent. By removing some of the branches, we not only decrease the fruit producing machinery, but also the amount of foliage that the tree will carry. And it is in the leaves, as we noted before, that the carbohydrates are produced.

A large proportion of the reserve food substances is stored during the fall and winter in the lower parts of limbs, the main stem, and roots of the tree. When the top is reduced by pruning, the remaining branches and, in part, the flowers and young fruits will have a larger share in the spring of what has been stored from the previous season. Moreover, when the tree is opened up by pruning, more sunlight will reach the interior. Many of the leaves in the center of the tree will produce food more abundantly than they would when shaded.

Pruning will likewise conserve the nitrogen supply. In the spring nitrogen is essential for the formation of flowers, fruit, leaves and shoots. By pruning the tree, the remaining branches will obtain proportionally, more of this soil nutrient for fruit setting and growth. Pruning, of course, does not supply nitrogen and is not a substitute for soil fertilization. It merely reduces the demand. Naturally this element can be furnished easily by a timely application of a nitrogenous fertilizer.
The red or overlying color of apples and peaches will be improved to some extent by pruning. This would be particularly true of fruits that are located in the central part of the tree. If the tree is supplied with abundance of nitrogen, then, because of the increased number and size of leaves, the fruit may be shaded and little benefit will be derived in the direction of increased color from pruning, especially heading back of branches. Shading has no effect on color of the yellow varieties.

One of the general objects of pruning bearing apple trees is either to eliminate or to modify all branches that because of shading or overcrowding are not producing fruit of desirable size and color (Fig 6). Such branches are located largely in the center of the tree, especially when it has not been trained and pruned properly. Overbearing may also cause the devitalization of many branches. By practicing the so-called "thin-wood" method of pruning, branches in the interior and the lower part of the tree may be removed. Generally these shaded branches are the ones that produce the small and off-colored fruit, which more often than not go to the cull pile.

### INCREASE OF SIZE AND COLOR BY FRUIT THINNING

While pruning, when properly executed, will affect fruit size only indirectly through conservation of moisture and increase in nitrogen and carbohydrate supply, fruit thinning will do it directly and conspicuously. It is difficult to mention another orchard operation that will do as much to improve the appearance and quality, and thereby the market acceptability, as judicious fruit thinning.

At present, fruit thinning is performed either manually, as soon as the natural drops are over, or by means of a chemical spray at the time or soon after the fruit is set. Peaches are still thinned chiefly by hand, while apples are thinned to a considerable extent by means of chemical sprays, with a follow-up hand thinning if necessary and practical.

Thinning fruit by hand, though helpful, is expensive considering the present cost of labor. Hence, chemical spray thinning has come into the picture of orchard management. It can be done much faster and therefore in time to obtain the greatest benefit. In comparison to hand thinning, it has the additional merit that it will help correct biennial bearing and preserve the vigor of trees. The earlier an excessive fruit set is reduced to a more desirable size the better will be the effect on the crop and the tree. Overbearing is a devitalizing process.

With favorable conditions for fruit setting, many varieties of apples and most peaches are apt to overbear, in some years at least. The usual result will be fruit of small size and poor color (Fig. 7). By removing some of the fruit, the remaining ones will increase in size in proportion to the amount of foliage present for their support. A previous section explains that 30 to 40 leaves are needed to nourish a large apple. Any foliage in excess of this number will be of benefit for the tree itself in supplying necessary substances for increased fruit bud formation, growth in diameter of the woody parts, and in providing food reserves for the succeeding year.

Depending on variety, peaches require 20 to 40 leaves per fruit for best development of size, color and quality. With heavy yields, the average number of leaves per plant will be far short of this requirement. Overloaded peach trees with poor leaf area produce inferior fruit. Said a fruit grower: "The main reason we thin peaches is to obtain fruit of uniform and acceptable size."

Figure 7—Peaches from thinned (left) and non-thinned (right) trees of the same variety. The size and quality of peaches can be increased remarkably by fruit thinning.
Not only the size but also the quality of the fruit is increased by thinning. Apples grown with a larger leaf area are higher in sugar content than when grown with a reduced number of leaves. This difference may be as high as 30 percent which will make an appreciable difference in flavor and should influence consumer demand.

Elberta peaches, when grown with 10 to 15 leaves per fruit, were abnormally small and low in sugar. With 30 to 40 leaves per peach, the size and flavor were superior.

When trees bear a heavy crop, the branches are weighted down. This results in shading of much fruit, especially those on the inside branches. Properly thinned branches will stay in a more upright position and will shade less those below. More light will reach the fruit with consequent increase in color.

Fruit thinning to improve color is of particular importance with the red varieties of apples and peaches. To obtain a good price for such fruit, it is necessary that they be well colored all over. This is accomplished only by proper and full exposure to light. Even the red bud sports of the apple will have a better color and finish and consequently a higher value when the fruit is thinned.

Varieties that characteristically produce small apples, such as King David, Grimes and Winesap, should be thinned more heavily than those that normally mature larger fruit. And as apple trees get older, the fruit becomes naturally smaller, requiring more thinning to obtain size. This to some extent is true also of peaches.

In thinning both apples and peaches, more fruit should be left on vigorous branches with large leaves and fewer on weak branches with small leaves.

The number of defective specimens will certainly be reduced by fruit thinning. Most of the young fruits that have been infected with scab and other diseases, or injured by insects, may thus be removed early in the season, and what is left will be better protected from further attack by various pests. When properly thinned, only infrequently will two specimens touch each other. This permits a more complete coverage with spray material. Moreover, the interior of the tree may be sprayed more efficiently when the branches are not drooping because of an overload of fruit. In general, the closer the fruit is graded the more carefully it should be thinned.

**EFFECT OF "PREHARVEST SPRAYS" ON SIZE AND COLOR**

The use of the so-called "preharrow sprays," containing the hormone chemical naphthaleneacetic acid or a related compound, which are applied primarily for the purpose of preventing premature dropping of apples, will help materially to increase size and color. In fact, the object in the use of such sprays is to delay harvesting the crop in order to obtain the highest amount of color and finish of such varieties as Jonathan and Delicious. It is well known that both the color and size of fruit is increased by late picking. The fruit also will be somewhat more mature, since these sprays do not prevent or retard ripening. Some of them actually seem to hasten maturity of the summer and early fall varieties, especially when the weather is relatively hot. This may or may not be desirable, depending on whether the fruit is used for immediate consumption or is stored.

Naphthaleneacetic acid is the standard preharvest spray for apples. It will hold most varieties from dropping for about 1 to 2 weeks, after which, if they are not harvested promptly, respraying is necessary. Some growers in Missouri and elsewhere have applied it on their Jonathans and some other varieties as many as 4 times. This is inconvenient and expensive.

A new double action preharvest spray to improve color and retard fruit drop was put on the market a few years ago. The active material of this spray is a hormone with the full chemical name 2,4,5-Trichlorophenoxypropionic acid, for short 2,4,5-TP. At the recommended concentration of 20 parts per million (p.p.m.) it will keep practically all varieties of apples from dropping for 4 to 6 weeks. Only a single spray therefore is usually required.

As the trade names of this spray material (2,4,5-TP) suggest, it is supposed to improve the red color of apples. According to our present evidence, based on fairly extensive tests, it seems to do this to some extent on certain of the early varieties. However, the improvement is associated with speeded up maturity. Here is where a difficulty has arisen, particularly in the more southern apple producing regions. Quite extensive tests by the writer at the Missouri Agricultural Experiment Station show, and several growers have obtained similar results, that when the Duchess and Wealthy varieties, for example, are sprayed with 2,4,5-TP at 20 p.p.m., maturity of the fruit has been hastened, accompanied by some increase in color.

There have been instances in this region when the weather was relatively warm during the harvest of these early varieties and fruit ripened so fast that apples were too soft for handling through usual market channels.

The results of our experimental spraying with 2,4,5-TP on Jonathans and Golden Delicious show that a single spray reduced the preharvest drops very well but that some of the exposed fruit matured faster than on unsprayed trees. Spot picking of the outside fruit, therefore, is a desirable practice in such cases.
When used on winter apples, such as Rome, Yorks, and Winesaps, this relatively new preharvest spray material reduced drops satisfactorily and there was no apparent hastening of maturity at picking time or after the fruit was kept in cold storage for a prolonged period. But neither was there an increase in color.

Considering these facts, the present suggestion is that 2,4,5-TP be used with caution on summer and early fall apples. For Yellow Transparents, Duchess, Wealthy and other early varieties the concentration of the spray should be reduced probably to 10 or even 5 p.p.m. and the application should not be made too soon before the picking period. Even more important is the timely harvesting of the crop from trees sprayed with 2,4,5-TP. This applies not merely to summer apples but likewise to such varieties as the Jonathan and Golden Delicious.

**SUMMARY**

A survey conducted in representative apple growing regions of Missouri showed that about 1/2 of the cull apples are caused by lack of size and color. The various environmental factors and orchard practices having a bearing on growth and color development of apples and peaches, the two major tree fruits of the state, are discussed.

1. Size and color are variety characteristics. Though hereditary, the weather has a marked influence on their development. Increased color of certain varieties of apples is obtained by selection of "red bud sports."

2. The weather, specifically rainfall and temperature, has a marked effect on size and color development of apples and peaches. Excessive drought and high temperature are especially harmful for growth and coloring of apples.

3. Only vigorous trees can produce fruit of desirable size. Good soil, ample rainfall, pruning, and the use of fertilizers are some of the major factors in maintenance of tree vigor. Excessive fertilization with nitrogen sometimes results in a decrease of fruit color.

4. There is a direct relationship between good foliage and good fruit. To obtain apples and peaches of commercially desirable size and quality, a certain number of leaves are required for their proper development. The number of leaves that a tree carries varies directly with the amount of shoot growth.

5. Pruning helps to increase size and color of fruit by: (a) Conserving the moisture and nitrogen supply, if that be limiting; (b) increasing carbohydrate supply through development of larger leaves and their better exposure to light, and (c) improving color of fruit by reduction of shading.

6. Apples and peaches may be increased in size remarkably by fruit thinning. This practice should be based on adjustment of a desirable leaf-to-fruit ratio. For the full growth of an apple, 30 to 40 leaves are required, and for a peach 20 to 40 leaves. Color and flavor of the fruit is also improved by thinning. Varieties or trees that characteristically bear small fruit should be thinned heavier, weak branches more than vigorous ones.

7. The preharvest hormone sprays, while preventing or reducing fruit drop, also will increase size and color of apples. However, if picking is delayed too long, especially of summer and early fall apples, there is danger of an increased ripening of the fruit, especially during a period of hot weather.