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## Milk Production Costs and Milk Prices

R. M. Green, D. C. Wood, A. C. Ragsdale

In December, 1917, the University of Missouri College of Agriculture thru its Farm Management Department began an investigation of milk production costs for the previous year in the vicinity of St. Louis, Mo. Later, with the assistance of the Agricultural Extension Service in Farm Management and Dairy Husbandry, similar information was secured from territory supplying milk to St. Joseph and Kansas City, Mo.

The purpose of this investigation was to secure as accurate information as possible on the relative cost and price of milk from representative farms in different sections, and thru cooperation with the Agricultural Extension Service in Farm Management and Dairy Husbandry, to demonstrate the present business status of dairying in the sections studied, the pressing importance of the most economical production possible through careful feeding and selection, and the value to the farmer of keeping informed on the business side of his operations as a means of foreseeing and, to some extent, avoiding in the most practical way serious losses.

It was realized in beginning this investigation that figures on the cost of producing milk like other cost figures are not static but change from time to time. However, it is believed that the information secured is representative enough and recent enough to serve as a guide in interpreting conditions locally in this business now and in the near future. As the cost figures obtained were nearly all in terms of quantity of feed and labor as well as in terms of dollars and cents, the application of new prices to the quantity figures secured will furnish information approximately correct for changing conditions.

Figure 1 shows approximately the location of farms included in this investigation. Each dot represents a farm.

## ST. LOUIS AREA

Production costs, December 1, 1916, to December 1, 1917
During this investigation information was secured from 49 representative milk producers near the City of St. Louis. These men were located in St. Louis, Jefferson, Franklin, and St. Charles
counties. The records were secured by the specialist conducting this work after careful investigation at the farm. Where possible, information was obtained from written records. Many of the farmers were able to produce some such records in the form of day book accounts and memoranda. No attempt was made to select particular farms. However, only farms with ten cows or more were visited, since records from farms with smaller herds would contribute very little toward determining production costs.

In fairness to both the consuming public and the farmers the compilation is not based upon the poorest herds nor upon only the highest grade and purebred herds, but an endeavor was made to secure records from all herds alike so that the results would be representative of the true conditions in this district.

Of the 49 farms represented in this investigation, 3 kept all purebred Holsteins, 25 kept grade Holsteins with some purebreds, 1 kept all purebred Jerseys, 3 kept grade Jerseys, and 17 kept mixed herds or common grade stock. All but 3 kept their own bull.

The average size of farm was 167.9 acres, and the average distance from the local market was 1.29 miles.

The average value of cows in these herds was $\$ 107$ per head, and the average production, 625.6 gallons per cow per year.

## RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION

In Table 1 is given an itemized summary of the costs of producing milk by the 49 dairymen interviewed.

Table 1.-Milk Production Costs From Forty-Nine Dairymen Near St. Louis

| Expenses | Amount | Price | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Feed |  |  |  |
| Corn | 13,235 bu | \$ 1.22 | \$ 16,178.50 |
| Bran | 313.84 tons | 35.94 | 11,280.92 |
| Cottonseed meal | 97.6 tons | 38.94 | 3,800.48 |
| Other concentrates | 260.11 tons | 38.64 | 10,051.47 |
| Legume hay | 1,610 tons | 23.63 | 38,052.00 |
| Non-legume roughage | 126.75 tons | 9.67 | 1,225.50 |
| Fodder | 6,875 shocks | . 363 | 2,496.84 |
| Silage | 3,595.5 tons | 8.56 | 30,767.50 |
| Pasture |  |  | 10,808.75 |
| Total feeds |  |  | 124,661.96 |
| Miscellaneous |  |  |  |
| Cattle purchased | 296 head | 95.81 | 28,361.60 |

Table 1.-Milk Production Costs From Forty-Nine Dairymen Near. St. Louis-Continued

| Expenses | Amount | Price | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cattle died | 48 head |  | 3,690.00 |
| Veterinary fees |  |  | 1,137.50 |
| Breeding fees (pd.) |  |  | 44.00 |
| Bedding used | 309.5 tons | 7.63 | 2,361.50 |
| Interest on cattle |  |  | 7,823.67 |
| Taxes and ins., cattle |  |  | 929.80 |
| Taxes and interest on real estate (bldg.) |  |  | 5,444.16 |
| Rent |  |  | 476.00 |
| Insurance on real estate (bldgs.) |  |  | 543.25 |
| Taxes and interest on equipment.... |  |  | 865.53 |
| Repairs, buildings |  |  | 706.00 |
| Repairs, equipment |  |  | 126.00 |
| Depreciation on real estate. |  |  | 4,066.10 |
| Depreciation on equipment |  |  | 1,750.35 |
| Ice |  |  | 1,769.00 |
| Hauling milk (paid) |  |  | 99.45 |
| Feed grinding | 10,272.7 bu. | . 114 | 1,176.20 |
| Power and fuel |  |  | 2,933.00 |
| Salt and stock food |  |  | 513.89 |
| Fees and dues |  |  | 71.15 |
| Express |  |  | 11,456.29 |
| Miscellaneous |  |  | 1,318.19 |
| Total miscellaneous |  |  | 77,662.63 |
| Labor |  |  |  |
| Man labor | 215,983.36 hrs. |  | 40,305.69 |
| Horse labor | 126,770.9 " |  | 15,936.32 |
| Total labor |  |  | 56,242.01 |
| Summary of costs |  |  |  |
| Feed cost .. |  |  | 124,661.96 |
| Miscellaneous cost .................. |  |  | 77,662.63 |
| Labor cost |  |  | 56,242.01 |
| Total costs |  |  | 258.566.60 |
| Credits | Amount | Price | Total |
| Manure | 6,5361/4 tons | \$ 1.29 | 8,412.00 |
| Cattle sold | 758 head | 38.73 | 29,356.97 |
| Feed sacks sold |  |  | 527.75 |
| Hides sold | 25 |  | 204.44 |
| Increase on inventory |  |  | 29,398.30 |
| Total credits |  |  | 68,439.46 |
| Net cost (total expenditures less credits) $10 \%$ of net cost for managerial ability |  |  | 190,127.14 |
| $10 \%$ of net cost for managerial ability and risk |  |  | 19,012.71 |
| Total net cost of production |  |  | 258,566.60 |

SUMMARy of data from a total of 1045 cows, 305 heifers, 211 calves, 46 bulls ( 1296 cattle units)

Production .......................................................... . 6 . 653,728.11 gals.
Average cost per gallon ......................................... $\quad 31.99$ cts.
Average price received per gallon .............................. 25.36 cts.
Loss per gallon ................................................ $\quad 6.63$ cts.
Production ......................................................... $5,622,061.75$ lbs.
Average cost per 100 lbs ............................................... \$3.72
Average price received per 100 lbs . ....................................... \$ 2.95
Loss per $100 \mathrm{lbs} . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .$.
Annual production per cow ................................... 625.6 gals.
Average herd consisted of 21.3 cows, 6.2 heifers, 4.3 calves, .94 bulls.
The total annual production of these 49 herds was $653,728.11$ gallons. The cost of production was $\$ 209,139.85$. The average cost per gallon per year therefore amounted to 31.99 cents, or $\$ 3.72$ per hundred pounds. The average price per gallon received during the year was 25.36 cents or $\$ 2.95$ per hundred pounds, which resulted in a net loss of 6.63 cents per gallon or 77 cents a hundred pounds.

The figures quoted are on the basis of the working herd and not on the basis of the milk cows alone. The data were figured on this basis for several reasons. In the first place it was possible to get a fairly accurate record of total feed fed to the working herd, but as all cattle were fed a number of feeds together, it was difficult for the farmers interviewed to estimate the portion chargeable to cows alone. A more important reason than this is the fact that the part of the working herd in addition to milk cows is a necessary part of the business and it would usually be impracticable for the farmer to figure on being without that part of his herd. It was, therefore, considered more compatible with actual conditions to figure on the working herd as a unit.

All feed produced on the farm was charged at market prices minus the cost of hauling. Feed bought was charged at actual purchase price. The cost of hauling to the farm was included under labor. It will be noticed (Table 1) that these 49 dairymen fed a total of 13,235 bushels of corn, 313.84 tons of bran, 97.6 tons of cottonseed meal, 260.11 tons of other concentrates, 1610 tons of legume hay, 126.75 tons of non-leguminous roughage, in addition to 6875 shocks of fodder, 3595.5 tons silage, and $\$ 10,808.75$ worth of pasture. With corn at $\$ 1.22$ a bushel, bran at $\$ 35.94$ a ton, cottonseed meal at $\$ 38.94$ a ton, other concentrates $\$ 38.64$ a ton, legume hay $\$ 23.63$ a ton, miscellaneous roughage $\$ 9.67$ a ton, fodder 36 cents
a shock, and silage $\$ 8.56$ a ton, the total feed cost of producing the $653,728.11$ gallons of milk was $\$ 124,661.96$.

The man labor and horse labor recorded is that used solely in connection with the dairy. It consists of such work as milking, chores and feeding, hauling milk, hauling feed and bedding, and handling the milk. Its total cost was $\$ 56,242.01$.

Miscellaneous costs amounted to $\$ 77,662.63$. Among the most important of these were taxes, interest, and depreciation, bedding, loss of cattle, power and fuel, feed grinding and express. Interest on investment was figured at 5 per cent. Depreciation was figured at 10 per cent for equipment, 4 per cent for frame buildings and 3 per cent for stone or brick buildings. The 309.5 tons of bedding valued at $\$ 2,361.50$ was chiefly straw, altho a few men bedded with waste stover and a few did not bed at all. Forty-eight head of stock were lost during the year, and the $\$ 3,690.00$ charged as a cost is the amount not covered by insurance. The charge of $\$ 2,933.00$ for power and fuel covers everything paid out for gasoline, oil and batteries for gas engines, and also fuel for heating and for sterilizing utensils. The 10,252 bushels of grain ground was practically all corn. The shipping charges were $\$ 11,456.29$. This was express from the local station to St. Louis. On most of the milk shipped the express rate was $11 / 2$ to 2 cents per gallon.

The charges on real estate and equipment refer only to charges on dairy buildings and dairy equipment. The item of rent, $\$ 476$, is made up of rent paid on buildings by a few dairymen who did not own their plants and takes the place of taxes and interest on owned buildings. There is also included under miscellaneous costs an item of $\$ 99.45$ for milk hauling. One or two farmers hired some extra hauling done other than that charged under labor.

Under credits the manure recorded is that produced and actually used rather than the total production of manure. In addition to other costs, 10 per cent of other net costs was added to cover managerial ability and risk. The herds on which these figures are based average 21.3 cows, 6.2 heifers, 4.3 calves, and one bull per herd. The cost of 31.99 cents per gallon included express and was therefore the cost F. O. B., St. Louis, or delivered to the distributer. The cost was figured on this basis-including express-so that it would be comparable with the price received which is F. O. B., St. Louis.

Included among the 49 dairymen whose records were secured were nine dairymen who delivered their milk to customers in small towns nearby. That there might be no question as to how these nine
records influenced the final results shown in Table 1, the figures from these nine farms were separated and are shown in Table 2.

Table 2.-Milk Production Costs From Nine Dairymen Who Supplied Local Markets

| Expenditures | Amount | Price | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Feed |  |  |  |
| Corn | 1,510 bu. | \$ 1.23 | \$1,856.85 |
| Bran | 76.3 tons | 34.96 | 2,667.60 |
| Cottonseed meal | 3.0 tons | 33.33 | 100.00 |
| Other concentrates | 42.71 tons | 39.31 | 1,678.85 |
| Legume hay | 333.5 tons | 19.89 | 6,633.00 |
| Non-legume hay | 22.0 tons | 5.22 | 115.00 |
| Fodder | 1400 shocks | . 3607 | 505.00 |
| Silage ................................ | 205 tons | 9.19 | 1,885.00 |
| Pasture ............................. |  |  | 1,832.00 |
| Total feed |  |  | 17,273.30 |
| Miscellaneous |  |  |  |
| Cattle purchased | 43 head | 84.23 | 3,622.00 |
| Cattle died | 2 head | 100.00 | 200.00 |
| Veterinary fees |  |  | 154.00 |
| Breeding fees |  |  | 4.00 |
| Bedding | 411/4 tons | 7.51 | 310.00 |
| Interest on cattle |  |  | 1,035.16 |
| Taxes and interest on cattle. |  |  | 110.75 |
| Taxes and interest on real estate (bldg.) |  |  | 589.75 |
| Insurance on real estate (bldg.)... |  |  | 68.50 |
| Taxes and interest on equipment.... |  |  | 124.09 |
| Repairs on buildings and equipment |  |  | 29.50 |
| Depreciation on real estate ........ |  |  | 448.40 |
| Depreciation on equipment ......... |  | ........ | 218.23 |
| Rent |  | ....... | 43.00 |
| Ice |  |  | 197.00 |
| Feed grinding | 2,102.85 bu. | . 11 | 232.20 |
| Power and fuel | ............... |  | 127.00 |
| Salt and stock food |  | ....... | 130.70 |
| Fees and dues |  |  | 19.25 |
| Express ... |  |  | 26.20 |
| Miscellaneous |  | ....... | 544.00 |
| Total miscellaneous |  |  | \$8,233.73 |
| Labor |  |  |  |
| Man labor | 24,022.5 hrs. | . 17 | 4,083.83 |
| Horse labor | 7,993.0 hrs. | - .121/2 | 999.13 |
| Total labor |  |  | 5,082.96 |
| Man labor (delivery) | 20,674.5 hrs. | . 17 | 3,514.67 |
| Horse labor (delivery) ............ | 23,959.5 hrs. | .121/2 | 2,994.94 |
| Total cost of delivery (labor).... |  |  | 6,509.61 |

Table 2.-Milk Production Costs From Nine Dairymen Who Supplied Local Markets-Continued

| Expenditures | Amount | Price | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Summary of Expenditures |  |  |  |
| Feed cost .............. |  |  | 17,273.30 |
| Miscellaneous cost |  |  | 8,233.73 |
| Labor cost |  |  | 5,082.96 |
| Delivery cost (labor) |  |  | 6,509.61 |
| Total cost |  |  | 37,099.60 |
| Credits | Amount | Price | Total |
| Manure | 1,905 tons | 1.41 | 2,693.75 |
| Cattle sold | 116 head | 41.13 | 4,772.00 |
| Feed sacks |  |  | 31.00 |
| Hides sold | 2 | 11.50 | 23.00 |
| Increase in investment |  |  | 4,060.00 |
| Total credits |  |  | 11,579.75 |
| Net cost (total expenditures less credits) |  |  | 25,519.85 |
| $10 \%$ net cost for managerial ability and risk |  |  | 2,551.98 |
| Total net cost of production.... |  |  | 28,071.83 |

SUMmary of data from a total of 141 cows, 38 heifers, $261 / 2$ calves,
9 bulls ( 175.5 cattle units)

| Production | 84,359.05 gals. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Average cost per gallon | 33.27 cts. |
| Average price received per gallon | 29.93 cts. |
| Loss per gallon | 3.34 cts. |
| Production | 725,487.8 lbs. |
| Average cost per 100 lbs . | \$ 3.87 |
| Average price received per 100 lbs | \$ 3.48 |
| Loss per 100 lbs . | . 39 |
| Annual production per cow | 598 gals. |

The total production of these nine dairies was $84,359.05$ gallons. By dividing this into the net cost of production, the average cost of production per gallon for these farmers was found to be 33.27 cents or $\$ 3.87$ a hundred. However, these men were receiving an average price of 29.93 cents per gallon, or $\$ 3.48$ per hundred. The loss per gallon was, therefore, 3.34 cents, or 39 cents a hundred. These figures are not quoted to show the comparative profit between shipping and delivering milk, altho they are in accord with findings on this subject in the other localities studied. Nine records are too few on which to base conclusions. They are separated from the others to
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make clear the effect of including them in Table 1. The herds owned by these farmers averaged 15.6 cows, 4.2 heifers, 2.9 calves, and one bull. The average production was 598 gallons per cow per year.

Table 3.-Milk Production Costs From Forty Dairymen Who Sold Milk F. O. B. St. Louis

| Expenditures | Amount | Price | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Feed |  |  |  |
| Corn | 11,725 bu. | \$ 1.22 | \$14,321.65 |
| Bran | 237.54 tons | 36.26 | 8,613.32 |
| Cottonseed meal | 94.6 tons | 39.12 | 3,700.48 |
| Other concentrates | 217.4 tons | 38.51 | 8,372.62 |
| Legume hay | 1,276.5 tons | 24.61 | 31,419.00 |
| Non-legume roughage | 104.75 tons | 10.60 | 1,110.50 |
| Fodder | 5,475 shocks | . 364 | 1,991.84 |
| Silage | 3,390.5 tons | 8.52 | 28,882.50 |
| Pasture |  |  | 8,976.75 |
| Total feed ....................... |  |  | \$107,388.66 |
| Miscellaneous |  |  |  |
| Cattle purchased | 253 head | 97.78 | 24,739.60 |
| Cattle died | 46 head | 75.87 | 3,490.00 |
| Veterinary fees |  |  | 983.50 |
| Breeding fees |  |  | 40.00 |
| Bedding | 268.25 tons | 7.65 | 2,051.50 |
| Interest on cattle |  |  | 6,788.51 |
| Taxes and insurance on cattle. |  |  | 819.05 |
| Taxes \& interest on real estate (bldgs.) |  |  | 4,854.41 |
| Insurance on real estate (bldgs.).... |  |  | 474.75 |
| Taxes and interest on equipment... |  |  | 741.44 |
| Repairs on buildings and equipment.. |  |  | 802.50 |
| Depreciation on real estate ........ |  |  | 3,617.70 |
| Depreciation on equipment ......... |  |  | 1,532.12 |
| Rent |  | ....... | 433.00 |
| Ice |  |  | 1,572.00 |
| Hauling milk |  |  | 99.45 |
| Feed grinding | 8,149.85 bu. | . 115 | 944.00 |
| Power and fuel |  |  | 2,806.00 |
| Salt and stock feed. |  |  | 383.19 |
| Fees and dues |  |  | 51.90 |
| Express |  |  | 11,430.09 |
| Miscellaneous |  |  | 774.19 |
| Total miscellaneous |  |  | 69,428.90 |
| Labor |  |  |  |
| Man labor | 171,286.36 hrs. |  | 32,707.19 |
| Horse labor | 94,818.4 hrs. |  | 11,942.25 |
| Total labor |  |  | 44,649.44 |

Table 3.-Milk Production Costs From Forty Dairymen Who Sold Milk F. O. B. St. Louis-Continuid

| Expenditures | Amount | Price | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Summary of expenditures |  |  |  |
| Feed cost |  |  | 107,388.66 |
| Miscellaneous cost |  |  | 69,428.90 |
| Labor cost |  |  | 44,649.44 |
| Total cost |  |  | \$221,467.00 |
| Credits | Amount | Price | Total |
| Manure | 4,631.25 tons | 1.23 | 5,718.25 |
| Cattle sold | 642 |  | 24,584.97 |
| Feed sacks sold |  |  | 496.75 |
| Hides sold | 23 | 7.89 | 181.44 |
| Increase in inventory |  |  | 25,878.30 |
| Total credits |  |  | 56,859.71 |
| Net cost . |  | $\ldots$ | 164,607.29 |
| $10 \%$ net cost for managerial ability and risk | .............. |  | 16,460.73 |
| Total net cost of production...... |  |  | 181,068.02 |

summary of data on total of 904 cows, 267 heifers, 184.2 calves, 37 bulls (1120.5 Cattle units)

Production ................................................................. . 569,369.06 gals.
Average cost per ga!lon ................................................................ 31.80 cts.
Average price received per gallon ............................... 24.68 cts.
Loss per gallon ................................................. $\quad 7.12$ cts.
Production .............................................................. $4,896,573.95$ lbs.
Average cost per $100 \mathrm{lbs} . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .$.
Average price received per 100 lbs. ....................................... \$ 2.87
Loss per 100 lbs. ............................................................................... 83
Annual production per cow ................................... 630 gals.
Average herd- 22.6 cows, 6.7 heifers, 4.6 calves, .93 bulls.

Table 3 includes figures for the forty dairymen who shipped milk. The total production of this group of farms was 569,369.06 gallons. The average cost per gallon was 31.8 cents or $\$ 3.70$ a hundred. It will be seen that including the nine dairymen who delivered milk with the forty who shipped, as was done in Table 1, did not materially affect the final results as the nine were only a small proportion of the total number of farms studied. The average price received by the 40 farmers shipping milk was 24.68 cents per gallon, or 2.87 a hundred pounds. This gave a loss of 7.12 cents a gallon or 83 cents a hundred pounds. The herds on these farms averaged 22.6 cows, 6.7 heifers, 4.6 calves, and 9 bulls. Only three
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farmers out of the total number did not keep their own bull. The average production was 630 gallons per cow per year.

In Table 4 is given an itemized statement, on the basis of one gallon, of the costs recorded in Table 1.

Table 4.-Production Cost Per Gallon of Milk


The calculation of annual costs per cow (Table 5) involves some estimating. The figures presented were derived from the figures on the whole herd by reducing all animals in the herd to the basis of an animal unit or one cow. In determining the number of animal units or cow equivalents in the herd, 2 heifers, 4 calves, or 1 bull were counted equivalent to one cow. That this method of calculation gives practically the same cost figures as those previously determined is shown by comparing the cost per gallon determined in this way with the cost per gallon found in Table 1. Considerable data for other states have been presented on the basis per cow. Table 5 is included in this discussion so that the data presented may be compared with that collected elsewhere in this form.

Table 5.-Annual Cost Per Cow

|  | Amount | Price | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Feed cost |  |  |  |
| Corn | 10.21 bu . | \$ 1.22 | \$ 12.46 |
| Bran | 484.2 lbs . | 35.84 per T. | 8.74 |
| Cottonseed meal | 150.58 lbs . | 38.94 per T. | 2.93 |
| Other concentrates | 401.22 lbs . | 38.64 per T. | 7.75 |
| Legume hay | 1.24 tons | 23.63 per T . | 29.35 |
| Non-legume roughage .............. | . 098 tons | 9.67 per T. | . 945 |
| Fodder .............................. | 5.303 shks | . 363 per shk. | 1.925 |
| Silage ................................ | 2.773 tons | 8.56 per T. | 23.74 |
| Pasture ............................ |  |  | 8.33 |
| Total feed cost .................. |  |  | 96.17 |
| Man labor |  |  |  |
| Milking and feeding ............... |  | ............... |  |
| Handling milk ...................... | 17.4 hrs . |  |  |
| Hauling milk ..................... | 32.2 hrs . |  |  |
| Misc. dairy work .................. | 20.0 hrss. |  |  |
| Total man labor ................. | 166.6 hrs. |  | 31.10 |
| Horse labor |  |  |  |
| Handling milk | 12.4 hrs. |  |  |
| Hauling milk | 44.9 hrs . |  |  |
| Misc. dairy work | 40.4 hrs . |  |  |
| Total horse labor | 97.7 hrs. |  | 12.29 |
| Total labor cost ................... |  |  | 43.39 |
| Miscellaneous costs |  |  |  |
| Depreciation, 12\% |  | 12.86 | $\ldots .$. |
| Interest on cows, $6 \%, \ldots . . . . . . .$. (value \$107.21) | .......... | 6.43 | $\ldots .$. |
| Bedding ............................. |  | 1.82 | $\ldots .$. |
| Veterinary ......................... |  | . 88 |  |
| Use of buildings |  | 8.68 | ...... |
| Use of equipment |  | 2.12 | $\ldots .$. |
| Ice ...... |  | 1.36 | ...... |
| Feed grinding |  | . 91 | ...... |
| Power and fuel |  | 2.26 | . |
| Salt and stock food |  | . 40 | ...... |
| Bull service ........................ |  | 2.00 | ...... |
| Misc. expenses, express, etc......... | .......... | 10.71 | . $\quad . . .$. |
| Total miscellaneous costs........... <br> Summary of costs | ........... |  | \$ 50.43 |
| Feed cost |  |  | \$ 96.17 |
| Labor cost |  |  | 43.39 |
| Miscellaneous cost |  |  | 50.43 |
| Gross costs |  |  | \$189.99 |
| $10 \%$ for managerial ability, risk, and miscellaneous overhead <br> Total gross costs $\qquad$ |  |  | 19.00 $\$ 208.99$ |

Table 5.-Annual Cost Per Cow-Continued

|  | Amount | Price | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Credits |  |  |  |
| Manure | 5.042 tons | ........ | 6.49 |
| Calf | .......... | ......... | 5.00 |
| Feed sacks |  |  | . 46 |
| Hides |  |  | . 18 |
| Total credits |  |  | \$ 12.13 |
| Net Cost |  |  | \$196.86 |
| Cost per gallon |  | 31.47 | ...... |
| Gallons per cow |  | 625.58 |  |

## ST. JOSEPH AREA

Production costs from January 1, 1917, to January 1, 1918 Records were secured on 23 farms in this section. Practically all of the records are from Buchanan county. The same plan of securing records was followed as in the St. Louis area. Of the 23 records obtained in this region 10 were from producers who retailed their own milk, while 13 were from producers who sold to distributors.

## RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION

The composite costs for all 23 farms are summarized in Table 6. Tables 7 and 8 give costs for the two groups separately.

Table 6.-Milk Production Costs From Twenty-Three Dairymen Near St. Joseph

| Expenditures | Amount | Price | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Feed |  |  |  |
| Corn | 12,107 buı. | \$ 1.53 | 18,494.31 |
| Bran | 2101/4 tons | 36.87 | 7,752.20 |
| Cottonseed meal | 691/2 tons | 49.72 | 3,455.75 |
| Malt | 1,188 tons | 6.24 | 7,416.00 |
| Beet pulp | $381 / 2$ tons | 40.99 | 1,578.00 |
| Oats | 72.45 tons | 41.03 | 2,973.00 |
| Oil meal | 22.05 tons | 59.77 | 1,317.95 |
| Hominy | $501 / 4$ tons | 58.84 | 2,956.75 |
| Other concentrates | 693/4 tons | 34.54 | 2,409.35 |
| Legume hay | 1,629 tons | 22.48 | 36,620.28 |
| Non-legume roughage | 262 tons | 18.40 | 4,840.00 |
| Fodder | 951 shocks | 0.30 | 285.30 |
| Silage | 1,845 tons | 8.22 | 15,175.00 |
| Pasture |  | ...... | 9,535.00 |
| Total feed |  |  | 114,808.89 |

Table 6.-Milk Production Costs From Twenty-Three Dairymen Near St. Joseph-Continued

|  | Amount | Price | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Miscellaneous |  |  |  |
| Cattle purchased | 113 head | 100.60 | 11,372.00 |
| Cattle died | 72 head |  | 2,141.00 |
| Veterinary |  |  | 794.00 |
| Bedding |  |  | 2,272.00 |
| Interest on cattle |  |  | 6,562.23 |
| Tax \& insurance on cattle. |  |  | 657.30 |
| Tax \& interest on real estate. |  |  | 2,254.76 |
| Insurance on real estate |  |  | 144.75 |
| Taxes \& interest on equipment...... |  |  | 1,146.74 |
| Real estate repairs .... |  |  | 519.50 |
| Repairs on equipment |  |  | 1,159.50 |
| Depreciation on real estate.......... |  |  | 1,044.25 |
| Depreciation on equipment ......... |  |  | 1,226.32 |
| Ice . ${ }^{\text {a }}$............................ |  |  | 3,266.00 |
| Feed grinding |  |  | 646.00 |
| Power \& fuel |  |  | 2,899.00 |
| Salt \& stock food |  |  | 341.25 |
| Fees \& dues |  |  | 26.50 |
| Testing ............................ |  |  | 922.50 |
| Decreased cattle Inv. |  |  | 4,401.50 |
| Miscellaneous items |  |  | 800.00 |
| Total miscellaneous |  |  | 44,597.10 |
| Labor |  |  |  |
| Man labor | 233,805 hrs. | 0.175 | 41,048.94 |
| Horse labor | 132,330 hrs. | 0.125 | 16,541.25 |
| Total labor |  |  | 57,690.93 |
| Summary of costs |  |  |  |
| Feed cost |  |  | 114,808.89 |
| Miscellaneous cost |  |  | 44,597.10 |
| Labor cost |  |  | 57,690.93 |
| Total cost |  |  | 217,096.92 |
| Credits | Amount | Price | Total |
| Manure produced | 4,416 tons | 1.45 | 6,413.50 |
| Cattle sold | 813 head | 48.37 | 39,329.27 |
| Feed sacks sold | . |  | 360.80 |
| Hides sold | 14 | 11.07 | 155.05 |
| Total credits |  |  | 46,258.62 |
| Net cost (total expenditures less credits) |  |  | 170,838.30 |
| $10 \%$ net cost for managerial ability, and risk |  |  | 17,083.83 |
| Total net cost for production.. |  |  | \$187,922.13 |

## Summary of data on a total of 749 cows, 194.5 heifers, 169.5 Calves, 28 bulls (917.1 Cattle units)

Production ........................................................ 530,105 gals.
Average cost per gallon .............................................. 35.42 cts.
Average price received per gallon .................................. 31.79 cts.
Loss per gallon .................................................... 3.63 cts.
Production .............................................................. . . 4, 458,903 lbs.
Average cost per 100 lbs ....................................................... \$4.12
Average price received per $100 \mathrm{lbs} . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .$.
Loss per 100 lbs. .............................................................................. 42
Annual Production per cow ...................................... 710 gals.
Average herd consisted of 32.56 cows, 8.45 heifers, 7.37 calves, 1.24 bulls.
Table 7.-Mili Proiuction Costs From Ten Dairymen Who Supplied Local Markets

| Expenditures | Amount | Price | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Feed |  |  |  |
| Corn | 4,749 bu. | \$ 1.63 | \$7,730.00 |
| Bran | 106I/4 tons | 35.93 | 3,818.00 |
| Cottonseed meal | 28 tons | 48.86 | 1,368.00 |
| Malt | 843 tons | 5.86 | 4,938.00 |
| Beet pulp | 14 tons | 41.43 | 580.00 |
| Oats | 441/4 tons | 41.49 | 1,836.00 |
| Oil meal | 8 tons | 59.19 | 473.50 |
| Hominy | 44 tons | 59.09 | 2,600.00 |
| Other concentrates | $373 / 4$ tons | 41.79 | 1,577.50 |
| Legume hay | 762 tons | 23.03 | 17,552.00 |
| Non-legume roughage | 237 tons | 19.25 | 4,562.00 |
| Fodder | 176 shocks | 0.30 | 52.80 |
| Silage | 770 tons | 8.57 | 6,600.00 |
| Pasture |  |  | 4,122.00 |
| Total feed |  |  | 57,809.80 |
| Miscellaneous |  |  |  |
| Cattle purchased | 47 | 102.89 | 4,836.00 |
| Cattle died | 32 |  | 1,470.00 |
| Veterinary |  |  | 310.50 |
| Bedding | 90 tons |  | 1,111.00 |
| Interest on cattle |  |  | 3,709.54 |
| Taxes and insurance on cattle. |  |  | 311.00 |
| Taxes and interest on real estate |  |  | 860.55 |
| Insurance on real estate |  |  | 76.25 |
| Tax and interest on equipment. | ............. |  | 668.00 |
| Real estate repairs |  |  | 308.00 |
| Repairs on equipment |  |  | 758.95 |
| Depreciation on real estate. |  |  | 408.50 |
| Depreciation on equipment |  |  | 628.92 |
| Ice |  |  | 1,511.00 |

Table 7.-Milk Production Costs From Ten Dairymen Who Supplied Local Markets-Continued

| Expenditures | Amount | Price | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Feed grinding |  |  | 32.00 |
| Power \& fuel |  |  | 1,180.00 |
| Salt \& stock foods |  |  | 156.75 |
| Fees and dues |  |  | 20.50 |
| Miscellaneous |  |  | 730.00 |
| Testing |  |  | 641.00 |
| Total miscellancous |  |  | \$ 19,728.46 |
| Labor |  |  |  |
| Man labor | i22,290 hrs. | 0.177 | 21,645.33 |
| Horse labor | 86,870 hrs. | 0.125 | 10,858.75 |
| Total labor |  |  | \$ 32,504.08 |
| Summary of expenditures |  |  |  |
| Feed cost |  |  | 57,809.80 |
| Misc. cost |  |  | 19,728.46 |
| Labor cost |  |  | 32,504.08 |
| Total cost |  |  | \$110,042.34 |
| Credits | Amount | Price | Total |
| Manure produced | 2,241 tons |  | 2,608.50 |
| Cattle sold | 306 head |  | 15,449.00 |
| Feed sacks sold | .............. |  | 281.80 |
| Hides sold | 4 | 16.37 | 65.50 |
| Increase on inventory |  |  | 2,741.00 |
| Total credits | ............... |  | \$ 21,145.80 |
| Net cost (total expenditures less credits) |  |  | 88,896.54 |
| $10 \%$ of net cost for managerial ability, and risk |  |  | 8,889.65 |
| Total net cost of production...... |  |  | \$ 97,786.19 |

SUMMARy of data on a total of 358 cows, 100.5 heifers, 85.5 calves, 12.5 bulls (442.1 cattle units)

Production ............................................................. 257,712 gals.
Average cost per gallon ............................................. 37.94 cts.
Average price received per gallon ................................ 43.43 cts.
Profit per gallon ............................................... 5.49 cts.
Production . ..............................................................216,323.2 lbs.
Average cost per 100 lbs. ................................................ 4.41
Average price received per $100 \mathrm{lbs} . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .$.
Profit per 100 lbs. ....................................................... \$ 64
Annual production per cow .................................................. 719.9 gals.
Average herd consisted of 35.8 cows, 10.05 heifers, 8.55 calves, 1.25 bulls.

Table 8.-Milk Production Costs From Thirteen Dairymen Who Sold Milk at Wholesale

| Expenditures | Amount | Price | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Feed |  |  |  |
| Corn | 7,358 bu. | \$ 1.46 | 10,764.31 |
| Bran | 104 tons | 37.83 | 3,934.20 |
| Cottonseed meal | $41 \mathrm{I} / 2$ tons | 50.30 | 2,087.75 |
| Malt | 354 tons | 7.00 | 2,478.00 |
| Beet pulp | $24 \mathrm{I} / 2$ tons | 40.73 | 998.00 |
| Oats | 28.2 tons | 40.31 | 1,137.00 |
| Oil meal | 14.05 tons | 60.10 | 844.45 |
| Hominy | 6.25 tons | 57.08 | 356.75 |
| Other concentrates | 32 tons | 26.00 | 831.85 |
| Legume hay | 867 tons | 21.99 | 19,068.28 |
| Non-legume hay. | 25 tons | 11.12 | 278.00 |
| Fodder ............................. | 775 shocks | 0.30 | 232.50 |
| Silage | 1.075 tons | 7.97 | 8,575.00 |
| Pasture ............................ |  |  | 5,413.00 |
| Total feed |  |  | 56,999.09 |
| Miscellaneous |  |  |  |
| Cattle purchased | 66 | 99.03 | 6,536.00 |
| Cattle died ........................ | 40 |  | 671.00 |
| Veterinary |  |  | 483.50 |
| Bedding | 60 tons |  | 1,161.00 |
| Interest on cattle ................. |  |  | 2,852.69 |
| Taxes \& insurance on cattle. |  |  | 346.30 |
| Taxes \& interest on real estate (bldgs.) |  |  | 1,394.21 |
| Insurance on real estate (bldgs.)... |  |  | 68.50 |
| Taxes \& interest on equipment...... | ............... |  | 478.74 |
| Real estate repairs (bldgs.)........ |  |  | 211.50 |
| Repairs on equipment ............. |  |  | 400.55 |
| Depreciation on real estate........ |  |  | 635.75 |
| Depreciation on equipment.......... |  |  | 597.40 |
| Ice ................................. |  |  | 1,755.00 |
| Feed grinding ...................... | ............... |  | 614.00 |
| Power \& fuel ..................... |  |  | 1,719.00 |
| Salt \& stock food .................. | ............... |  | 184.50 |
| Fees \& dues ........................ |  |  | 6.00 |
| Testing ........................... |  |  | 281.50 |
| Decreased cattle Inv. ................ |  |  | 7,142.50 |
| Miscellaneous |  |  | 70.00 |
| Total miscellaneous |  |  | 27,609.64 |
| Labor |  |  |  |
| Man labor | 111,515 hrs. | 0.174 | 19,403.61 |
| Horse labor | 45,460 hrs. | 0.125 | 5,682.50 |
| Total labor ...................... |  |  | 25,086.11 |

Table 8.-Milk Production Costs From Thirteen Dairymen Who Sold Milk at Wholesale-Continued

| Expenditures | Amount | Price | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Summary of expenditures |  |  |  |
| Feed cost .............. |  | ....... | 56,999.09 |
| Miscellaneous cost |  |  | 27,609.64 |
| Labor cost |  |  | 25,086.11 |
| Total cost |  |  | 109,694.84 |
| Credits | Amount | Price | Total |
| Manure produced | 2,175 tons | 1.75 | 3,805.00 |
| Cattle sold ............................ | 507 | 47.10 | 23,880.27 |
| Feed sacks sold |  |  | 79.00 |
| Hides sold | 10 | 8.955 | 89.55 |
| Total credits |  | ....... | 27,853.82 |
| Net cost ............................. | ............... | ....... | 81,841.02 |
| $10 \%$ net cost for managerial ability, and risk |  | $\ldots$ | 8,184.10 |
| Total net cost of production...... |  |  | 90,025.12 |

summary of data on a total of 391 cows, 94 heifers, 84 calves, 16 bulls,
(475 Cattle units)
Production ..... 272,393. gals.
Average cost per gallon ..... 33.05 cts.
Average price received per gallon ..... 20.69 cts.
Loss per gallon ..... 12.36 cts.
Production ..... 2,342,579.8 lbs.
Average cost per 100 lbs ..... \$ 3.84
Average price received per 100 lbs . ..... \$ 2.41
Loss per 100 lbs . ..... \$ 1.43
Annual production per cow ..... 696.7 gals.
Average herd consisted of 30.07 cows, 7.23 heifers, 6.46 calves, 1.23 bulls.

One of the 23 farms in this section kept all purebred Holsteins, 11 kept grade Holsteins with some purebreds, 2 kept all purebred Jerseys, 2 kept grade Jerseys, and 7 kept mixed herds or common grade stock. All kept their own bulls.

The average size of farm was 96.8 acres and the average distance from market 2.3 miles.

The average value per cow was $\$ 139$, and the average production was 710 gallons per cow per year.

Reference to Table 6 will show that the combined herds of the 23 farms produced 530,105 gallons of milk at a net cost of $\$ 187,922.13$. This made the average cost per gallon, 35.42 cents.

The average price received per gallon was 31.79 cents, which left a net loss of 3.63 cents per gallon.

The method of figuring feed costs and certain of the overhead expenses listed under miscellaneous costs is the same as that described in connection with the St. Louis data. The costs for this area were also based on the entire working herd.

None of the dairymen included in the study of this area shipped his milk by express. Those who sold to distributors either hauled their milk direct to a local creamery or sold to neighboring dairymen who bought additional milk to distribute with their own.

The ten dairymen who retailed their milk secured a combined output of 257,712 gallons at a net production cost of $\$ 97,786.19$. This gave an average cost of 37.94 cents per gallon for milk produced and retailed. The average price received per gallon was 43.43 cents which left a net profit of 5.49 cents per gallon. The average size of farm in the case of these retailers was 73.1 acres; the average distance from market 3.48 miles, the average value of milk cows $\$ 156$ per head and the average production per cow per year, was 719.9 gallons.

The 13 dairymen producing milk and selling to distributors (Table 8) produced a total of 272,393 gallons of milk at a total net cost of $\$ 90,025.12$. These farms were, therefore, producing milk at an average cost of 33.05 cents per gallon. The average price received per gallon by this group was 20.69 cents, which left a net loss of 12.36 cents per gallon. The average size of farm operated by those dairymen who sold wholesale was 116.8 acres, the average distance to market was 4.21 miles, the average value of milk cows was $\$ 112$ per head, and the average annual production per cow was 696.7 gallons.

In Table 9 is given an itemized statement of costs per gallon of milk produced. These figures are comparable with those given on page 12 for the St. Louis area. The main difference in costs in the two cases is that the dairymen in the St. Joseph area were using more concentrates and legume hay and less silage than those in the St. Louis district. Otherwise production costs per gallon of milk were very near the same for both areas.

Table 9.-Production Cost Per Gallon of Milk

|  | Amount | Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Feed cost |  |  |
| Corn | 1.28 lbs. | 0.035 |
| Bran | 0.79 lbs . | 0.015 |
| Cottonseed meal | 0.26 lbs . | 0.006 |
| Other concentrates | 5.43 lbs . | 0.036 |
| Legume hay | 6.13 lbs . | \$. 069 |
| Non-legume hay | 0.99 lbs . | 0.009 |
| Fodder | . 0018 shock | 0.0005 |
| Silage | 7.0 lbs. | 0.029 |
| Pasture |  | 0.018 |
| Total feed |  | 0.218 |
| Miscellaneous cost |  | 0.116 |
| Labor |  |  |
| Man labor | 0.44 hr . | 0.077 |
| Horse labor | 0.25 hr . | 0.031 |
| Total labor |  | 0.108 |
| Total gross cost |  | 0.442 |
| Credits |  | 0.088 |
| Total net cost per gallon |  | 0.354 |

The annual cost per cow (Table 10) was calculated for this area in the same manner as for the St. Louis area. Costs going to milk cows were determined on the basis of the total number of animal units in the herd. In the calculation, one unit constituted 1 cow, 1 bull, 2 heifers, or 4 calves. Value per cow, and consequently depreciation and interest per cow, as well as production per cow, pertain to the individual and not to the unit. The cost on this basis, as in the St. Louis area, was very close to that obtained by taking the working herd as a basis. Both methods have been shown here for the sake of clearness.

Table 10.-Annual Cost Per Cow

|  | Amount | Price | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Feed cost |  |  |  |
| Corn | 12.8 bu. | \$1.53 | \$ 19.51 |
| Bran | 445 lbs. | 36.87 | 8.21 |
| Cottonseed meal | 147 lbs. | 49.72 | 3.65 |
| Other concentrates | 1.53 tons |  | 19.71 |
| Legume hay | 1.72 tons | 22.48 | 38.80 |
| Non-legume hay | 0.28 tons | 18.40 | 5.11 |
| Fodder | 1 shock | 0.30 | 0.30 |
| Silage | 1.95 tons | 8.22 | 16.25 |
| Pasture | .............. | ....... | 10.09 |
| Total feed cost |  |  | 121.63 |

## Table 10.-Annual Cost Per Cow-Continued

| Expenditures | Amount | Price | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |
| Man labor | 246.5 hrs . |  | 43.50 |
| Horse labor | 140 hrs . | $\ldots$ | 17.50 |
| Total labor cost ................. |  |  | 61.00 |
| Miscellaneous costs |  |  |  |
| Depreciation, 12\% .................. |  |  | 16.68 |
| Interest on cows, $6 \%$ (value \$139.00) |  |  | 8.34 |
| Bedding ........................... |  |  | 2.41 |
| Veterinary ........................ |  | ....... | 0.79 |
| Use of buildings .................... |  | ....... | 4.19 |
| Use of equipment ................. |  |  | 3.74 |
| Ice |  |  | 3.46 |
| Feed grinding ..................... |  |  | 0.68 |
| Power and fuel .................... |  |  | 3.06 |
| Salt and stock food ................ |  |  | 0.36 |
| Bull service ........................ |  | ........ | 2.00 |
| Miscellaneous expenses ............ |  |  | 2.54 |
| Total miscellaneous cost |  |  | 48.25 |
| Summary of costs |  |  |  |
| Feed cost |  |  | 121.63 |
| Labor cost .. |  |  | 61.00 |
| Miscellaneous cost ................... |  |  | 48.25 |
| Gross costs ...................... |  | .... | 230.88 |
| 10\% for managerial ability, risk, etc... |  |  | 23.09 |
| Total gross coṣts ................ |  |  | 253.97 |
| Credits | Amount | Price | Total |
| Manure | 4.7 tons |  | 6.79 |
| Calf | ............ | .... | 5.00 |
| Feed sacks |  |  | 0.38 |
| Hides .... |  |  | 0.16 |
| Total credits ................... |  |  | 12.33 |
| Net cost |  | $\ldots . .$. | \$ 241.64 |
| Gallons per cow | 710 | ....... | ......... |
| Cost per gallon | 34 cents |  |  |

## KANSAS CITY AREA

## Production costs January 1, 1917, to January 1, 1918

Records from 29 different farms were obtained in this area. Most of the records are from Jackson and Johnson counties. The product of all the farms except that of the four retailers reaches the Kansas City market either directly or indirectly. The four retailers supply smaller outlying towns and do not retail in Kansas City.

Data for this area are presented in the same general form as that for the two preceding areas. (See Tables 11, 12, 13, 14 and 15.)

## RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION

The total production (Table 11) of all farms in this area was 526,727 gallons of milk. At a net cost of $\$ 134,352.20$ this gave an average cost per gallon of 25.5 cents. The average price received was 23.7 cents per gallon. These farms, therefore, were receiving a price nearly 2 cents a gallon below the cost of production.

Table 11.-Milk Production Costs From Twenty-Nine Dairymen Near Kansas City

| Expenditures | Amount | Price | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Feed |  |  |  |
| Corn | 5,637.2 bu. |  | \$ 7,092.25 |
| Bran | 157.75 tons |  | 5,329.02 |
| Cottonseed meal | 93.5 tons |  | 4,122.50 |
| Oats | 24.7 tons |  | 988.00 |
| Oil meal | 15.45 tons |  | 869.85 |
| Silage | 2,746.0 tons |  | 22,105.00 |
| Pasture | ............. |  | 9,808.00 |
| Legume hay | 930.6 tons |  | 18,830.00 |
| Other concentrates |  |  | 8,663.75 |
| Non-legume roughage |  |  | 9,205.00 |
| Miscellaneous ...................... |  |  | 1,524.75 |
| Mixed feed |  |  | 674.00 |
| Total feed cost |  |  | 89,212.12 |
| Miscellaneous costs |  |  |  |
| Cattle purchased .................... | 195 | ....... | 18,919.50 |
| Cattle died ......................... |  |  | 1,990.00 |
| Veterinary fees |  |  | 836.00 |
| Breeding fees |  |  | 79.00 |
| Bedding used |  |  | 1,455.30 |
| Interest on cattle ................... | .............. |  | 5,192.51 |
| Taxes and insurance on cattle..... |  |  | 712.45 |
| Taxes and interest (bldgs.)........ | ... |  | 2,612.35 |
| Insurance (bldgs.) .................. |  |  | 250.50 |
| Taxes and interest (equip.) ........ | .............. |  | 571.50 |
| Repairs (bldgs.) ..................... |  |  | 1,548.50 |
| Repairs (equip.) ................... |  |  | 1,923.10 |
| Depreciation (bldgs.) | .............. |  | 2,215.70 |
| Depreciation (equip.) |  |  | 1,057.17 |
| Hauling milk |  |  | 1,645.00 |
| Ice ......... | .............. |  | 1,694.20 |
| Feed grinding |  |  | 20.00 |

Table 11.-Milk Production Costs From Twenty-Nine Darrymen Near Kansas City-Continued

| Expenditures | Amount | Price | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Power and fuel | ....... |  | 2,602.30 |
| Salt and stock food |  |  | 243.41 |
| Fees and dues |  |  | 209.25 |
| Miscellaneous |  |  | 297.50 |
| Testing |  |  | 612.70 |
| Total miscellaneous costs |  |  | 46,688.13 |
| Labor |  |  |  |
| Man labor | 197,787 hrs. |  | 34,414.94 |
| Horse labor | 67,940 hrs. |  | 8,492.51 |
| Total labor costs |  |  | 42,907.45 |
| Summary of expenditure |  |  |  |
| Feed cost .. |  |  | 89,212.12 |
| Miscellaneous cost |  |  | 46,688.13 |
| Labor cost .. |  |  | 42,907.45 |
| Total costs |  |  | 178,807.70 |
| Credits | Amount | Price | Total |
| Increase inventory |  |  | 15,318.60 |
| Manure .. | 6,510 tons | $\ldots$ | 11,847.50 |
| Cattle sold | 675 |  | 29,092.99 |
| Feed bags sold | ........... |  | 236.80 |
| Hides sold ..... | ............. | . | 173.45 |
| Total credits .................... |  | . | 56,669.34 |
| Net cost (total expenditures less credits) |  |  | 122,138.36 |
| $10 \%$ net cost for managerial ability and risk $\qquad$ |  |  | 12,213.84 |
| Total net cost of production. |  |  | 134,352.20 |

summary of data on a total of 780 cows, 223 heifers, 261 calves, 37.5 bulls (994.75 Cattle units)

| Production | 525,727 | gals. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average cost per gallon | 25.5 | cts. |
| Average price per gallon | 23.7 | cts. |
| Loss per gallon | . 018 |  |
| Production | 4,529,852 | 1 bs . |
| Average cost per 100 lbs . | \$ 2.96 |  |
| Average price received per 100 lbs . | \$ 2.75 |  |
| Loss per 100 lbs . | \$ . 21 |  |
| Annual production per cow | 675 | gals |

Average herd consisted of 26.9 cows, 7.7 heifers, 9 calves, 1.3 bulls.
Of these 29 farms, one kept all purebred Holsteins, 9 kept grade Holsteins with some purebreds, one kept all purebred Jerseys, 16 kept grade Jerseys and some purebreds, and 2 kept mixed herds or common grade stock.

The average value per cow was $\$ 105$, and the average production was 675 gallons per cow per year.

The average size of these 29 farms was 199 acres and the distance from market was 3.8 miles.

The cost per gallon of milk on the 25 farms which sold wholesale (Table 12) was 24.71 cents. The average price received was 22.34 cents which resulted in a loss of 2.37 cents a gallon or 28 cents a hundred.

Table 12.-Milk Production Costs From Twenty-Five Datrymen Who Sold Wholesale

| Expenditures | Amount | Price | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Feed |  |  |  |
| Corn | 4,272.2 bu. | \$ 1.27 | \$ 5,425.25 |
| Bran | 145.5 tons | 33.80 | 4,917.02 |
| Cottonseed meal | 83 tons | 44.25 | 3,672.50 |
| Oats | 23.32 tons | 39.80 | 928.00 |
| Oilmeal | 10.55 tons | 57.46 | 606.25 |
| Fodder |  |  | 1,370.00 |
| Silage | 24.53 tons | 8.05 | 19,721.00 |
| Pasture |  |  | 8,571.00 |
| Legume hay | 809 tons | 19.88 | 16,072.00 |
| Other concentrates | 176.5 tons | 42.60 | 7,535.75 |
| Non-legume roughage | 492.75 tons | 14.75 | 7,255.00 |
| Miscellaneous |  |  | 1,306.75 |
| Mixed feed |  |  | 494.00 |
| Total feed cost |  |  | 77,874.52 |
| Miscellaneous costs |  |  |  |
| Cattle purchased | 152 | \$93.54 | 14,220.50 |
| Cattle died | 34 |  | 1,687.00 |
| Veterinary fees |  |  | 654.00 |
| Breeding fees |  |  | 9.00 |
| Bedding |  |  | 1,345.30 |
| Interest on cattle |  |  | 4,606.26 |
| Taxes \& insurance on cattle....... |  |  | 605.95 |
| Taxes \& interest on real estate (bldgs.) |  |  | 2,385.85 |
| Insurance on real estate (bldgs.)... |  |  | 214.00 |
| Taxes \& interest on equipment...... |  |  | 477.69 |
| Repairs, buildings |  |  | 1,436.00 |
| Repairs, equipment |  |  | 977.60 |
| Depreciation on real estate.......... |  |  | 2,020.20 |
| Depreciation on equipment.......... |  |  | 824.97 |
| Hauling milk |  |  | 1,645.00 |
| Ice |  |  | 1,416.20 |
| Feed grinding |  |  | 20.00 |
| Power and fuel |  |  | 2,323.80 |

Table 12.-Mrlk Production Costs From Twenty-Five Dairymen Who Sold Wholesale-Continued

| Expenditures | Amount | Price | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Salt and stock food | ............... | $\cdots$ | 224.41 |
| Fees and dues |  |  | 208.25 |
| Miscellaneous |  |  | 19.00 |
| Testing |  |  | 468.70 |
| Total miscellaneous costs |  |  | 37,789.68 |
| Labor |  |  |  |
| Man labor | 164,427 hrs. | 0.174 | 28,610.30 |
| Horse labor | 49,485 hrs. | 0.125 | 6,185.63 |
| Total labor costs |  |  | 34,795.93 |
| Summary of expenditures |  |  |  |
| Feed costs |  |  | 77,874.93 |
| Miscellaneous costs |  |  | 37,789.68 |
| Labor costs |  |  | 34,795.93 |
| Total costs |  |  | 150,460.13 |
| Credits | Amount | Price | Total |
| Increased inventory |  |  | 10,918.60 |
| Manure | 5,740 tons | \$ 1.82 | 10,457.50 |
| Cattle sold | 609 | 43.01 | 26,194.99 |
| Feed sacks sold | 1,760 | ....... | 204.80 |
| Hides sold | 22 |  | 117.45 |
| Total credits |  |  | 47,893.34 |
| Net cost (total expenditures less credits) |  |  | 102,566.79 |
| $10 \%$ of net cost for managerial ability and risk |  |  | 10,256.68 |
| Total net cost of production. |  |  | 112,823.47 |

summary of data on a total of 684 cows, 199 heifers, 238 calves, 34 bulls (877 Cattle units)
Production ............................................................. 456,506 gals.
Average cost per gallon
24.71 cts .

Average price received per gallon ................................ 22.34 cts.
Loss per gallon
2.37 cts.

Production ........................................................... 3,925,951.6 lbs.
Average cost per 100 lbs
\$ 2.87
Average price received per 100 lbs...................................... \$ 2.59

Production per cow .......................................... 667.41 gals.
Average herd consisted of 27.3 cows, 8 heifers, 9.5 calves, 1.38 bulls.
The average size of the farms in this group was 210 acres; the average distance from the local market was 3.98 miles, the average value per cow was $\$ 106$, and the average production per cow per year was 667.4 gallons.

The four retailers (Table 13) produced milk at a cost of 30.6 cents per gallon and received an average price of 31.5 cents per gallon. This gave a gain of not quite one cent a gallon. The farms of this group averaged 132.8 acres, the average distance from market: was 2.38 miles, the average value per cow was $\$ 100$ and the average production per cow per year was 728 gallons.

Table 13.-Milk Production Costs From Four Dairymen Who Supplied Local Markets

| Expenditures | Amount | Price | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Feed |  |  |  |
| Corn | 1365.0 tu. | \$ 1.22 | \$ 1,667.00 |
| Bran | 12.25 tons | 33.62 | 412.00 |
| Cottonseed meal | 10.5 tons | 42.90 | 450.00 |
| Oats | 1.4 tons | 42.90 | 60.00 |
| Oilmeal | 49 tons | 53.60 | 263.60 |
| Silage | 293.0 tons | 8.15 | 2,384.00 |
| Pasture |  |  | 1,237.00 |
| Legume hay | 121.6 tons | 22.67 | 2,758.00 |
| Other concentrates |  |  | 1,128.00 |
| Non-legume roughage | 47 tons | 12.34 | 580.00 |
| Miscellaneous |  |  | 218.00 |
| Mixed feed |  |  | 180.00 |
| Total feed cost |  |  | 11,337.60 |
| Miscellaneous costs |  |  |  |
| Cattle purchased | 43 | \$46.96 | 4,699.00 |
| Cattle died | 33 | 9.18 | 303.00 |
| Veterinary fees |  |  | 182.00 |
| Breeding fees |  |  | 70.00 |
| Bedding used | 16 tons |  | 110.00 |
| Interest on cattle |  |  | 586.25 |
| Taxes \& interest on cattle ......... |  | ....... | 106.50 |
| Taxes \& interest on real estate (bldgs) |  |  | 226.50 |
| Insurance on real estate (bldgs.).... |  |  | 36.50 |
| Taxes and interest on equipment.... |  |  | 94.00 |
| Repairs, buildings .................. |  |  | 112.50 |
| Repairs, equipment ................. |  |  | 945.50 |
| Depreciation on real estate.......... |  |  | 195.50 |
| Depreciation on equipment.......... |  |  | 232.20 |
| Ice |  |  | 278.00 |
| Power and fuel |  |  | 278.50 |
| Salt and stock food |  |  | 19.00 |
| Fees and dues |  |  | 1.00 |
| Testing |  |  | 144.00 |
| Miscellaneous ...................... |  |  | 278.50 |
| Total miscellaneous costs |  |  | 8,898.45 |

Table 13.-Milk Production Costs From Four Dairymen Who Supplied Local Markets-Continued

| Expenditures | Amount | Price | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |
| Man hours | 33,360 | . 174 | 5,804.64 |
| Horse hours | 18,455 | . 125 | 2,306.88 |
| Total labor costs |  |  | 8,111.52 |
| Summary of expenditures |  |  |  |
| Feed cost |  |  | 11,337.60 |
| Miscellaneous cost ................... |  |  | 8,898.45 |
| Labor cost |  |  | 8,111.52 |
| Total costs |  |  | 28,347.57 |
| Credits | Amount | Price | Total |
| Increase in inventory |  |  | 4,400.00 |
| Manure | 770 tons | 1.81 | 1,390.00 |
| Cattle sold | 66 | 40.87 | 2,898.00 |
| Feed bags sold |  |  | 32.00 |
| Hides | 15 | 3.73 | 56.00 |
| Total credits |  |  | 8,776.00 |
| Net cost (total expenditures less credits) |  |  | 19,571.57 |
| $10 \%$ of net cost for managerial ability and risk | .............. |  | 1,957.16 |
| Total net cost of production... |  |  | 21,528.73 |

SUMmary of data on a total of $961 / 2$ cows, 24 heifers, 23 calves, $3 \mathrm{I} / 2$ bulls
(1173/4 CATtLE UNITS)

| Production | 70,221 | gals. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average cost per gallon | 30.6 | cts. |
| Average price received per gallon | 31.5 | cts. |
| Gain per gallon | 0.9 | cts. |
| Production | 603,900.6 | lbs. |
| Average cost per 100 lbs . | \$ 3.55 |  |
| Average price received per 100 lbs . | \$ 3.65 |  |
| Gain per 100 lbs. | \$ . 10 |  |
| Production per cow | 728 |  |

Average herd consisted of 24 cows, 6 heifers, 6 calves, 1 bull.

The itemized average cost per gallon of milk produced (Table 14), when compared with similar data from the other two areas (Tables 4 and 9) shows where cheaper production was effected. The St. Louis and Kansas City farms show lower feed and labor costs per gallon. The lower feed costs are attributable largely to the more extensive use of silage. The average labor costs for the St. Joseph area would be expected to be higher, owing to the larger proportion of retailers among the dairymen whose records were studied.

Table 14.-Production Cost Per Gallon of Mili

|  | Amount | Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Feed |  |  |
| Corn | 0.6 lbs . | 0.013 |
| Bran | 0.6 lbs . | 0.010 |
| Cottonseed meal | 0.35 lbs . | 0.008 |
| Other concentrates | ..... | 0.023 |
| Legume hay | 3.53 lbs . | 0.036 |
| Non-legume roughage |  | 0.017 |
| Silage | 10.4 lbs. | 0.042 |
| Pasture |  | 0.019 |
| Mixed feed |  | 0.001 |
| Total feed |  | 0.169 |
| Miscellaneous cost |  | 0.112 |
| Labor |  |  |
| Man labor | 0.37 hrs . | 0.055 |
| Horse labor | 0.13 hrs . | 0.016 |
| Total labor |  | 0.081 |
| Total gross cost |  | 0.362 |
| Credits ............ |  | 0.107 |
| Total net cost per gallon. |  | 0.255 |

Annual costs per cow (Table 15) are calculated from Table 11, by reducing all stock in the herd to the cow basis as explained for the other areas. The production per cow was obtained, of course, by dividing the total gallons by the actual number of cows. Also value per cow is per milk cow rather than per unit in the herd. Other costs are prorated on the unit basis.

Table 15.-Annual Costs Per Cow

|  | Amount | Price | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Feed cost |  |  |  |
| Corn . | 5.7 bu. |  | \$ 7.16 |
| Bran | 319 lbs. |  | 5.39 |
| Cottonseed meal | 189 lbs. |  | 4.17 |
| Other concentrates |  |  | 12.20 |
| Legume hay | 0.95 tons |  | 19.08 |
| Non-legume roughage |  |  | 9.32 |
| Silage | 2.78 tons |  | 22.39 |
| Pasture |  |  | 9.93 |
| Mixed feed |  |  | 0.68 |
| Total feed |  |  | \$ 90.32 |
| Labor ${ }_{\text {L }}$ |  |  |  |
| Man labor | 200 hrs. |  | 34.82 |
| Horse labor | 68 hrs. |  | 8.58 |
| Total labor cost |  |  | 43.40 |

Table 15.-Annual Costs Per Cow-Continued

|  | Amount | Price | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Miscellaneous costs |  |  |  |
| Depreciation, 12\% |  | ....... | 12.60 |
| Interest on Cows, $6 \%$ (value \$105).. | . $\cdot$............ | ...... | 6.30 |
| Bedding |  | .... | 1.47 |
| Veterinary | .............. |  | 0.85 |
| Use of buildings .................... |  |  | 6.70 |
| Use of equipment ................... |  | .... | 3.58 |
| Ice |  |  | 1.71 |
| Feed grinding ...................... |  |  | 0.02 |
| Power and fuel |  |  | 2.64 |
| Salt and stock food |  |  | 0.25 |
| Bull service |  |  | 2.00 |
| Miscellaneous expenses |  |  | 3.59 |
| Total miscellaneous cost |  |  | 41.71 |
| Summary of costs |  |  |  |
| Feed cost |  | $\ldots$ | 90.32 |
| Labor cost |  |  | 43.40 |
| Miscellaneous cost |  |  | 41.71 |
| Gross costs ... |  | $\ldots$ | 175.43 |
| $10 \%$ of net cost for managerial ability and risk |  |  | 17.54 |
| Credits | Amount | Price | Total |
| Manure | 6.6 tons | $\ldots$ | 11.98 |
| Calf | .............. | $\ldots$ | 5.00 |
| Feed sacks |  | . ... | 0.24 |
| Hides |  | .... | 0.17 |
| Total credits | ... | $\ldots$ | 17.39 |
| Net cost |  |  | \$ 175.58 |
| Gallons per cow |  | 675 | ......... |
| Total gross costs |  | 26c |  |

## NATURE OF COSTS

The casual observer immediately wants to know how dairymen continue in business if they lose money on every gallon of milk they produce. This same inquiry usually arises in connection with most cost figures that involve more than immediate running expenses. It is difficult at first to believe that dairymen are losing so heavily. The loss, especially of 6 to 7 cents a gallon, therefore, needs some interpretation.

It is certain that if the dairymen have to meet all the costs shown in the foregoing data many of them are losing money. Some
of the costs charged, however, do not have to be paid immediately, but may be deferred. For instance, the charge of 10 per cent on other net costs for managerial ability and risk in the St. Louis data is not an actual cash cost in the particular year studied, unless the dairyman met with misfortune or calamity in that particular year. However, in the long run this is a legitimate cost that will have to be met. But even tho this 10 per cent cost is not allowed, the cost per gallon is 29.09 cents, or 3.73 cents above the average price of 25.36 cents received. (See figures on St. Louis area, page 6).

If the dairyman owns his plant, equipment, and cattle, and does not actually have to pay interest on the money invested in them, he can for a time, of course, accept no interest on his own investment and meet low prices. For instance, in Table 1 the interest on equipment, depreciation on real estate and depreciation on equipment amount to $\$ 19,949.81$. If, in addition to eliminating the 10 per cent cost mentioned, this cost for interest and depreciation be eliminated, the cost per gallon of milk is reduced to 26.03 , which leaves a loss of only 0.67 cents or a little more than half a cent a gallon. While a dairyman does not have to meet these costs, except taxes, in cash outlay any one year, he will have to be able to lay aside enough to replace buildings and equipment in the long run or go out of business. Many of the farmers interviewed stated that they were not expecting to make interest on their investment at present. To meet the price of 25.36 cents, they would have not only to make no interest on their investment but also sell at a price that would not allow them to replace buildings and equipment when worn out, nor would they have any margin to cover risk.

The loss per gallon as calculated in Table 1 was exactly 6.63 cents on a production of $653,728.11$ gallons, which represented a total loss on production of $\$ 43,342.17$. This means that the costs of production as recorded in Table 1 would have to be cut $\$ 43,342.17$ before the dairymen could break even. It will be noticed that feed was charged at the local farm prices. Those dairymen who produced part of their feed might make a margin of profit in producing the feed at a cost below the local price. This profit in growing feed might enable dairymen to continue in the dairy business for a time. However, if the profit was in growing the feed and there was no additional profit in handling the feed thru cows, dairymen would soon be induced to become grain and hay farmers rather than dairymen. Where the cost of making the change is not too heavy, farmers will shift their type of farming to the most profitable type un-
der existing conditions. The feed grown by dairymen in the section studied was mostly corn, legume hay, and silage. Most of the other feeds were bought, with the exception of pasture and fodder. If, in Table 1, the corn were figured at half price, or 61 cents instead of $\$ 1.22$, legume hay at half price, or $\$ 11.81$, and silage at half price, or $\$ 4.28$, the reduction in cost that would be effected would amount in total to $\$ 42,499.00$ - nearly the $\$ 43,342.17$ reduction necessary for the dairymen to break even. The exact cost per gallon with corn, hay, and silage figured at the reduced price, would be 25.49 cents per gallon, against the price of 25.36 cents per gallon received, which would thus leave a net loss per gallon of only 0.13 cents. If the $\$ 10,808.75$ worth of pasture were also counted out, the reduction in costs would be enough for dairymen to meet the price offered and have a very small margin of profit. The elimination of the pasture cost would mean that since the farmer owned the pasture and did not have to pay actual cash rent, he would furnish his cows pasture free of charge, or in other words, get nothing for the use of his pasture.

These different angles of considering the cost figures for the St. Louis area have been mentioned to make clear how, even with such a difference between costs of production and sale prices, the farmer can continue in business for a time without bankruptcy. In such cases they simply have to draw on capital on hand. That an industry can exist for a time under such circumstances is evident. That no industry will continue permanently under such business conditions is equally plain. It is difficult at first thought to grasp that production costs which are not immediate cash costs, but costs that go to make up future necessary reinvestments for maintenance are real costs. The failure to accept such facts has been the bone of contention in many of the recent milk price inquiries.

## SUMMARY

A condensed statement of milk production costs and prices received in the different areas is given in Table 16. Costs and prices are shown for all the farms in each area, for the farms selling wholesale only, and for those doing their own retailing. The average for all wholesalers and all retailers is also shown. Certain supplementary factors that may throw additional light on the data presented are given in Table 17. These factors are at least descriptive to a degree of the conditions under which the costs presented obtain.

Table 16.-Average Cost and Prices of Areas Compared

| Area | All Farms |  | Selling Wholesale |  | Selling Retail ${ }^{1}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cost per gal. cents. | Price per gal. cents | Cost per gal. cents | Price per gal. cents | Cost per gal. cents | Price per gal. cents |
| St. Lours ...... | 31.9 | 25.4 | 31.8 | 24.7 | 33.3 | 29.9 |
| St. Joseph ..... | 35.4 | 31.8 | 33.0 | 20.7 | 37.9 | 43.4 |
| Kansas City.... | 25.5 | 23.7 | 24.7 | 22.3 | 30.6 | 31.5 |
| Average ..... |  |  | 29.6 | 23.0 | 35.7 | 38.8 |
| No. farms .... No. milk cows. | 101 2569 |  | 78 1979 |  | 23 590 |  |

[^0]Table 17.-Miscellaneous Factors Descriptive of the Different Areas

|  | All farms | Wholesale farms | Retail farms |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average size of farm |  |  |  |
| St. Louis | 167.9 | 183.6 | 97.0 |
| St. Joseph | 96.8 | 116.8 | 73.1 |
| Kansas City | 199.0 | 210.0 | 132.8 |
| Average distance from market |  |  |  |
| St. Louis | 1.29 | 1.19 | 1.75 |
| St. Joseph | 3.88 | 4.21 | 3.48 |
| Kansas City | 3.80 | 3.98 | 2.38 |
| Gallons per cow per year |  |  |  |
| St. Louis ...................... | 625.6 | 630.0 | 598.0 |
| St. Joseph | 710.0 | 696.7 | 719.9 |
| Kansas City | 675.0 | 667.4 | 728.0 |
| Value of cows per head |  |  |  |
| St. Louis | 107.0 | 106.0 | 111.0 |
| St. Joseph | 139.0 | 112.0 | 156.0 |
| Kansas City .................. | 105.0 | 106.0 | 100.0 |
| Per cent of farm keeping | Holstein | Jersey | Mixed |
| St. Louis . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 57 | 8 | 35 |
| St. Joseph .................... | 52 | 17 | 31 |
| Kansas City .................... | 34 | 59 | 7 |

The cost of production per gallon to dairymen selling wholesale was practically the same in both the St. Louis and St. Joseph areas. (Table 16.) The lower cost in the Kansas City area as compared with the St Louis area is due mainly to cheaper feeding,
especially the use of less corn and cheaper roughness aside from silage (Tables 18 and 19). The lower miscellaneous cost of 2 or 2.5 cents in the Kansas City area (Table 18) is due to the fact that the men in the Kansas City area were delivering to local creameries, whereas the St. Louis men delivered to the local railway station and then paid express to the central receiving station. This express varied in cost from $11 / 2$ to $21 / 2$ cents a gallon. No such charge appears among the Kansas City men's miscellaneous cost. It will be noticed, of course, that the difference in price received is approximately this 2 to $21 / 2$ cents. The balance of the excess miscellaneous cost in the St. Louis area is due mainly to greater building expense, and to a greater loss this year from the death of stock. As compared with the St. Joseph area, the lower costs of the Kansas City dairymen who sold wholesale is due largely to the more extensive use of silage and the use of less corn and legume hay (Tables 18 and 19). The miscellaneous cost over that for the Kansas City area is due mainly to the greater expense for ice, power, and fuel.

Table 18.-Costs to Farmers-Itemized-Wholesaling and Retailing Compared

|  | St. Louis |  | St. Joseph |  | Kansas City |  | Average |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Wholesaler | Retailer | Wholesaler | Retailer | Wholesaler | Re tailer | Wholesaler | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Re}- \\ \text { tailer } \end{gathered}$ |
| Feed cost per gallon | 18.9c | 20.4c | 20.8c | 22.4 c | 17.1c | 16.2c | 18.7 | 20.9 |
| Labor cost per gallon | 7.8 c | 13.7 c | 9.2 | 12.6 | 7.6 | 11.6 | 8.1 | 12.7 |
| Misc. costs per gallon | 15.1 | 12.8 | 13.1 | 11.1 | 10.5 | 15.3 | 13.1 | 12.2 |
| Total | 41.8 | 46.9 | 43.1 | 46.1 | 35.2 | 43.1 | 39.9 | 45.8 |
| Credits per gallon ${ }^{1}$ | 10.0 | 13.6 | 10.1 | 8.2 | 10.5 | 12.5 | 10.3 | 10.1 |
| Net cost per gallon | 31.8 c | 33.3 c | 33.0c | 37.9c | 24.7c | 30.6c | 29.6c | 35.7c |

${ }^{1}$ Credits per gallon result from the sale of young stock, feed bags, hides, and from manure produced and used.

In the case of the retailers the costs per gallon in the St. Louis and Kansas City areas were nearly the same (Table 15). This is to be expected as records for retailers in both these areas apply to milk retailed in small outlying towns and not in the cities. The records on retailers in the St. Joseph area apply to milk delivered in St. Joseph. It is to be expected, therefore, that the cost per gallon as well as the price received in the latter area will be higher. The more expensive production per unit in the St. Joseph area as compared with the other area is due mainly to a larger dependence on purchased concentrates (Tables 18 and 19) and to less supplementary returns other

Table 19.-Showing Itemized Feed Cost by Areas For Wholesale and Retail Producers

|  | St. Louis |  | $\frac{\text { St. Joseph }}{\text { Whole- }}$ |  | Kansas City |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Wholesaler | Retailer | Wholesaler | Retailer | Wholesaler | Retailer |
| Corn | 0.025 | 0.022 | 0.039 | 0.030 | 0.012 | 0.024 |
| Bran | 0.015 | 0.032 | 0.014 | 0.015 | 0.011 | 0.006 |
| Cottonseed meal | 0.007 | 0.001 | 0.008 | 0.005 | 0.008 | 0.006 |
| Other concentrates.... | 0.014 | 0.020 | 0.024 | 0.046 | 0.023 | 0.025 |
| Legume hay .......... | 0.055 | 0.078 | 0.070 | 0.058 | 0.035 | 0.039 |
| Non-legume roughage | 0.006 | 0.007 | 0.002 | 0.018 | 0.020 | 0.010 |
| Silage | 0.051 | 0.022 | 0.031 | 0.025 | 0.043 | 0.034 |
| Pasture .............. | 0.016 | 0.022 | 0.020 | 0.016 | 0.019 | 0.018 |
| Total | 0.189 | 0.204 | 0.208 | 0.224 | 0.171 | 0.162 |

than from milk as shown in the lower credits per gallon (Table 18). The lower credits per gallon is accounted for by the lower valuation and less returns from manure and by the fact that the price of milk is such that the sale of cattle as a supplementary business is less extensive, compared with milk production, than in the other areas. The lower valuation of manure is justified on these smaller farms where production of milk is the main business. In fact, some of these dairymen almost give away manure to get it hauled off. Larger farms in the other areas which have herds of about the same size or smaller but which have more land can make use of more manure to advantage and consequently value it higher.

The combined cost of production per gallon for all wholesalers (See average in Table 16) in the three areas was 29.6 cents and the average price received was 23 cents. This leaves an average net loss of 6.6 cents per gallon.

The average cost of production for all retailers (See average in Table 16) was 35.7 cents per gallon, and the average price received was 38.8 cents per gallon, this leaves an average net profit of 3.1 cents per gallon.

## CONCLUSIONS

While the foregoing data are not extensive enough to describe correctly the kind of dairy business carried on in any one section, they do cover three or four phases of the dairy business as they exist in this state in general or in the neighborhood of any one of the central markets referred to in this discussion. The St. Louis data
cover wholesale selling by express and retailing in smaller towns. The St. Joseph figures cover local wholesale marketing without use of express, and retailing in the larger cities. The Kansas City data are typical of local wholesale marketing thru creameries at a distance from the central market, where milk is sold mostly as cream, and of retailing in smaller towns. It is to be concluded, therefore, that the data presented are descriptive of conditions prevalent in different types of the dairy business rather than in the specific area referred to.

In no case were the men who were producing and selling milk wholesale averaging a profit when all items of cost were considered. A few individual cases of profit were due to particularly favorable contract prices rather than to exceptionally good production.

The producers who retailed milk averaged a net profit of 3.1 cents per gallon. Only in one area did they average a loss and in this case the average production of the herds was the lowest of any group. The large city retailers made the largest profits. They had a margin above cost of production and delivery of 5.5 cents per gallon.

If allowance is made for approximately 2 cents per gallon express or transportation, the difference in the St. Louis area between the cost of producing on the one hand and the cost of producing and retailing on the other is 3.5 cents per gallon. In the St. Joseph area the difference is 4.9 cents per gallon and in the Kansas City area 5.9 cents per gallon. Considering, for the three areas, all the milk wholesaled and all retailed, the difference averaged 6.1 cents per gallon.

On the basis of the difference between wholesale and retail prices, it appears that central distributors can sell at the same price as farmer retailers with a margin three to four times as large because milk can be bought wholesale cheaper than it can be produced.

Note: The item "cattle died" in foregoing tables has been debited as an expense and at the same time credited in determining "increase inventory" so as to show the extent to which loss of cattle was an expense. Usually, in accounting, "stock died" is taken care of thru the second inventory only.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Records from retailers in the St. Louis and Kansas City areas were for nearby smaller towns and were not on milk retailed in either of these cities. In the case of the St. Joseph retailers, the milk was retailed in St. Joseph.

