For America's delinquent and dependent children, the twentieth century was the "century of the juvenile court." The court's presence made it impossible any longer to turn a blind eye toward confining children in prisons, almshouses, asylums or other forbidding institutions. Among thoughtful decision-makers and private citizens alike, the juvenile court inspired greater care and compassion.

History can be revealing, interesting, entertaining, even discomforting. Studying the past, however, is most worthwhile for lessons that help shape the future. The lessons of legal history are particularly central to our essence as a nation. Chief Justice Edward D. Robertson, Jr. put it well in 1992: "[I]t is often in courtrooms that we see our highest good, where we speak of our most noble aspirations, where we touch our most noble purposes, and where the lives of people can be changed for the better."¹ For the past century, Missouri's juvenile courts have seen judges, lawyers, child care professionals and other citizens nobly pursue justice for the state's most vulnerable children while seeking to change their lives for the better.

Many threads running throughout the nation's juvenile justice history remain discomforting to contemporary sensibilities. Nineteenth century courts, for example, imprisoned children under inhumane conditions with little thought for their future in the community. Courts confined children in almshouses, asylums and houses of refuge long after perceptive observers knew that these congregate institutions helped few children and hurt many. By failing children for much of the twentieth century, the nation's training schools sacrificed rehabilitation while encouraging resentment and recidivism that compromised public safety.

Missouri's unhappy experiences with the training schools nonetheless demonstrate the true value of historical inquiry. "If we have learned anything
from the past,” Chief Justice William Ray Price, Jr. said recently, “it is that the
foundation for the future is change.” Learning from years of frustration, Mis­souri closed the Boonville and Chillicothe training schools in the early 1980s
while other states held stubbornly to the past. The result is a success story that
remains the envy of states still searching for a juvenile justice compass. These
states have not yet learned their lesson, and they look to Missouri for the right
answers. Juvenile corrections in Missouri, says National Council on Crime and
Delinquency president Barry Krisberg, “is as close to the child savers’ vision as
you can get in the United States today.” Willingness to learn from history goes a
long way.2

What lies ahead? Much remains to be done, yet people remain the Missouri
juvenile justice system's most valuable resources. Consider, for example, Judge
Marybelle Mueller, who savors her years on Cape Girardeau's juvenile court
bench because “nothing is more important than helping, protecting and mak­ing
life better for a child.” Consider Betty Conyers Patton, a St. Louis City juve­nile officer for thirty-seven years before retiring as chief in 1999, who spent her
entire career in the court because “children are human beings with . . . dignity
and worth, . . . [and] we have a responsibility and obligation to love, teach, dis­
cipline and care for them.” Or Jackson County's longtime juvenile services
administrator Bettylu Donaldson, who counsels perseverance because “[w]hen
you give your best, . . . you may not learn until much later the great impact you
had on the child and family.” Or state Supreme Court Judge Ronnie L. White,
who found fulfillment as a young public defender in the St. Louis City juvenile
court because “these are just kids, and most have insufficient parental control
and no one who cares about them. They just want to be loved and . . . have a
family like everybody else. Few are truly incorrigible.” Or Jackson County
lawyer Fred B. Rich, who has spent nearly a quarter century representing
abused and neglected children because “you count your successes one child at
a time.” Or former Representative Kaye H. Steinmetz, who believes that while
“government cannot be a parent to a child, it has the responsibility to help
make good things happen for children in need because tomorrow they will be
our adults.”3

So many juvenile justice professionals are unsung heroes because they walk
the extra mile, usually unfortified by public recognition. Consider St. Louis
City family court judge Thomas J. Frawley and his wife Ann, foster parents
themselves who have adopted three of their foster children. Consider St. Louis
City juvenile court judge Anna C. Forder, who adopted two boys who had been
wards of the juvenile court. Or Jackson County commissioner and juvenile
officer David W. Kierst, Jr., who once reached into his own pocket to buy a
rocking chair for a blind disabled child who liked to rock. Or Division of Youth
Services Director Mark D. Steward, who brought a boy home for Thanksgiving dinner with his family one year because the agency had not yet found a foster placement for him.

Consider former Schuyler County juvenile officer, Jim Cottey and his wife Clara, who opened their own rural Lancaster home so often to abused, neglected and delinquent children that they were licensed as foster parents by both the Division of Family Services and the Division of Youth Services. Once, Cottey was assigned to transport a local delinquent to Mexico, Missouri for commitment to the Division of Youth Services. After talking in the car halfway from northeast Missouri to Mexico, Cottey decided the fatherless boy would be better off living in the Cottey home. Cottey pulled off the road and telephoned juvenile court judge E. Richard Webber, who agreed to place the boy with the Cotteys, where he lived for a year and a half. "You would like to think you made a difference," Cottey says.4

These selfless public servants, and hundreds or thousands more like them in all corners of the state, comprise a perennial honor roll that reinforces the optimism and bold challenge articulated by retired Supreme Court Judge Andrew Jackson Higgins: "We have made some giant strides in the state, but we have just touched the surface. We have done some good work in juvenile justice, and we will do more. . . . As long as we have the sort of devoted people who have served us in the past, things will continue to happen in Missouri."5
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